

## UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

## ARMED FORCES EPIDEMIOLOGICAL BOARD

+ + + + +

MEETING

+ + + + +

TUESDAY

SEPTEMBER 18, 2001

+ + + + +

The Board met at 7:30 a.m. in the Conference Room of the Armed Forces Radiobiology Research Institute located at 8901 Wisconsin Avenue, Bethesda, Maryland, Dr. Stephen Ostroff, Acting President, presiding.

PRESENT:

STEPHEN M. OSTROFF, M.D., M.P.H., Acting President

DAVID ATKINS, M.D.

S. WILLIAM BERG, II, M.D., M.P.H.

DOUGLAS CAMPBELL, M.D.

PIERCE GARDNER, M.D.

L. JULIAN HAYWOOD, M.D.

JOHN HERBOLD, D.V.M.

PHILIP J. LANDRIGAN, M.D., M.Sc.

KEVIN M. PATRICK, M.D.

DENNIS F. SHANAHAN, M.D.

ROBERT E. SHOPE, M.D.

LTC. RICK RIDDLE, USAF

AFEB Executive Secretary

JEAN P. WARD

AFEB Staff Assistant

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

PRESENT: (CONT.)

PREVENTIVE MEDICINE OFFICERS:

COL. DANA BRADSHAW, USAF, MC  
COL. BENEDICT M. DINIEGA, MC, USA  
LTC. MAUREEN FENSOM, CFMS  
CDR. SHARON LUDWIG, USPHS  
CAPT. KENNETH W. SCHOR, MC, USN  
CAPT. ALAN JEFF YUND, MC, USN

FLAG STAFF OFFICERS:

GEN (Ret) ROBERT G. CLAYPOOL  
RADM (Sel) STEVEN HART, MC, USN  
RADM (Sel) ROBERT HUFSTADER  
LTG JAMES PEAKE

ALSO PRESENT:

LARRY ANDERSON, M.D.  
LTC. ARTHUR BAKER  
CAPT. BRUCE BOHNER, MC, USN (FSS)  
SALVATORE M. CIRONE, M.D.  
MR. CHARLIE CRISS  
COL. ROBERT DRISCOLL, USAR, MS  
COL. ROBERT ENG  
JOEL GAYDOS, M.D.  
COL. JEFFREY D. GUNZENHAUSER, M.D.  
COL. MARK RUBERTONE  
CDR. (Sel) MARGARET RYAN  
THOMAS SEED, M.D.  
COL. MICHAEL STAUNTON  
JAMES A. ZIMBLE, M.D.

Opening Remarks and Introductions .....	5
AFRRI Brief, Col. Eng .....	15
Preventive Medicine Updates:	
Col. Benedic Diniega.....	46
Col. Jeff Gunzenhauser.....	57
Col. Dana Bradshaw.....	65
Capt. Jeff Yund.....	86
Capt. Kenneth Schor.....	88
Cdr. Sharon Ludwig.....	96
Col. Michael Staunton.....	103
Lt.Col. Maureen Fensom.....	105
LTG. Peake.....	107
IND BW Biologics, Dr. Cirone .....	112
Tri-service Reportable Medical Events,	
Lt. Col. Art Baker.....	152
Conflict of Interest Training, Charles Criss .....	182
Discussion of Non-vaccine Methods to Minimize Adenovirus Transmission in Recruit Training:	
Presentation of Question, Col. Benedic Diniega.....	197
Army Experience, Col. Jeff Gunzenhauser.....	201
Navy Experience, Capt. Jeff Yund.....	235
Air Force Experience, Col. Dana Bradshaw.....	248
Coast Guard Experience, CDR Sharon Ludwig.....	267

Discussion of Non-vaccine Methods to Minimize (cont.)  
Adenovirus Transmission in Recruit Training:

CDC Respiratory Disease Branch, Dr. Larry Anderson .....	276
Committee Discussion .....	292
Report of Subcommittee Meetings:	
Disease Control.....	292
Environmental/Occupational Health	
Health Promotion and Maintenance	

P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S

(7:38 a.m.)

DR. OSTROFF: Let me start by saying that it's a great honor to be rapping the gavel in place of Dr. LaForce, and speaking for myself and, I think, all of the Board members, we will very sorely miss Dr. LaForce.

Let me call the meeting to order. We have a very, very, very busy agenda, and given the events of the past week, some of the members that we would have anticipated that would have been here are not here. That includes Dr. Carol Runyon, Dr. Elizabeth Barrett-Connor, Dr. Kevin Patrick, Dr. Linda Alexander, and Dr. Moore. And hopefully they will be able to work with us over the coming months.

I applaud both the AFEB Executive Secretary, as well as the Army Surgeon General's Office for carrying forth with this meeting, and I certainly want to thank all of the Board members who have made it here despite the events of the past week.

I think from our perspective that it shows our very strong solidarity with the military both in terms of the terrible atrocities of the past week, as well as what's likely to unfold over the coming months.

And speaking only for myself, but I'm sure for all of the Board members, we request that in any way, shape or form that you need our assistance over the coming months, that we are

1       only too happy to do it. All you need to do is ask.

2               Before beginning the meeting, as a result of the  
3       events that happened last week, I'd like to start by having a  
4       moment of silence for those who lost their lives last week not  
5       only at the Pentagon, but also in New York City and Pennsylvania.

6               (Pause in proceedings.)

7               DR. OSTROFF: Thank you.

8               Let me also thank Colonel Eng and the staff at the  
9       Armed Forces Radiobiology Research Institute. It's a wonderful  
10      facility for hosting this particular meeting. We didn't have  
11      that much difficulty getting into the complex, not as much as I  
12      would have anticipated, and is Colonel Eng --

13              COL. ENG: Right here.

14              DR. OSTROFF: Let me present you with this plaque  
15      in recognition of hosting this particular meeting, and for those  
16      who can't see it, it says, "To the Command and staff of the Armed  
17      Forces Radiobiology Research Institute, in appreciation for  
18      hosting the fall 2001 meeting of the Armed Forces Epidemiologic  
19      Board."

20              COL. ENG: Well, thank you very much.

21              (Applause.)

22              DR. OSTROFF: Let me also thank Dr. Loftis and Mr.  
23      Morse for coordinating all of the meeting arrangements. We  
24      certainly appreciate it.

25              As you're aware, again, getting back to Dr.

1 LaForce, he recently accepted a position as Director of the WHO  
2 PATH Meningitis Vaccine Program. This is a very important  
3 position. Mark is extraordinarily dedicated to this particular  
4 issue. He and I have met about this, and taking that position  
5 requires him to relocate to Geneva, and based on the fact that he  
6 has to move to Geneva, he felt that the most appropriate thing to  
7 do was to resign as President of the Board, and we certainly  
8 understand that.

9 One of the current things that's happening is as a  
10 result of the outbreak of meningococcal disease that the  
11 pilgrimage to Mecca in 2000, which was caused by the w135 strain  
12 of meningococcus, as people left the haj and went to different  
13 parts of the world, they disseminated that strain, and it has  
14 basically caused a change in the serotype distribution of  
15 meningococcal disease.

16 And one of the major things that's currently being  
17 discussed is whether, particularly with the new meningococcal  
18 conjugate vaccines that are under development, whether to work  
19 harder to include a w135 component into the conjugate vaccine.

20 And WHO is actually holding a meeting right now to  
21 discuss that very issue, and since Mark is going to be the one  
22 that is going to carry forth that program, he felt it was  
23 imperative that he be there. And we certainly wish him well in  
24 his endeavors.

25 I think that he is hoping that at a future Board

1 meeting that he will be able to keep us informed of what his  
2 activities are. We'll miss his leadership and friendship, and  
3 hopefully he'll continue to work with us.

4 Mark was the one that asked that I chair this  
5 particular meeting, and again, as I say, I felt it was a  
6 privilege to do so.

7 What I'd like to do before we get started is let me  
8 just, since there are many people here, let me have the Board  
9 members go around and introduce themselves, if they would. We'll  
10 start on this side.

11 LT. COL. FENSOM: I'm Maureen Fensom. I'm the  
12 Canadian Medical Liaison Officer.

13 COL STAUNTON: My name is Michael Staunton, and I'm  
14 the British Liaison Officer at the Office of the Surgeon General  
15 from the United Kingdom.

16 And I would like to this morning just convey my  
17 condolences to all of you regarding this tragedy and to say that  
18 we also share in the tragedy, and that, indeed, later today I  
19 will be making my way to New York to deal with the families of  
20 the many casualties we've also shared in this tragedy.

21 DR. OSTROFF: Thank you.

22 COL. GUNZENHAUSER: Good morning. I'm Jeff  
23 Gunzenhauser, the Preventive Medicine Staff Officer at the Army  
24 Surgeon General's Office. I'm the Army representative.

25 DR. DINIEGA: Ben Diniega, Health Affairs Liaison



1 Officer to the Board.

2 DR. CAMPBELL: I'm Doug Campbell from North  
3 Carolina.

4 DR. BERG: Bill Berg from the Hampton Health  
5 Department. And before I put on this suit, I spent 24 years in  
6 the Navy.

7 DR. HAYWOOD: Julian Haywood, University of  
8 Southern California, Los Angeles.

9 DR. SHOPE: I'm Bob Shope from the University of  
10 Texas Medical Branch at Galveston, and Center for Tropical  
11 Diseases.

12 COL. DRISCOLL: I'm Bob Driscoll, the Designated  
13 Federal Official.

14 LT. COL. RIDDLE: Lieutenant Colonel Riddle. I'm  
15 the Executive Secretary for the Armed Forces Epi. Board.

16 DR. OSTROFF: And Steve Ostroff, and I'm with the  
17 National Center for Infectious Diseases at the Centers for  
18 Disease Control and Prevention.

19 RADM. HUFSTADER: Bob Hufstader, the Medical  
20 Officer of the Marine Corps.

21 RADM. HART: Steve Hart, the Assistant Chief for  
22 Operational Medicine and Fleet Support, and my responsibilities  
23 include support of Navy medicine, research and development, and  
24 its preventive medicines and fleet programs.

25 GEN. CLAYPOOL: I'm Bob Claypool. I'm the

1 Executive Director of the Military and Veterans Health  
2 Coordinating Board. I'm not a member of this Board. In a prior  
3 life, I had Colonel Driscoll's job and I was a Designated Federal  
4 Representative.

5 DR. LANDRIGAN: Phil Landrigan from the Mt. Sinai  
6 School of Medicine in New York City.

7 DR. HERBOLD: John Herbold, University of Texas,  
8 School of Public Health.

9 DR. SHANAHAN: Dennis Shanahan from Carlsbad,  
10 California.

11 COL. BRADSHAW: Yeah, I'm Dana Bradshaw. I'm the  
12 Air Force representative to the AFEB.

13 CAPT. SCHOR: Ken Schor. I work with Admiral  
14 Hufstader at Headquarters, Marine Corps.

15 CAPT. YUND: My name is Jeff Yund, and I'm the Navy  
16 Liaison Officer to the AFEB.

17 CDR. LUDWIG: I'm Sharon Ludwig, and I'm the Coast  
18 Guard Liaison and the Coast Guard Preventive Medicine Officer.

19 DR. OSTROFF: Thank you.

20 We have a large number of distinguished guests that  
21 are attending the Board meeting. Not all of them are here yet.  
22 They will be, I'm sure, in and out based on the situation.

23 Lieutenant General Peake will be here later on this  
24 morning.

25 I'd like to acknowledge Rear Admiral Robert

1 Hufstader, the Medical Officer of the Marine Corps. Thank you  
2 for attending the meeting.

3 Admiral Hart, who is the Director of MED02, the  
4 Bureau of Medicine and Surgery.

5 ADM. HART: I have a lot of titles.

6 (Laughter.)

7 DR. OSTROFF: Admiral Zimble, President of the  
8 Uniform Services University of the Health Sciences.

9 LT. COL. RIDDLE: Yeah, he'll be here later.

10 DR. OSTROFF: Will be here later.

11 Colonel Driscoll, thank you once again.

12 And Major General (Retired) Robert Claypool, thank  
13 you once again.

14 LT. COL. RIDDLE: I have just a few administrative  
15 remarks before we begin the meeting today. And I certainly want  
16 to thank Colonel Eng and his staff< Rich Lofts and Mr. Dave Morse  
17 for assisting and making this meeting happen, and especially for  
18 the Board members, to go through the trials and tribulations of  
19 the last week and to make the effort to get to the meeting today.

20 I also want to thank Ms. Jean Ward and Lisa Mims  
21 for all of their efforts in supporting the AFEB in preparations  
22 for this meeting.

23 Colonel Robert Driscoll is the Designated Federal  
24 Official for today's meeting of the AFEB.

25 If you haven't, please make sure that you sign in

1 at the registration desk, and for those interested in the tour  
2 this evening, we have a sign-in sheet out there, and you know, a  
3 lot of people aren't aware that, you know, you're in a lead  
4 shielded building sitting on top of a nuclear reactor. We  
5 couldn't think of a safer place to have the meeting.

6 (Laughter.)

7 LT. COL. RIDDLE: But there will be a tour of the  
8 facility this evening, and if you're interested, please sign up.

9 DR. OSTROFF: And is it true cell phones don't work  
10 inside the building?

11 LT. COL. RIDDLE: I couldn't get mine to work  
12 inside the building. Yeah, so I think it's because of the lead  
13 shielding, is what they told me, yeah.

14 So we'll have refreshments, buffets, morning and  
15 afternoon. Lunch both days will be on your own. The cafeteria  
16 over at the Uniformed Services University; they have a McDonald's  
17 and some other fast food over at the Naval Medical Center, and  
18 then certainly Restaurants in the local area.

19 Restrooms are just right outside the conference  
20 room. There are three telephones that have been set up in the  
21 break area, and you just have to dial 99 for an outside access or  
22 991 for long distance.

23 If you have any fax copies or messages, just see  
24 Lisa at the registration desk.

25 And then we have subcommittee meetings this

1 afternoon and tomorrow, along with the executive session, and  
2 what we'll do is we'll try to meet here and maybe break out in  
3 groups here or use the break room or another facility to get  
4 those meetings done.

5 Tomorrow's executive session will be here.  
6 Certainly for the speakers, we do have a robust agenda, and we'll  
7 have to be flexible. When General Peake comes in, he wanted  
8 about 30 or 45 minutes to address the Board, and certainly when  
9 he gets here, we'll just break with the schedule and give him  
10 that time.

11 Also, remember that this is a federal advisory  
12 committee. You are being recorded and transcribed. So please  
13 identify yourself when you speak, and we have microphones set up  
14 for the audience and then here at the table.

15 For dinner tonight we'll meet at the lobby at the  
16 Hyatt at around 6:30, and we have reservations over at the Rock  
17 Bottom Brewery.

18 Also, certainly members of the public and press may  
19 be in and out today. So be aware of that with your remarks.

20 DR. OSTROFF: That's it?

21 LT. COL. RIDDLE: Yes.

22 DR. OSTROFF: Thank you.

23 Why don't we turn the podium over to Colonel Eng,  
24 who will begin the program by giving us an overview of the Armed  
25 Forces Radiobiology Research Institute?

1 COL. ENG: Well, thank you very much. I appreciate  
2 the opportunity to host the AFEB meeting.

3 You already have a copy of my presentation in the  
4 binder before you, but let me give you a copy in color, and it  
5 may clarify the graphs, which the color would better indicate.  
6 So let me just hand it out to the rest of the group in front. So  
7 you have a black and white in your three-ring binder at this  
8 time.

9 It's my great pleasure to offer AFRRI to host this  
10 meeting. Colonel Riddle and I were talking, and we don't believe  
11 that you've ever held a meeting here at AFRRI before.

12 One of the things that I want to really point out  
13 is the fact that AFRRI, the Armed Forces Radiobiology Research  
14 Institute, is really your institute. Our mission is medical  
15 readiness, and that's we're all about, to service not only DOD,  
16 but our nation, and I will go through a little bit more about  
17 that as we get into our briefing.

18 One of the things that I do want to mention is the  
19 fact that we've been here. AFRRI got started back in 1962 during  
20 the Cold War era, and the facilities that we have was geared  
21 towards research to look at the data that was required to deal  
22 with the Cold War issues, but has since transitioned into today's  
23 environment on how to deal with the radiation injuries and the  
24 challenges we all face, whether it be the challenges on a nuclear  
25 radiological battlefield, to that of domestic issues and WMD

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 issues that we face.

2 I can say right now that we are engaged  
3 significantly.

4 These are some of the things that I want to  
5 highlight, and there are some misperceptions. The fact that  
6 there are effective drugs to address the radiation induced  
7 injuries that appear; the challenges that we have is nothing new  
8 to us because during the Gulf War, Desert Shield, the AFEB was  
9 engaged in looking at FDA approved medications or IND  
10 medications, and these were very efficacious.

11 But because of the potential off-label use or IND  
12 status, we had certain challenges with the FDA regulation.

13 We had the same situation here in terms of these  
14 effective drugs. We're talking about cytokines, the Interleukin-  
15 11, and the granulocyte colony stimulating factor that are FDA  
16 approved, and they are efficacious for radiation induced  
17 injuries, but that is not an indication.

18 And so I believe that that is one of the  
19 discussions in this meeting these next two days.

20 One of the misperceptions is the fact that we have  
21 a lot of the information already to address the radiation induced  
22 sepsis as caused by the irradiation, as the data that has come  
23 out of cancer therapy. That is far from the truth. In talking  
24 with Commander Douglas, the Chief of Radiation Oncology over at  
25 the National Naval Medical Center and auto oncologist (phonetic),

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 they don't get into a problem like that.

2 They have fractionated exposure. You won't see the  
3 type of injuries that we will see in a battlefield or in a  
4 radiological or nuclear event. So they don't get into a  
5 situation where the crypt cells and the lining of the intestinal  
6 walls are destroyed because they do not want such complications  
7 because it would complicate their treatment.

8 And so there is a void, and so a lot of that  
9 information has to be generated, and we're focusing on that as  
10 one of our new projects.

11 The fact is antibiotic treatment and all of that,  
12 the resistancy that is occurring in a dynamic mode is causing a  
13 lot of challenges, and if you look at the various places where  
14 our troops are going to deploy, the organisms that are  
15 increasingly resistant to antibiotics will pose a challenge to  
16 all of us.

17 This is the briefing outline. When we talk about  
18 the threat, the threat situation goes from a worst case scenario,  
19 low probability, high liability, all the way to increasing  
20 probability and lower liability, all the way to a situation where  
21 from the battlefield we get into involvement with CONUS and  
22 terrorism in a nuclear radiological sense.

23 In terms of the specific threats, we're looking at  
24 the radiological dispersal device where you take a large  
25 radiation source, whether it be an industrial source or a medical

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)



1 source and place a large explosive device on it and detonate it  
2 in a situation of opportunity, highly traversed area, heavily  
3 populated area.

4 The issue there is not only the injuries that will  
5 occur, but also what we call the radiophobia, the "worried well"  
6 based upon the experiences not only in World War I, but with the  
7 Tokaimura criticality accident, and many other incidents.

8 One of the issues and challenges we face from a  
9 medical perspective are the "walking well" or the "worried well,"  
10 and those are the individuals that may flood our medical system,  
11 and so when we have a challenge discriminating and  
12 differentiating those who are actually injured and actually need  
13 medical attention versus those who really believe, really believe  
14 that they are injured, but do not need attention, but they need  
15 the reassurance and the psychological countermeasures or to be  
16 addressed in terms of their mental health status.

17 In terms of placement of radiation sources, a  
18 scenario that we're very concerned about is the fact that parties  
19 to be, groups may place multiple sources throughout the United  
20 States in highly traveled areas, subway systems, and then two  
21 months afterwards, then they identified the location of the  
22 sources.

23 Individuals may or may not have symptoms, and then  
24 they say, "Oh, my gosh, I've been at these subway stops," or,  
25 "I've been at these locations that were identified by the

1 terrorists, and I don't feel so good."

2 But the terrorists identified the location of one  
3 of the sources and said, "We have many other sources elsewhere,"  
4 and can you imagine the phobia? Can you imagine from the medical  
5 systems, the medical personnel that would have to address this  
6 situation?

7 It would be just a tremendous challenge to all of  
8 us to deal with such a situation.

9 Certainly one of the considerations that we have is  
10 the construction of nuclear reactors in the area of operation,  
11 and we're principally looking at the old CONUS situation in the  
12 various theater of operations, and that's what we're trying to  
13 engage.

14 I'll show you a map of some of the reactors that  
15 we're concerned about later on, and certainly the use of nuclear  
16 weapons, maybe not sophisticated, what we call improvised  
17 devices, certainly not at the efficiency of the technology that  
18 we have, but improvise, it could be very effective in producing  
19 KT type yields, kiloton yields.

20 Our mission is medical readiness, and the  
21 components of that medical readiness is to do research, and the  
22 research is to develop products to prevent, assess, and to treat  
23 the radiation casualties, the injuries, also to develop  
24 techniques or procedures to give to health care providers on  
25 possible best ways to treat individuals.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1                   Certainly we train medical personnel with the  
2                   medical effects of ionizing radiation course.     Before last  
3                   Tuesday, we have a group over in Asia, in Japan, and they were  
4                   still in place, providing training to U.S. medical personnel in  
5                   Japan and Okinawa.

6                   Right now we still have them in place, and they're  
7                   scheduled to move out to Korea to provide that training.     It's  
8                   the first opportunity we have to train not only U.S. personnel,  
9                   but also the Korean military and civilian medical personnel.

10                  So they were in place, but I have a very short  
11                  leash on them.     In case something happens, I will pull them back.  
12                  I will not hesitate to do that.

13                  In terms of advice, we have a memorandum of  
14                  agreement with the J-4 medical, as well as OSD, Nuclear Matters,  
15                  as well as our commitment to the CINCs, CINC surgeons, and other  
16                  individuals.     In fact, we were called by one of the CINC surgeons  
17                  asking for support.     I deployed one of my officers yesterday.

18                  Certainly we have a team, and I'll say a little bit  
19                  more about that team later on.

20                  We have sources, as Colonel Riddle mentioned, and  
21                  Dr. Ostroff mentioned.     We have some sources which very few  
22                  people know about.     We don't advertise it because to us it's the  
23                  normal operations.     Okay?

24                  The first source we have is our trigger reactor.  
25                  We can simulate the nuclear pulse of a detonation     or go

1 continuous mode irradiation.

2 One of the things is we do not have a power  
3 reactor. There is not an opportunity for a criticality event.  
4 The way that the trigger reactors were built and designed is the  
5 fact that it isn't possible for it to go critical because once it  
6 achieves a certain temperature, it has a self-quenching mechanism  
7 that shuts the reactor down.

8 And so there's absolutely no way that it can go  
9 critical mainly because of the design of this type of research  
10 reactor. It is a very unique reactor because of our two exposure  
11 rooms, and for those taking the tour, you'll see.

12 Those taking the tour, we'll pulse the reactor,  
13 simulate the radiation pulse from a detonation, tremendous  
14 shooting from the water so that you'll get zero dose. Okay?

15 You'll see the Cherenkov radiation, which is  
16 exactly what the Japanese, the three Japanese individuals saw at  
17 Tokaimura criticality event without the dose. So you're see the  
18 Cherenkov for those taking the tour.

19 We also have a high level cobalt radiation facility  
20 rated at 400,000 Curies, and so we can do any experiments that we  
21 need or investigators.

22 Indeed, we have a linear accelerator that can give  
23 us a 54 MeV electron, giving us a good dose rate on X-rays. We  
24 also have a low level exposure facility to look at very low level  
25 chronic exposure, which is an issue that the folks in Europe

1 encountered and something that is an issue to all of us.

2 We have a veterinary facility, which is a 35,000  
3 square foot facility. We house rodents up to procines, canines,  
4 non-human primates.

5 In terms of a research team, we have four research  
6 teams, and I'll say a little bit more about each of these teams.

7 In terms of the first team, Dr. Seed, the  
8 requirement there or the objective there is to look at the  
9 development of products of drugs, pharmaceuticals to reduce the  
10 number and severity of the radiation induced casualties.

11 We're looking at pre-treatments and treatment  
12 drugs, and the philosophy is the fact that if we know that our  
13 folks are going into harm's way, into a nuclear environment, a  
14 radiological situation, the pre-treatments may be used to  
15 minimize the potential exposure, and if this happens, and even  
16 though they're exposed, at least the injury is minimized, and so  
17 you have a greater opportunity to deal with the injury because it  
18 would not be as severe.

19 And so an ounce of prevention is worth a pound of  
20 cure, and if, indeed, they don't have the pre-treatment on board,  
21 we're really working heavily on the treatment modality, looking  
22 at restimulating the hematopoietic system.

23 Indeed, one of the products that Dr. Seed and his  
24 group are looking at is this particular steroid, the 5-  
25 Androstenediol. We're talking about providing the steroid one

1 day before the exposure to two and a half grays (phonetic) in the  
2 rodent model, and we're looking at subcu. administration versus  
3 oral.

4 If you take a look at the controlled group, no  
5 medical intervention with the irradiation. Get about 20 percent  
6 survival approximately, 15 to 20 percent survival.

7 But if you provide the medication in an oral  
8 fashion one day before, you get about 50 percent survival. But  
9 then if you give it subcu., you're looking at potentially 100  
10 percent survival.

11 This is quite an amazing compound because if given  
12 even two hours after exposure, you get the same results. So not  
13 only is it a potential pre-treatment. There's a potential -- and  
14 I only say "potential" -- that it might be even a treatment  
15 modality.

16 In terms of biodosimetry, we're talking about a  
17 situation where a lot of our troops are maybe in a domestic  
18 situation. A lot of our folks may not have the physical  
19 dosimeters that a lot of us carry. Okay? Not the  
20 thermoluminescent detectors.

21 In the military, in the Army, we have the DT-236,  
22 but it's very difficult to imagine that a lot of civilians are  
23 walking around with their dosimeters. Okay? And so we have to  
24 have a way of estimating the radiation dose to allow for triage  
25 potentially and/or assessment of the unit radiological status.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1                   Certainly in this particular situation, one thing  
2                   is to draw the blood and make an assessment. The current gold  
3                   standard procedure takes about three days, two and a half to  
4                   three days, an unacceptable length of time.

5                   We've shortened that to one day, but our objective  
6                   is to develop an assay that would be able to be performed in less  
7                   than an hour or even shorter.

8                   AFRRI is the only DOD lab with such capabilities,  
9                   and one good thing is that this lab capability is a reach-back  
10                  capability for a deployment team, and so our institute is there  
11                  to support our deployment team.

12                 We take a look at the possibility in terms of where  
13                 that biodosimetry capability can be infused or incorporated into  
14                 the battlefield. We know doggone well that the battlefield will  
15                 become asymmetrical very quickly in the future. So it's not  
16                 going to be a nice, neat, orderly arrangement, and there's going  
17                 to be significant challenges for all of us.

18                 So this is the best case. Asymmetrical is probably  
19                 the real situation where there is not straight, nice line of  
20                 delineation on the battlefield.

21                 In terms of the NBC interactions and  
22                 countermeasures, what we're talking about is the combined insult  
23                 and synergy effects of not only radiation, but also something  
24                 else, whether it be chem. or a bio. agent.

25                 We're refocusing this study into a different area,

1 and that is the translocation of the normal gut flora, the  
2 enteric organisms as far as a translocation as induced by  
3 radiation. That's what we're refocusing this effort.

4 But I just want to show you a little data in terms  
5 of what we found in terms of the combined injuries of radiation  
6 and a Bacillus anthracis Sterne insult species.

7 So this is what we're transitioning to, and  
8 certainly all of the data allows for incorporation into a  
9 casualty model.

10 This is an example of the results that we have  
11 during the combined injury studies. If we take a look at the  
12 rodent model and seven grays (phonetic) of exposure, 100 percent  
13 survival. But if we provide an intratracheal infusion of the  
14 Bacillus anthracis Sterne with that quantity, we get about 60  
15 percent survival.

16 If we combine both the radiation and the Sterne  
17 insult or Bacillus anthracis Sterne insult, we get less than a  
18 percent survival, and that's the example of combined injuries.

19 But what happens if we were able to pre-vaccinate  
20 the rodent and then provide the insult or insult the animals with  
21 the Bacillus anthracis alone?

22 As seen with the vaccine that a number of us have  
23 been vaccinated with, we get 100 percent survival, just as we  
24 would expect. But, indeed, if we put not only vaccinate, but  
25 also irradiate the rodent model, we don't get 100 percent

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)



1 survival. We get 80 percent. We still get 20 percent mortality,  
2 and this is unacceptable to us.

3 And so we have to find ways to reduce this  
4 mortality rate, and that's one of our objectives.

5 One of the other things is the fact that when we  
6 talk about combined injuries, there's a lot of information we  
7 don't know, and this was a surprising finding to us at least. We  
8 talk about the combined injuries of radiation and also the  
9 Bacillus anthracis, but we also are looking at the bacteria  
10 that's isolated from the various organs and tissues of the mice  
11 to see what organisms profuse and/or challenges to infection and  
12 what we may have to do for those radiation injured casualties,  
13 service members.

14 If we just take a look at just the irradiation  
15 alone, and we're talking about sub-lethal irradiation in the  
16 various doses without a challenge, we find that the organisms as  
17 isolated from the various organs and tissues of the rodent --  
18 there are none. That means the gut is intact. The crypt cells  
19 have not been destroyed. The lining is intact, and so that  
20 prevents the translocation causing sepsis.

21 If, indeed, we just provide the spore challenge  
22 without the irradiation, just the spore challenge, what we see is  
23 the fact that, indeed, as you would see, we have the Bacillus  
24 anthracis, the Sterne species, isolated from each of the organs  
25 and the various tissue as expected during a challenge of the

1 Bacillus anthracis.

2 But what happens when you combine both a sub-lethal  
3 irradiation and the spore challenge? What happens here at the  
4 various three, five, and seven gray exposure with a spore  
5 challenge, we see not only the Bacillus anthracis, but we see all  
6 of these other organisms that have translocated.

7 We didn't expect to see that. We anticipated that  
8 if, indeed, there was no synergism, we would only see the  
9 Bacillus anthracis just like up here with a sub-lethal exposure.

10 But we have all of these other bacteria which ciprofloxacin by  
11 itself would be inadequate to treat these individuals.

12 And, again, throwing in the resistancy to the  
13 various antibiotics, we do have challenges, and now with this  
14 data we alert the health care providers that they may have  
15 challenges if we ever get into a situation like this.

16 So this is the type of data that we're generating.

17 Certainly you have heard about the challenges in  
18 the depleted uranium arena where a number of our soldiers have  
19 come back from Desert Shield and Desert Storm, and there's  
20 implications of potential health effects.

21 Note that the numbers of individuals are very low  
22 from a statistical point of view, and to date we have not found  
23 or the VA has not elucidated any definitive ill health effects.  
24 We are doing studies in that arena to look at potential  
25 carcinogenic and mutagenic effects, and that's the studies that

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 are ongoing here at AFRRRI, and AFRRRI is the only DOD lab  
2 performing that type of study. And we were very instrumental in  
3 providing the open literature, peer reviewed journals or peer  
4 reviewed articles on that, providing it to our NATO allies and  
5 all the so-called individuals very concerned about this.

6 So we're trying to play the honest broker on that.

7 This is one study we've done looking at the human  
8 osteoplast sarcoma and looking at the transformation of that  
9 particular cell line when exposed to depleted uranium and a  
10 number of other potential metals that are known as carcinogens,  
11 mutagens.

12 And what we're looking at here is the  
13 transformation rate when these cells, the osteoplast sarcoma, the  
14 normal and the transformed; when they're exposed to the various  
15 metals. And this gives the rate of transformation per 500,000  
16 surviving cells.

17 Then on this line we're taking a look at the number  
18 of tumors formed when a million of these transformed cells are  
19 injected into immune compromised rodent. As you can see, with  
20 the insolubility yield, you get a tremendous transformation rate  
21 as opposed to the controls.

22 But the tungsten, nickel, cobalt is considered as a  
23 potential replacement discussion. But if you look at this  
24 potential replacement, it may not be as free from concerns.

25 And so if, indeed, there is considerations, we

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 really have to take a look at it, and you can see the potential  
2 tumorigenicity issues here, too.

3 But the ace in the hole is the fact that phenyl  
4 acetate could possibly mitigate these effects if, indeed, we find  
5 that there is a situation there. And so we're looking at  
6 potential ways of dealing with it not only to potentially  
7 identify.

8 I don't think there's any doubt in our minds that  
9 next time if we ever get into a tank-on-tank battle, that we are  
10 not going to be the only ones with a DU, and so we are not just  
11 going to see friendly fire casualties in terms of DU casualty,  
12 but they will be OP-4 inflicted.

13 Operational support in terms of the course itself,  
14 I mentioned the fact that our team is in Asia right now. We  
15 provide a lot of training throughout the year, but of course, in  
16 DOD, as you all can imagine, the budget situation is really a  
17 challenge, and we've been told that our budget will be  
18 potentially zeroed out next year in FY '02 on the training  
19 aspect, and so that poses challenges for us in terms of having to  
20 look at the potential of distance learning.

21 But, indeed, that is a challenge not only for us,  
22 but also for the medical management chem.-bio. casualty course  
23 also. So we all face challenges in these austere times.

24 In terms of our advisory team, this is our team  
25 that deploys. This we deploy as part of the consequence

1 management advisory team, which is the DOD team that deploys to a  
2 weapons incidence, Broken Arrow situation, a radiological  
3 emergency.

4 We stood up and are on alert as of last Tuesday,  
5 and we're prepared to deal with any situation.

6 Today I was supposed to travel to Japan right after  
7 this talk, and so face the challenges of Dulles airport, but I've  
8 decided that it's prudent that Colonel Jay Cox and myself will  
9 not go and let the folks that are over there deal with it, and so  
10 we're in place to deal with any situation, and hopefully we will  
11 not have to do that at all.

12 But these are the things that we have the  
13 capability of doing with our team.

14 Our concern is in the Korean theater, the number of  
15 reactors there, locations. We've developed plumes and plots, and  
16 there are certain situations that we're very concerned about in  
17 terms of the release of the radioactive components in the core if  
18 there is an incident, if there is a conflict on the peninsula.

19 The same type of challenges in Japan not only from  
20 the operatives, North Korean operatives in Japan, but also the  
21 situation that Japan is earthquake prone, and if you look at most  
22 of the reactors, they're along the coast for cooling purposes.  
23 But if there is a severe earthquake, not only the direct effects  
24 of the earthquake, but also the tsunami that could be generated  
25 on that.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1           In the '20s, there was an earthquake called the  
2       Great Continental Plain earthquake. At that time, that was  
3       before the Richter scale was developed, and at that time,  
4       although there was only a description of the magnitude of the  
5       destruction, it was postulated that the Richter scale assignment  
6       was either in the eights or high eights, which is quite dramatic  
7       because that's a log factor scale.

8           In conclusion, the readiness aspect is a now  
9       situation rather than a later situation. We've always stated  
10      that it's better to develop a plan now rather than to develop  
11      plans or contingency plans during a crisis because that is the  
12      worst time to develop a plan.

13          It's always nice to pull a plan off the shelf and  
14      spruce it up and modify than to have to go into a crisis mode  
15      because we'll have a thousand things on our plate, and it is not  
16      the optimal situation.

17          So that concludes my briefing. Again, I certainly  
18      am gratified to host the AFEB meeting here, and if there's any  
19      situation or issues or needs, please let me know or my folks  
20      know, and I'm sure that a lot of the topics on the agenda are of  
21      tremendous interest to us.

22          And, again, thank you very much, and subject to  
23      your questions, that concludes my briefing.

24                 DR. OSTROFF: Thank you very much, Colonel Eng.

25                 We have just a couple of minutes before we get into

1 the preventive medicine updates for questions. I have a couple  
2 that came to mind.

3 One of them is I wonder if you could speak to what  
4 type of staff you have in a facility like this. Most of us are  
5 primarily in the medical arena, but I would imagine dealing with  
6 the types of things that you deal with that you also need to have  
7 nuclear physicists and personnel such as that. I wonder how you  
8 staff the facility in terms of very specific areas of expertise.

9 And the other question that I had was when you're  
10 talking about trying to determine or develop rapid detection  
11 methods to determine if someone has been exposed to or had a  
12 nuclear exposure, is anybody thinking about noninvasive ways to  
13 be able to make that determination?

14 COL. ENG: Let me answer the question on the  
15 staffing. I have the commitment from the services, Army, Navy,  
16 Air Force, staffing from the military perspective, officers and  
17 enlisted, have approximately 160, 170 individuals, military and  
18 civilian, approximately half and half in terms of military and  
19 civilian.

20 The type of specialties that I have range anywhere  
21 from support staff or logistician to health physicist, to  
22 biochemists, microbiologists to physicians. I have physicians  
23 and health physicists on my response team, but they also serve  
24 other functions in terms of the training, the medical effects of  
25 ionizing radiation course, as well as occupational safety,

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 occupational safety physician.

2 And so we do a lot of double dutying and a lot of  
3 overlapping responsibilities. So Army is the largest number.  
4 Navy comes next, then Air Force. You see a varied diversity in  
5 the science area to approach all of these functions.

6 In terms of the second question was?

7 DR. OSTROFF: Noninvasive mechanisms to identify  
8 whether someone has been exposed.

9 COL. ENG: Actually the mechanisms we were looking  
10 at are to draw blood and to take a look at the potential  
11 chromosome damage in the blood, and that's sort of the gold  
12 standard in looking at the dicentrics and centric appearances  
13 during a specific dose of radiation, therefore a correlation to  
14 the estimated radiation dose.

15 One of the things we're getting into that we think  
16 may give us tremendous sensitivity is to look at bioindicators,  
17 molecular indicators that may be more sensitive to give us an  
18 estimation.

19 Again, it would require the sampling of withdrawing  
20 blood samples. Right now there are some studies being done to  
21 look at the electron spin resonance signals in terms of a  
22 noninvasive, maybe nonsampling of bone type tissue, teeth or  
23 whatnot, invasive and noninvasive to look at that.

24 But we were looking at at least the sampling of  
25 blood and looking at the sensitivity of that at this time. We

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)



1 think there's tremendous opportunities there, and there is an  
2 international panel, ISO panel, taking a look at the standards of  
3 biodosimetry from an international perspective and to see what  
4 studies or techniques can be adopted on the world. So that's  
5 what's happening.

6 DR. OSTROFF: I mean, I would think with the DARPA  
7 folks, they would just figure out some way to just wave a wand  
8 over somebody eventually or something like that and tell whether  
9 or not they've been exposed.

10 There are a number of other questions. Let me  
11 start with Phil.

12 DR. LANDRIGAN: Colonel, I've got two questions,  
13 please about the 5-Androstenediol. First of all, you said that  
14 it would still be effective two hours after an exposure, and I  
15 wondered if you were pushing that envelope to see if you could  
16 get out beyond two.

17 And then my second question was I wanted to ask if  
18 you had plugged that compound into those synergy experiments that  
19 you describe where you expose the animals simultaneously to  
20 radiation and to the various bacteria.

21 COL. ENG: On the second question, that would be  
22 the ideal situation. We have not performed those studies yet.  
23 That would be ideal to include some of the other pre-treatments  
24 that we currently have that show promise.

25 Is Dr. Seed in the audience?

1 Dr. Seed can address your first question.

2 Could you go to the microphone, Dr. Seed?

3 DR. SEED: Concerning the second question, actually  
4 we've done some experiments with combined injuries and the  
5 protection with 5-Androstenediol against the infectious challenge  
6 within irradiated animals, and it's quite effective there.

7 On the second question, the second question was?

8 DR. LANDRIGAN: Could you push out the envelope?  
9 The colonel had mentioned that it was still effective at reducing  
10 casualties if you administered it two hours after exposure, but  
11 can you push that out to three, four, six, 12?

12 DR. SEED: Those experiments haven't been done yet,  
13 but we do know that shortly thereafter irradiations, in contrast  
14 to some of the more classical radioprotectors, this protects  
15 after the exposure.

16 DR. OSTROFF: Yes.

17 GEN. CLAYPOOL: You know, terrorists seem to go  
18 after relatively soft targets or unexpected targets, and in the  
19 nation these days, it seems that chemical and biologic weapons of  
20 terrorism have garnered a great deal of public interest and  
21 support.

22 I'm a little concerned that as a nation maybe we're  
23 not focusing as much as we should on trying to be able to either  
24 prevent or deal with the medical consequences of some sort of a  
25 radiologic terrorism.

1 I'm just curious. Are you aware at the national  
2 level is there some agency like Department of Energy that has the  
3 lead on looking at this? And if so, is Department of Defense  
4 participating with this in terms of looking out over the horizon  
5 to try to reduce the risks and be able to address any of the  
6 consequences?

7 COL. ENG: As you know, the legislation is  
8 concentrating mainly on the chem. and bio., and for the response  
9 teams. Early on we tried to interject to surgeons, the National  
10 Guard NGB surgeon, in terms of trying to incorporate and include  
11 the radiological training.

12 And indeed, because of the restriction of the  
13 legislation to address only chem. and bio., there were hands tied  
14 such that they did not get a robust training in the radiological  
15 area, and so there has been some shortfalls in that training, and  
16 so the emphasis has mainly been on chem.-bio., and we are behind  
17 in terms of that radiological readiness.

18 So I don't have a good feeling. I really don't  
19 feel very good about that because of that, of what's happening.

20 DR. OSTROFF: Colonel Bradshaw.

21 COL. BRADSHAW: Yeah, Colonel Eng, I just wanted to  
22 ask if, and confirm, I guess, that when you're talking about the  
23 biodosimetry measurements that you're speaking of whole blood and  
24 not serum.

25 And I also wondered if you had looked at this in

1 stored blood. Can you still do the same kind of measurements?

2 COL. ENG: I'm going to have to defer to Dr.  
3 Blakely. I know that what we're really keying in on in terms of  
4 the dicentric and the centrics are the white blood cells. That's  
5 the component we're looking at in terms of the chromosomal  
6 defects for an estimation of the radiation dose.

7 When we start taking a look at the molecular  
8 indicators, we're looking at the components of the plasma.

9 If Dr. Blakely is here, we'll get an answer, and  
10 I'll get you two together for any more definitive response to  
11 that.

12 CAPT. SCHOR: There have been a lot of open press  
13 reports about threats to nuclear reactors, power generation  
14 plants. Do you have any comments that would be appropriate to  
15 discuss that threat in this audience?

16 COL. ENG: Note that the power reactors -- we've  
17 made a number of assessments in terms of the downwind plume and  
18 also the construction of the reactors, the so-called Western  
19 design with the containment facility versus that of the graphite  
20 reactors which do not have a containment facility.

21 I was able to visit last year the St. Petersburg  
22 reactor right outside of St. Petersburg, which is a graphite  
23 reactor, and it's really quite an opportunity to stand on top of  
24 the core of the reactor and look at all of the fuel rods and the  
25 Cherenkov radiation glowing from the fuel rod. It's really

1 interesting to step into the generator room and see this gigawatt  
2 generator with a shaft about yea.

3 The graphite reactors are a situation where we've  
4 assessed that if there is a bad situation, which the quality  
5 assurance has really been heightened because of Chernoble, a lot  
6 of quality assurance even by the Russians have been put in place,  
7 but if something should happen or assessments in terms of the  
8 threat to U.S. personnel in EUCOM is such that it will not hit  
9 the action level that mandate the use of potassium iodide, the  
10 activity levels will be above background, but nowhere should it  
11 trigger action levels because of the distance and the dilution  
12 factor as it would reach the U.S. population in EUCOM.

13 It's a little bit different if we take look at  
14 the Korean and the Japan situation because of the greater  
15 challenges there, but let me just state that there's one  
16 situation that would challenge a Western design reactor. The way  
17 it's real critical is the fact that there is a primary cooling  
18 system as well as a back-up cooling system, and this is in all  
19 Western design reactors.

20 The only way that a criticality can occur is the  
21 fact that both systems are simultaneous, and I quote  
22 "simultaneously," corrupted. Then we get into a potential  
23 criticality because there's not enough cooling capacity to take  
24 away the heat load of the core.

25 If it occurs sequentially, that's usually not a

1 problem because there's enough capacity, but if somehow the OP-4  
2 or operatives are able to disable them simultaneously, we could  
3 get into a pretty bad situation, and I think that the OP-4  
4 terrorists know this fact, and it's whether, indeed, there are  
5 operatives in those countries.

6 And certainly if there was a conflict on the  
7 peninsula, one of the things you'd want to do is shut those  
8 reactors down and really cause us to have problems, not only the  
9 South Korean folks, but ourselves in terms of disruption of  
10 activity.

11 If you look at California, what that did to  
12 compromise your abilities to carry on normal operations with your  
13 power shortage. So that's what the situation may be.

14 DR. OSTROFF: We have time for one more question.  
15 Dr. Haywood.

16 DR. HAYWOOD: What's the duration of protection of  
17 the vaccine? Duration of protection?

18 COL. ENG: For the?

19 DR. HAYWOOD: The vaccine.

20 COL. ENG: The vaccine. Are you talking about the  
21 anthrax vaccine or --

22 DR. HAYWOOD: No, the Andros-3 (phonetic).

23 COL. ENG: Duration of protection. I'll defer to  
24 Dr. Seed.

25 DR. SEED: We've gone from 24 hours prior to

1 exposure down to two hours after exposure. So, again, the window  
2 of protection is between 24 hours, again, prior to exposure all  
3 the way through just following exposure.

4 DR. OSTROFF: Can I ask one last question?

5 I was really fascinated by the data you presented  
6 about the combination of the radiation exposure and then the  
7 anthrax exposure, and I'm curious because it wasn't clear to me  
8 from the presentation. The anthrax exposure, was that an aerosol  
9 exposure or was that an oral exposure?

10 And I'm wondering if you tried it both ways to see  
11 if it made a difference since there are various ways that anthrax  
12 causes disease.

13 COL. ENG: The route of exposure for the Bacillus  
14 anthracis Sterne species, not the weaponized species, was  
15 intratracheal, and the reason why we went with the intratracheal  
16 and the Sterne species is the fact that that allows us to conduct  
17 the studies here.

18 We had plans to conduct the inhalation experiment  
19 with the weaponized Bacillus anthracis, but unfortunately, the  
20 focus of our study as mandated to us was to stop that study and  
21 focus ourselves to the translocation of enteric organisms in the  
22 gut.

23 And so those studies have been put on hold by  
24 powers above us. So that was something we had planned to do, but  
25 because of priorities set upon us, we'll not be able to do that

1 in the near future.

2 DR. OSTROFF: Well, thank you once again, and once  
3 again, thank you for hosting the meeting. I'll look forward to  
4 the tour this evening.

5 COL. ENG: Well, thank you very much.

6 DR. OSTROFF: Why don't we move on to the updates?  
7 I think the first one is from an old friend to the board,  
8 Colonel Diniega.

9 DR. DINIEGA: Am I that old? I hope you didn't  
10 mean chronologically, Steve.

11 DR. OSTROFF: Huh-un.

12 DR. DINIEGA: Good morning, and I'm always glad to  
13 be a part of the Board activities.

14 What I'd like to do is just provide a little bit of  
15 an update on things that have occurred since our last meeting.

16 Next slide.

17 This is the agenda that I'd like to address this  
18 morning. These are issues that are at least high up on our  
19 plates and our radar screen at this point.

20 The influenza vaccination policy, because of the  
21 slow-down in distribution, was signed on September 10th by Dr.  
22 Clinton. We average about three million doses a year, and we had  
23 a sole source producer this year in Aventis Pasteur.

24 Our delivery schedule, as with the rest of the  
25 country, has been slowed down, but we're a lot better than last

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701



1 year. We expect 25 percent by mid-September, 65 percent in  
2 October, and the remainder by the beginning of November.

3 At this time last year, by mid-September I think we  
4 had about 250 doses only.

5 The priority pretty much follows the last year's  
6 scheme, priority to medically high risk patients, operational  
7 forces, and direct health care providers. And we've asked that  
8 all our facilities delay mass vaccination campaigns until  
9 November, after delivery of the remainder of our vaccine.

10 Tetanus containing vaccines continue to be in short  
11 supply. The company, the manufacturer states that this will  
12 probably extend into early 2002. In May, and I think it was  
13 briefed at the last meeting of the AFEB, there was a consensus  
14 statement by the Joint Preventive Medicine Policy Group that was  
15 distributed to all of the services.

16 The priority for vaccination goes to people  
17 traveling to diphtheria risk countries, to be used for  
18 prophylaxis in wound management, and to people and persons with  
19 less than three doses of tetanus.

20 This will be a controversial topic. The IOM is  
21 expected to release a report on 20 September, and this is from  
22 the Vaccine Safety Committee of the IOM.

23 The Interagency Vaccine Group, which is a federal  
24 agency, and I'm the DOD liaison to the group, is currently  
25 preparing a statement on its release, information papers and Q&A

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 sheets to be used by public health departments across the  
2 country, and they will share all of those with DOD, and we'll be  
3 able to utilize that in our system.

4 Yellow fever vaccine, I'm sure you've all heard of  
5 the seven deaths following vaccination reported in the last MMWR  
6 Notice to Readers on 3 August. There were seven deaths between  
7 1996 and 2001, all of multi-organ system failure related to  
8 vaccination with the current vaccine.

9 The JPMPG, we're going to review our service  
10 policies, take a look at the CDC recommendations, and we expect  
11 more to come out after the ACRT meeting in October and certainly  
12 look at the risk information as this is considered one of the  
13 safest vaccines around.

14 The current crisis, just to let you know that we do  
15 have a 24-hour emergency operation center, and the Office of the  
16 Secretary of Defense Crisis Control Center and the Executive  
17 Support Center has been operational since the afternoon of the  
18 tragedy.

19 We have a Health Affairs Desk that is manned 24  
20 hours, seven days a week, and it's manned by Colonel Driscoll's  
21 shop, Health Operations Policy, and they're doing a great job.

22 And our primary mission is to coordinate medical  
23 issues between the Office of the Secretary of Defense, who  
24 decides on medical support to be given outside of DOD and also  
25 within DOD, and other agencies and services to include the Joint

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 Staff, the services, FEMA, Office of Emergency Preparedness, et  
2 cetera.

3 Each of these agencies and services had their own  
4 24-hour emergency operation center, and on the schedule you'll  
5 see that General Peake, the Army Surgeon General, will be  
6 speaking on medical support to current operation later on in the  
7 morning.

8 Subject to your questions, that's my briefing.

9 DR. OSTROFF: Thank you, Colonel Diniega.

10 DR. GARDNER: Ben, I was at a meeting in Atlanta  
11 last week, actually last Tuesday, dealing with the influenza  
12 issues with CDC, and I guess, although it's one of the  
13 interesting issues for us to consider, is it looks as if the  
14 live, attenuated influenza vaccine will probably be licensed for  
15 adults reasonably soon. It's a little less clear what the  
16 pediatric age will be.

17 But, that looks pretty good in terms of protection  
18 and mucosal immunity. It may actually have some herd immunity  
19 that might be important, particularly in the military situations.

20 Are there studies or considerations for what we'll  
21 do if and when that vaccine becomes available?

22 DR. DINIEGA: Well, I think we've discussed this at  
23 several meetings, and number one is we'll have to wait until it  
24 becomes licensed.

25 Number two, we'll have to take a look at the cost

1 and then take a look at the CDC recommendations and then decide  
2 whether or not -- see, we usually follow ACIP recommendations  
3 unless there is a military unique reason for our own  
4 recommendation within the approval process and within the purview  
5 of the approval.

6 So we would discuss it at the Joint Preventive  
7 Medicine Policy Group at least before we would decide on any  
8 further recommendations concerning use in the military  
9 population.

10 I think the issue will probably be cost as one of  
11 the biggest issues.

12 DR. OSTROFF: Yes.

13 DR. BERG: Bill Berg.

14 Ben, coming back to the tetanus containing  
15 vaccines, as I recall, the CDC had a fourth group in the priority  
16 listing, women, pregnant women who had not gotten a booster dose  
17 for more than ten years.

18 Did the JPMPG buy into that also, or did --

19 DR. DINIEGA: I just gave you to top three  
20 categories, and I do have a statement there that I can share with  
21 you, but that was on the list for prioritization of the vaccine.

22 DR. BERG: Thank you.

23 DR. HERBOLD: Ben, you mentioned for the influenza  
24 vaccine that one of the priority groups were operational forces.  
25 Does that include or exclude training commands, training

1 installations?

2 DR. DINIEGA: There is a separate group for recruit  
3 training. You're talking about recruit training, and I think one  
4 of the problems we had last year with the slow-down in  
5 distribution, one of our larger concerns was being able to  
6 vaccinate prior to Christmas leave, and I think it looks like  
7 we'll be able to do that this year, although for the early use of  
8 the vaccine, we have not put them up as high as operational  
9 forces that we'll need to deploy.

10 In today's current situation, I think that even  
11 takes on more significance. So we're hoping to vaccinate the  
12 recruits prior to their going on Christmas leave. That's what  
13 we'd like to do.

14 But operational forces, when we speak of  
15 operational forces, it's headed as those that will deploy, and  
16 the immediate deploy should have the highest priorities.

17 Sir.

18 DR. LANDRIGAN: Phil Landrigan.

19 Ben, what's your betting on how the IOM is going to  
20 come down on thimerosal?

21 And related to that, is thimerosal really an issue  
22 for adults? I thought that was principally a pediatric problem.

23 DR. DINIEGA: You're exactly right. The issue that  
24 CDC and American Academy of Pediatrics have been addressing has  
25 been the use of the preservative in vaccines for infants and

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 children, and that is the focus that they have. Although we do  
2 know that there are some adults who have problems clearing  
3 mercury, I'm not so sure that they're going to answer that.

4 And then as far as do we know what they're going to  
5 say, when I sat on the teleconference the last time, and I don't  
6 know if Dana, who substituted for me recently, has any further  
7 information, the issue was going to be still focus on children.  
8 And actually the interagency vaccine group was not too sure what  
9 was going to come out until they saw the report which they  
10 thought they would get advance copies several days ahead of the  
11 release.

12 Dana, do you have any?

13 COL. BRADSHAW: Yeah, I asked that question  
14 specifically about the adults, and it does seem to focus  
15 primarily on children.

16 Just to bring in the perspective on adults though,  
17 we have had some Gulf War veterans actually come before chief of  
18 staff of the Air Force and also Admiral Clinton in Health Affairs  
19 with concerns about the amount of thimerosal and organic mercury  
20 that they might have received getting multiple vaccinations,  
21 including also immune globulin which had thimerosal in it because  
22 of what they get in a single dose, maybe getting as much as 100  
23 micrograms or so at a time.

24 And the confusion there comes in in how they've  
25 interpreted the EPA's referent dose, which amounts for a 70

1 kilogram man about 17 micrograms, you know, as allowable.

2 But the referent dose is actually for a lifetime  
3 minimum, and they interpret it as a single even though the EPA  
4 says that that's not supposed to be the way that it's  
5 interpreted. But again, you're working with lay people and their  
6 concerns are of that nature.

7 DR. OSTROFF: I think -- one more?

8 COL. STAUNTON: Yes. Michael Staunton, United  
9 Kingdom.

10 I'd like to raise the issue about vaccination with  
11 horse (phonetic) protection and preparation, whether or not as  
12 part of preparation vaccination is envisaged and what  
13 implications you think that might have for a combined -- for  
14 something particularly if we're working as allies, that we should  
15 seek to use exactly the same vaccinations.

16 DR. DINIEGA: You're talking about use of vaccine  
17 on a multi-national course level. I am familiar with some of the  
18 issues mainly because I used to at one time in a previous  
19 assignment work on NATO issues, and I know in the arena of  
20 biological warfare, the NBC Working Group has a standing  
21 subcommittee that is looking at making recommendations and only  
22 recommendations. I don't think they're headed towards a STANAG  
23 (phonetic) on vaccines to be used in NATO operations.

24 The issues are many. The issues are licensure, and  
25 the issues are procurement issues and purchase issues.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 But I think it would be good to have sort of a  
2 standardized approach to it. I know during the Persian Gulf War  
3 when I was the Preventive Medicine Officer in Korea, the south  
4 Korean Republic of Korea forces did come to us for assistance in  
5 procuring vaccines that they knew our U.S. military was being  
6 vaccinated with prior to going over to Southwest Asia.

7 And we did cooperate and assist them, and there has  
8 been other instances where that has occurred. I think the nice  
9 thing is that in some of the vaccine development arenas it has  
10 gone to multinational development.

11 DR. OSTROFF: Okay. I'm going to try to keep on  
12 schedule, but I do have one more question I wonder if you could  
13 address.

14 DR. DINIEGA: Of course.

15 DR. OSTROFF: Being that we don't have the good  
16 Colonel Grabenstein on the schedule this time, the first time in  
17 quite a while, I wonder if you can address if there are steps  
18 being taken to try to get the other lots of anthrax vaccine that  
19 currently haven't been released by the FDA release.

20 DR. DINIEGA: I think the efforts that he briefed  
21 on at our last meeting continues, and the controversy over the  
22 vaccination program continues. I think we're all aware that it's  
23 down to a real trickle and selected use of the anthrax vaccine  
24 because of the short supply.

25 DR. DINIEGA: I think it's a much more critical



1 issue now to try to get them released.

2 COL. BRADSHAW: This is Colonel Bradshaw.

3 There has been work done on an IND protocol to use  
4 other lots of vaccine for post exposure prophylaxis, along with  
5 ciprofloxacin. So there is a protocol that some of the lots that  
6 may not currently be FDA released, that in such a contingency  
7 those lots could be used if you did know of an exposure.

8 The other thing is I was in a meeting just  
9 yesterday, and particularly the events of the last week, there's  
10 been some plus-ups in money, including additional monies to try  
11 and get an additional fermenter at Bioport to try and increase  
12 their capacity.

13 DR. OSTROFF: Thank you.

14 Let's move on to Colonel -- and I'm bad with names  
15 -- Gunzenhauser.

16 COL. GUNZENHAUSER: That's good.

17 DR. OSTROFF: Thank you.

18 CAPT. YUND: He has trouble with it himself  
19 sometimes.

20 (Laughter.)

21 DR. OSTROFF: Thanks, Jeff.

22 Withers was always very easy.

23 COL. GUNZENHAUSER: Good morning. I'm Jeff  
24 Gunzenhauser from the Army's Surgeon General's Office.

25 I spent many years out at Madigan, and we used to

1 have a saying out there that at least in the Army Medical  
2 Department, you were either at Madigan or you wanted to be at  
3 Madigan, but now since I've been out here on the East Coast and  
4 they've finally got me out here, it's really been an exciting  
5 time.

6 I think I last spoke to AFEB maybe ten years ago on  
7 some respiratory disease issues. It's a pleasure to be back, and  
8 I look forward to working with all of the Board members very  
9 much.

10 I might answer one question Dr. Herbold asked about  
11 flu vaccine for trainees, and based on our initial estimates, we  
12 believe in the Army we have enough vaccine in the early delivery  
13 to cover our trainee base. So they're actually the fourth  
14 priority behind operational forces, health care workers, and high  
15 risk beneficiaries. Trainees are fourth, and we believe we have  
16 enough vaccine in the first delivery to cover those.

17 So this year we're in a much better position than  
18 last year.

19 I'm just going to cover three topics this morning.  
20 The first one I'm just going to cover very briefly because Dr.  
21 Diniega has reviewed this.

22 We, following the policy that the JPMPG developed  
23 earlier this year, the Army developed its own tetanus vaccine  
24 policy, and you can see here that was published in the 4th of  
25 June, and basically the prioritization scheme is exactly what the

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 JPMPG advocated and also the same as the ACIP recommended.

2 One thing that we did put in here that is an issue,  
3 and I hope we won't have to get into this, but if we do run out  
4 of tetanus-diphtheria vaccine, then we're going to be looking in  
5 some situations of maybe just using tetanus toxoid.

6 And you run into some issues there of  
7 hypersensitivity reactions if you use a diphtheria, tetanus-  
8 diphtheria booster sooner, and we've put some guidance out  
9 regarding that.

10 The second policy I was going to mention, I know  
11 there's been a longstanding recommendation for all of the  
12 services to screen for varicella and to immunize trainees and  
13 other groups, and we did sign off on a policy this summer in July  
14 which implements a vacs. (phonetic) varicella screening and  
15 vaccination program, and you can see the populations that are  
16 targeted here.

17 There's a little bit of different guidance for the  
18 different populations. For the trainees themselves, we have a  
19 mandatory program. It's called the Varicella Screening and  
20 Vaccination Program, actually developed by Dr. Niebuhr while he  
21 was Preventive Medicine Officer at Fort Knox.

22 And we've reviewed that real extensively and have  
23 adopted the procedures that were used at Fort Knox.

24 The Army has adopted the option for the trainees to  
25 go with a history as opposed to screening all trainees

1 serologically. It involves answering a simple question of  
2 whether or not you've had varicella, and the responses that are  
3 possible are yes, maybe, no, and I don't know, and we count those  
4 that say yes or maybe as a positive history of varicella.

5 And those who say no or don't know are screened,  
6 and if they are found to be non-immune, they are vaccinated. And  
7 that's been found to be effective based upon the work that was  
8 done at Fort Knox.

9 We have a relatively aggressive program. We're  
10 trying to vaccinate everybody by day number three. The policy  
11 that's recommended is to initiate vaccination within the first  
12 two weeks.

13 Funding is somewhat of an issue. We've actually  
14 found, and I think this information was presented earlier to the  
15 AFEB, that there's a net cost savings to the Army through  
16 vaccination. Most of the cost savings has accrued on the  
17 operational training side with a net loss really to the medical  
18 activities.

19 And even though the overall is a net savings, we  
20 felt it necessary to reimburse the medical activities for the  
21 costs incurred as a result of screening. So we've identified  
22 that as a funding requirement, and that is working its way  
23 through our resource management channels, and we expect it will  
24 be funded.

25 This policy takes effect on 1 October, and I'll be

1 tracking it to see how well it's implemented. Our focus is right  
2 now primarily on trainees, but we're also looking at other  
3 beneficiaries in accordance with ACIP guidelines.

4 This is just a summary of the net cost, and you can  
5 see here that for the Army Medical Department we estimated a net  
6 cost of \$252,000 and the amounts to the various treatment  
7 facilities are shown there, and that is what we're hoping to  
8 reimburse them this year.

9 The last area that I wanted to update the Board on  
10 is acute respiratory disease surveillance programs, some  
11 guidelines that we published this summer.

12 I think many of you are familiar with the Army's  
13 Respiratory Disease Surveillance Program. This has had a  
14 longstanding tradition which originated actually in the '60s and  
15 '70s as part of the adenovirus vaccine development program,  
16 initially intending to identify emerging strains of adenovirus  
17 which might require further vaccine development.

18 It was found to be very successful for a number of  
19 programs. So this has been ongoing for a long time.

20 The last time this policy was written was in 1995,  
21 and my understanding why we revised the guidelines was because of  
22 the changes that managed care brought in a specific aspect of our  
23 surveillance program, and that was that historically these  
24 guidelines mandated that trainees that met a certain clinical  
25 case definition, temperature over 100.5 and a flu-like illness

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 with any respiratory symptom, had to be hospitalized.

2 And that was wonderful because we were able to  
3 identify those cases and count them, and we could keep very good  
4 track.

5 However, with managed care changes recently wit an  
6 emphasis on out-patient care, nowadays many of these trainees are  
7 not hospitalized. Some of the basic training centers on their  
8 own initiative have set up various ways of taking care of these  
9 trainees, generally keep them out of the barracks and putting  
10 them in infirmary type situation, not in a hospital, with some  
11 supervision and management.

12 But the cost of that is they're not captured on the  
13 surveillance side. So we revised our guidelines and said you  
14 should count trainees who have lost duty time of eight hours or  
15 greater or have had some type of profile, a limitation of duty  
16 specified.

17 And so we're capturing those cases now, and that  
18 was the main purpose of the revision this summer.

19 For those of you who are not familiar with this, we  
20 do require weekly reporting, and there's a number of things that  
21 are counted, the number of trainees, the number of those that  
22 have respiratory disease, those that have a throat culture done,  
23 and the numbers that have positive throat cultures.

24 And we have certain indicators. You'll see later  
25 when we talk about non-vaccine approaches to respiratory disease

1 and adenovirus control. I'll show you a little bit about some of  
2 these indices that we track the ARD rate particularly, and I'll  
3 show you that later.

4 And we've also defined some response measures in  
5 event of an outbreak.

6 So those are the three items I wanted to cover for  
7 this report to the Board. I'll be glad to take any questions  
8 that you might have at this time.

9 DR. OSTROFF: Yes, I do have one quick question.  
10 With the varicella screening do you have any information about  
11 the ones that say, "No, I don't know," what percentage of them  
12 turn out to susceptible?

13 COL. GUNZENHAUSER: My understanding is that even  
14 those that say no or they don't know, it's still about 70  
15 percent.

16 Do you have information on that, Doctor? Is that  
17 not correct?

18 Right. So 70 percent are immune and 30 percent are  
19 susceptible. So they end up being vaccinated. I think we've had  
20 several studies that have looked at that, and that's pretty  
21 consistently what's been found.

22 Are there any other questions?

23 DR. OSTROFF: Other questions?

24 DR. DINIEGA: I have one.

25 Jeff, on the ARD surveillance, the capturing of

1 limited duty or removal from duty for eight hours, is that being  
2 done through the surveillance system or administrative  
3 surveillance of some sort?

4 COL. GUNZENHAUSER: That's being performed locally,  
5 if I understand. The question is who's capturing that  
6 information. We do not have a computerized system that captures  
7 the duty status of our active duty folks. The way that is  
8 accomplished is on the ground. The preventive medicine staff at  
9 the five Army basic training centers are working with the clinics  
10 and saying, "We need to have information about who you've given a  
11 profile or who's got limited duty," and collecting that data  
12 daily, and that's how it's being reported.

13 DR. OSTROFF: One last comment since tetanus has  
14 come up several times. New York City didn't have any problem  
15 getting a hold of a significant amount of tetanus. So my  
16 understanding was they got about 80,000 doses.

17 DR. DINIEGA: There was a notice that went out that  
18 said, immediately following the crisis, that the company had  
19 redirected and has stopped distributing until they could see what  
20 the needs were for the immediate consequence management of the  
21 medical needs.

22 DR. OSTROFF: Thank you.

23 COL. GUNZENHAUSER: Thank you very much.

24 DR. OSTROFF: Our next presenter is Colonel  
25 Bradshaw.



1 COL. BRADSHAW: Okay. Colonel Bradshaw, and I'm  
2 going to be trying to speak pretty quickly on this since I have a  
3 few things I'd like to go through with you on it.

4 And I just want to acknowledge my colleagues. I  
5 have preventive medicine resident Mylene Huynh, who's here at  
6 USHUS (phonetic), who's been rotating with us, helped with this  
7 development of this presentation; also Vic Macintosh who's back  
8 at the office covering the home front, and so I just want to give  
9 them some credit.

10 These are things I want to talk about. I'm  
11 speaking primarily about immunization topics today, but I did  
12 want to cover some preliminary results we have looking at kind of  
13 an evaluation of how last year went with the influenza  
14 prioritization issues and the delays that we had in delivery of  
15 vaccine.

16 So we did an assessment of that plan and also are  
17 looking forward to what we're going to do this year to deal with  
18 some of those issues since they'll still be a sequential delivery  
19 of vaccine, albeit maybe not as delayed as last year.

20 We also want to just mention briefly the yellow  
21 fever vaccine safety study that we're planning, and also progress  
22 on the Air Force Child Immunization Registry.

23 And lastly, just to brief you about some  
24 transitions in the preventive medicine community here in the  
25 national capital area.

1           This is just a quick review. I'll differ just a  
2           little bit with Colonel Gunzenhauser. The priority one actually  
3           has several groups contained within it, but these were all to be  
4           immunized simultaneously in parallel. So there are a large  
5           number of groups there, some being operational considerations and  
6           others being high risk medical concerns.

7           But all of these in the plan last year were to be  
8           immunized first off in parallel, and those are the groups right  
9           there that you see in that grouping.

10          Next category actually was the trainee population.

11          So they're really second in our prioritization scheme, and I may  
12          need to talk with Jeffrey about how he figures out this year he's  
13          going to be able to get them all in the first round because we  
14          didn't figure out how to do that this year, but they all should  
15          get it in the second shipment.

16          The third category, of course, is other groups that  
17          would be in contact with the high risk patients found in the  
18          first group.

19          The fourth being active duty military and priority  
20          for deployment or what many of us would have called mobility,  
21          then other active duty members with age stratification, and then  
22          lastly other beneficiaries.

23          I just want to remind folks that this is the reason  
24          behind some of those categorizations, and one thing I want to  
25          point out is age is one of the most significant risk factors.

1 It's kind of a U shaped curve, and those that are over age 65  
2 actually have a higher risk ratio for hospitalization and also  
3 mortality than even people with chronic health problems that are  
4 younger.

5 And you'll notice later on in some of the  
6 evaluations that there's some confusion about this, I think, out  
7 in the field in terms of how things were done in actuality  
8 despite the way we prioritize them with health affairs and from  
9 the service levels.

10 First I'm just going to speak briefly on some data  
11 that we got out of AFCITA. Again, this is preliminary, and we  
12 plan to do some additional studies on this later, but I want to  
13 show you just a little bit of things we've been able to find by  
14 using our utilization registry information.

15 And then secondly we'll talk about survey results.

16 We looked at it by age since age was a  
17 consideration in risk factors, in particular, and this kind of a  
18 Pareto chart. Later on we'd like to do some survival analysis,  
19 but this is just a Pareto chart looking at cumulative numbers of  
20 people immunized over time.

21 And you'll see that the age over 65 did get vaccine  
22 ahead of the rest of the group, in general, and so there was a  
23 little bit of lead time, and people did manage to prioritize some  
24 of these individuals. So at least that's some encouraging  
25 information.

1           When we actually look at it by status, military  
2 status, however, there are some interesting things that popped  
3 out. In particular, you'll notice even though the trainees were  
4 second in the list, the cadets at the Air Force Academy actually  
5 received it before anybody else, and this is very clear.

6           And I did go back and actually check with some of  
7 the folks at the Air Force Academy and found that that was a  
8 policy change locally that kind of preempted, I think, what we  
9 had put forth either from Health Affairs or from the service  
10 level.

11           So that was something we were able to find out just  
12 by looking at our immunization registry.

13           The other is kind of clumped together, although you  
14 did see that the Reserves seemed to get vaccine after everyone  
15 else.

16           PARTICIPANT: Is that 100 percent of the people, I  
17 assume?

18           COL. BRADSHAW: We also did a survey that we sent  
19 out, and we actually offered this to all of the services to do,  
20 and we have gotten responses from all of the services. But I  
21 should mention that the data we have so far, about three fourths  
22 of the response are from the Air Force so far.

23           We had an n of about 50, and we're actually  
24 probably still collecting some data. We were doing this even up  
25 to yesterday. So this is hot off the presses, I guess we could

1 say.

2 Almost all of the people were aware of the flu  
3 vaccine prioritization plan from last year. So they can't at  
4 least plead ignorance, or at least they say they weren't  
5 ignorant.

6 And most of them said it was clear and  
7 understandable. So I don't guess confusion would be the  
8 complaint.

9 And actually most of them said they also  
10 implemented changes locally in response to that prioritization  
11 plan.

12 We also emphasized last year trying to catch people  
13 up on pneumococcal vaccine. This was an emphasis in CDC and the  
14 ACIP and others to try and catch people since we knew we were  
15 going to be late with the flu nd since a lot of these things run  
16 together. And most of them also did that. So that was good.

17 Now, this is just how the prioritization went out.

18 We asked them to rank these different categories, and we  
19 actually put them in order for them, but without a ranking. And  
20 despite that there were some interesting things that kind of came  
21 out.

22 They did seem to be able to figure out that most of  
23 the operational needs and the high risk chronic medical  
24 categories should be done early, but I think the thing that  
25 stands out from this particular slide is that those over age 64

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 and pregnant patients seem to be ranked much lower, even though  
2 we intended for all of these people to get first priority and to  
3 get vaccine at the same time. So I think that's the carry home  
4 from this slide.

5 For the other groups that ranked below the priority  
6 one, this is how things came out. You'll notice that I think  
7 it's a little hard that we train people so much that readiness is  
8 the main thing as it's kind of hard to ship their thinking here.

9 So the active duty on mobility actually is the highest ranking  
10 in this group.

11 The others fall out. Several clump together sort  
12 of in the middle, and then the other beneficiaries fall out where  
13 you would think they would at the bottom.

14 But just another way of looking at this, if we  
15 actually did this ordinally, it turns out that trainees are kind  
16 of lumped towards the bottom even though they are kind of grouped  
17 closely with some of the others.

18 But as was mentioned earlier, the Air Force Academy  
19 and the cadets rearranged that priority and made them first.

20 The other contact high risk persons ended up three,  
21 active duty on mobility second, and the others, you see how they  
22 fall out there, but just some interesting things to see how the  
23 ranking in reality turned out.

24 Some other observations we had, and again, I'll  
25 stress this is preliminary, and we're still going back through

1 the survey data, but we notice that mass immunization and a  
2 reminder recall was mostly used for active duty. It's kind of  
3 the thing we've always done. We've called people back by unit,  
4 and we've put them on a shot line where they've come out to the  
5 work site and done the work site immunizations.

6 They use provider recommendations mainly for the  
7 high risk patients in those categories, and other means, although  
8 we had things like standing orders and protocols and some other  
9 things that they could have used to signify if they had used any  
10 of those, they were not seen to be used as much.

11 Some of our early conclusions based on this is that  
12 the first priority groups, again, were accurately identified,  
13 except for the 65 and older and pregnant patients. One of the  
14 things that we've gotten early already back from the field is the  
15 perception that because things were so delayed and that Medicare  
16 patients have access to getting their shots at the local grocery  
17 store, that a lot of them did that. And, in fact, there are some  
18 places like Luke Air Force Base in Arizona where we have a lot of  
19 retirees that said they have a lot of vaccine left over at the  
20 end of the year, and that may be, indeed, what happened.

21 I know even in my own office my colleague, Vic  
22 Macintosh got his shot for ten bucks, I think, very early in the  
23 season from a civilian source, and I got mine on the 17th of  
24 January being in the Air Force Surgeon General's Office.

25 (Laughter.)

1 COL. BRADSHAW: Of course, I was very closely  
2 watching the CDC reports on influenza in Virginia.

3 But the previous emphasis, as I mentioned before on  
4 active duty seems to persist in the local ranks. Some local  
5 medical decisions to reprioritize, we mentioned that, but I think  
6 we could increase our use of reminder recall quit a bit.

7 This is some of the things we plan for this year.  
8 We want to provide a one-page summary of the rank order DOD  
9 prioritization plan basically using the categories that I showed  
10 you earlier.

11 We want to post the CDC flyers in all clinics that  
12 alert patients to the issues and who's at high risk, and not only  
13 that; provide the ability to self-report using a CDC developed  
14 questionnaire so that patients can identify themselves to their  
15 providers and also to the immunization clinic.

16 We're also in the Air Force going to and already  
17 have, in fact, gone back through the in-patient and out-patient  
18 databases looking at ICD-9 codes that are for high risk medical  
19 conditions, and we've identified those by individual. We're  
20 going to provide that list back to the military treatment  
21 facilities and allow the local military treatment facilities to  
22 do reminder recall.

23 The limitation here, of course, is that when  
24 patients are going through the clinics, you may catch the ones  
25 that are coming through, but if they don't come through in that



1 two to three-month window, you might miss them. So we want to do  
2 reminder recall if we can.

3 We also want to do enhanced statistical and more  
4 detailed statistical analysis. We'd like to probably do some  
5 survival curves looking at some of these groups and categories;  
6 maybe also look at it by location, et cetera.

7 And we'll reassess and maybe do the same drill at  
8 the end of this season. So this is just some information of what  
9 we plan.

10 Just very quickly, the yellow fever vaccine safety  
11 study, as this was mentioned earlier by others this morning, but  
12 there were six deaths associated with yellow fever vaccine.  
13 Since then they've identified at least one other case that they  
14 know of that's probably associated.

15 ACIP currently did not make any changes, but  
16 they're reassessing, as Colonel Diniega mentioned. This is an  
17 issue for us as the Navy and the Marines vaccinated all  
18 essentially with yellow fever. I think FORCECOM, Colonel  
19 Gunzenhauser says, also has that police.

20 The Air Force currently does mainly mobility, but  
21 there are some of our operational people that probably get it on  
22 a routine basis, and we were actually thinking because of  
23 logistical considerations in the previous safety of the vaccine  
24 of going more aggressive with this across all services.

25 So this puts a little cautionary note on that, but

1 basically what we're going to do is use the immunization  
2 registries that we have to look at health utilization within ten  
3 days of having received vaccine since that seems to be the window  
4 for this kind of organ failure, and we'll be able to compare that  
5 with the number of people that we have in the immunization  
6 registry, maybe get some incidence rates and also just get a  
7 better look at the safety profile.

8 And we're working with the folks that do the  
9 vaccine safety data link studies at the CDC and the defense  
10 medical surveillance system, Colonel Rubertone and his shop, to  
11 do these studies, and hopefully that will help the ACIP and  
12 perhaps AFEB guide us on our future policy with yellow fever  
13 vaccine.

14 Just lastly I want to briefly mention that the Air  
15 Force in about 1998 went to doing active duty documentation of  
16 all immunizations in our immunization registry, and as of July of  
17 2000, we've made that same move for all of our beneficiaries, not  
18 just active duty.

19 And part of that has, of course, been transcribing  
20 some of the older immunizations, but we gave folks a year to try  
21 and get up to speed. And one of this is that the child  
22 immunizations is the top priority and the priority for  
23 prevention. Many of you may have seen in the American Journal of  
24 Preventive Medicine the study that was done by the Partnership  
25 Prevention and others on establishing priorities for prevention

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 and child immunizations is right at the top.

2 So we want to try and be able to do the HEDIS  
3 metric actually across DOD, but in the Air Force we felt like the  
4 immunization registry was an important tool to do that.

5 So we have put this in, and this is where we are.  
6 You notice there's a very broad spread by military treatment  
7 facility, and some facilities have gone above the HEDIS average,  
8 but many of us are still trying to get there, and this probably  
9 just reflects the work that it takes to get this stuff in.

10 But I think we've made a lot of good progress, and  
11 I think this is going to be very beneficial to us in  
12 documentation and also being able to later look at safety with  
13 children's vaccines, perhaps participate in future vaccine safety  
14 day link studies (phonetic) with CDC and others for our kids.

15 Lastly, I just want to let you know that this may  
16 be my last meeting as a formal AFEB representative for the Air  
17 Force. However, I hope it's not my last AFEB meeting. I am  
18 going to be going over, as it looks, to the Global Emerging  
19 Infection Surveillance and Response System.

20 Lieutenant Colonel Kelly Woodward, who's currently  
21 at the Population Health Integration Team, is going to come over  
22 and take my place. Lieutenant Colonel Vic Macintosh will remain  
23 there, and all of this should happen about hopefully by the first  
24 of November.

25 So I just wanted to let you know that, and you

1 might be seeing some new faces here at the meetings.

2 Any questions for me?

3 DR. OSTROFF: Well, let me just start by saying  
4 that, Colonel Bradshaw, we will certainly miss your  
5 presentations. They've always been very insightful and  
6 wonderful. And good luck on the new assignment.

7 COL. BRADSHAW: Thank you.

8 DR. OSTROFF: Questions?

9 Pierce, do you have any comments about yellow fever  
10 sine it's come up several times?

11 DR. GARDNER: Well, it's, first of all, a surprise  
12 that this is the oldest vaccine we use, and up until a couple of  
13 years ago, we thought beyond about six months or seven months of  
14 age it was pretty much completely safe. To have these happening  
15 still requires an explanation. Looking at the manufacturers and  
16 all, they haven't found much.

17 The problems have almost all occurred in elderly  
18 people. So I think that the concern for the troops, active duty  
19 troops, we haven't identified a problem in that age population at  
20 all.

21 But I do think it's a big issue for travel clinics  
22 and particularly the elderly, but I think it's not a -- I would  
23 make one anecdote. I had to write something about yellow fever a  
24 few years ago. So I called CDC and I said, "When was the last  
25 case of yellow fever in a U.S. citizen?" This was 1992. We've

1 had a few cases.

2 And they said, "Well, call Greeley, Colorado or  
3 Boulder."

4 DR. OSTROFF: I know the date.

5 DR. GARDNER: And so they said, "Call there. The  
6 repository of the world's wisdom of yellow fever is Tom Monath,  
7 who'd love to go into private industry."

8 I finally tracked him down in Boston. This took a  
9 while. So I finally got hold of the guru, and I said, you know,  
10 "What is the meaning of life? When is the last case of yellow  
11 fever?"

12 And he said the last documented case -- this is in  
13 '92 -- that he could find, I think, was 1928.

14 DR. OSTROFF: '27.

15 DR. GARDNER: We had gone 65 years roughly without  
16 a case.

17 So I said, gee, I'm glad it's a very safe vaccine  
18 because I'm sure there are a lot of people, backpackers and all,  
19 who slipped through the system.

20 So we would require a very high level of safety of  
21 this vaccine, and it is disturbing for the elderly to find this  
22 happening. But I don't think it's a big issue for the military.

23 DR. OSTROFF: Well, there are a couple of things.  
24 One is that there have been a couple of younger --

25 DR. GARDNER: Yeah, they've had cases now.

1 DR. OSTROFF: -- cases of this, and that's been in  
2 Brazil.

3 DR. GARDNER: Yeah, and Venezuela.

4 DR. OSTROFF: And we don't know if that's something  
5 that's unique to the Brazilian vaccine, which isn't the same one  
6 that's used in this country. I think it's a different vaccine.

7 And since over the last couple of years we've now  
8 had a series of international travelers who have come down with  
9 yellow fever, and part of the problem is that yellow fever is an  
10 emerging infection that's definitely on the rise.

11 We're about to send a team over to Abidjan to look  
12 at the first occurrence of urban yellow fever in a setting in  
13 quite a while, and so it's a significant issue.

14 COL. BRADSHAW: Yeah, I just might add that we're  
15 working with Marty Settron at the CDC on this, and the seventh  
16 case that they identified as an Equadoran who was here in the  
17 United States studying, but he was, but he was also 20-something.

18 The two Brazilian cases was a child and a 20-  
19 something year old woman, but the case here was an Equadoran who  
20 received the vaccine here in the United States to go back home,  
21 and then had organ failure, but fortunately he survived, but they  
22 were able to document with tissue samples that it was vaccine  
23 strain.

24 DR. OSTROFF: Other questions? Phil.

25 DR. LANDRIGAN: Yeah, this is Phil Landrigan.

1 I'd like to go back to the influenza thing. It's  
2 clear that we were very lucky last year. We were caught with  
3 very little vaccine, and we were just fortunate that we didn't  
4 get hit with very much of a very aggressive strain.

5 But have we done a good, careful postmortem of what  
6 went wrong at that time so that we can hope to prevent it in the  
7 future?

8 COL. BRADSHAW: In terms of the manufacturing  
9 process and all of that?

10 DR. LANDRIGAN: Yeah, why it went south when it  
11 did.

12 COL. BRADSHAW: You know, obviously there's others  
13 that can speak to this. I participated in the influenza, and  
14 still do, in the influenza pandemic planning at the national  
15 level, and of course, this is a concern with everything, but a  
16 lot of it was at least for the military that our primary supplier  
17 was Wyeth Lederle who had significant problems in production, and  
18 those weren't all just problems growing the Panama strain because  
19 other companies did not have that problem as much. It was an  
20 issue, but some, like Mediva, last year were able to get their  
21 vaccine out very early.

22 In fact, the DOD had 230,000 doses of vaccine from  
23 Mediva early at the usual time when you normally get it, but our  
24 majority supplier last year was the one who had FDA good  
25 manufacturing practice problems, and so that that was a problem,

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 still is, I think, to a certain degree.

2 I think those issues remain, but people are trying  
3 to address them, you know, as much as possible. It's certainly  
4 an issue in trying to ramp up production, I think, early. If we  
5 have a pandemic strain and we want to try and get that out and  
6 get it plussed up, getting the manufacturing capacity.

7 We had four manufacturers before. One of them went  
8 out of business altogether, and so we now are left with three.  
9 So it seems to be a problem, and of course, we've talked about  
10 many vaccine production problems, and I know Joel Gaydos helped  
11 get the U.S. Medicine Institute looking at some of these issues,  
12 and we're looking at issues with government owned, contractor  
13 operated facility in the military, but we still have a lot of  
14 hurdles, I think, to jump to get there.

15 DR. OSTROFF: Yeah. I mean, as Dana said, it was  
16 basically a combination of the fact that two of the manufacturers  
17 were having GMP problems and also that the H3N2 component was  
18 somewhat slow in terms of how it grew, and it seems to be that  
19 combination that caused this to happen.

20 The GMP problems are what drove one of the  
21 companies out of the business. So one of them is still having  
22 some difficulties related to that.

23 Based on what's going on in terms of surveillance  
24 data, we still don't see a lot of H3N2 activity going on around  
25 the world, but we got really lucky last year that it was such a



1 mild flu season. I doubt it will happen two years in a row.  
2 It's got to show up at some point..

3 DR. GARDNER: I think one of the big variables to  
4 the system is that the different viral isolates grow in eggs at  
5 different -- some grow well, and some grow much less well. So  
6 the problems of getting the density of virus up to speed is a  
7 crap shoot a little bit each year, along with whether we guessed  
8 right.

9 So it's one of the variables in the system until we  
10 get to a different vaccine or grown in a more reliable system, I  
11 think we will be faced with this every periodically.

12 DR. LANDRIGAN: Are folks working on the  
13 development of such systems?

14 DR. GARDNER: Yes. It's quite a lot of --  
15 everybody recognizes that the system is prone to variation in  
16 supply because they're on a very tight time frame by the time  
17 they decide what's the vaccine going to be this year, and there's  
18 not a lot of leeway for anything to go wrong.

19 DR. OSTROFF: Well, hopefully the live vaccine will  
20 get us away from some of these problems.

21 Other questions? If not, let's move on.

22 GEN. CLAYPOOL: I'd just make a comment. You know,  
23 communicating health risk is such an important part of the  
24 Department of Defense's force health protection program, and I  
25 don't know how many of you are aware, but General Lester Martinez

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 chairs an interagency working group that deals with communicating  
2 health risk. It has members from Department of Defense, Veterans  
3 Affairs, and Health and Human Services, and it's actually  
4 international, too, because I see Dr. Maureen Fensom sits on it  
5 from Canada.

6 And I think I'm going to talk to General Martinez,  
7 but it seems to me that there's an opportunity to work together  
8 in communicating particularly influenza, and CDC has an excellent  
9 satellite broadcast capability, advising health risk of  
10 influenza, in addition to the fact sheets that you mentioned.

11 And I think as we also look at yellow fever when  
12 that issue is resolved, communicating the thimerosal issues, I  
13 think there's an opportunity to work together to do that.

14 So I think I'll ask General Martinez perhaps to see  
15 if he would head up a cell to look at this.

16 DR. OSTROFF: Good idea.

17 Let's move on and try to get through the other  
18 presentations.

19 Captain Yund.

20 CAPT. YUND: Good morning, everyone. I'm Captain  
21 Jeff Yund from HUMED (phonetic). I'm going to try to turn a few  
22 of my 9.3 minutes back in to try to get us back on schedule a  
23 little bit.

24 I have a little bit of good news about adenovirus  
25 vaccine. We are very close to having a contract be signed with a

1 manufacturer.

2 Now, I guess the flip side is that we're still  
3 looking at probably five or six years till we have vaccine ready  
4 to give to recruits again, but that's, I think, some good news  
5 anyway.

6 Tetanus toxoid, I don't think I need to say too  
7 much about that. We're going to have to just work through the  
8 next couple of weeks and couple of months since a fairly large  
9 amount of the product went to New York City, and we'll see how  
10 that goes.

11 Influenza vaccine we've talked about. Just another  
12 example of a vaccine shortage that we're seeing. A brand new or  
13 fairly new vaccine, Prevnar, I saw yesterday is going to be in  
14 very short supply for a period of time.

15 I wanted to mention just a little bit about the  
16 fall on leukemia cluster that I briefed you on at the last  
17 meeting. There's a lot of activity there. CDC, starting its  
18 case control study and ATSDR assisting with the environmental  
19 sampling part of that study.

20 Fortunately there have not been any new cases since  
21 May. There was unfortunately though a second death among the 14  
22 cases in the cluster.

23 Near future crystal ball. What I'm referring to  
24 here is in the wake of last week, I think all of the preventive  
25 medicine folks in DOD are starting to look ahead into the next

1 couple of weeks, couple of months to see what sort of preventive  
2 medicine sources or resources might need to be deployed. It's  
3 too soon to know exactly what's going to happen, but we're  
4 starting to look in that direction to see what our contribution  
5 can be as we move through the next response phase.

6 And unless there are any questions, that's it for  
7 me.

8 (No response.)

9 CAPT. YUND: Okay, great.

10 DR. OSTROFF: Thank you.

11 Dr. Schor, Captain Schor.

12 CAPT. SCHOR: Good morning. It's really good to be  
13 here especially when our building, which is the Navy Annex, was  
14 about a four and a half story. The jet on terminal guidance that  
15 went into the pentagon was about four and a half stories above me  
16 in a four story building, and we actually felt the pressure wave  
17 from the jet as it went over top of our wing. So it's really  
18 good to be here, and I really appreciate everybody that traveled  
19 here to come to this meeting. So thank you very much.

20 The other thing is the challenge of being number  
21 five to brief is my very able colleagues cover a lot of ground,  
22 but the fun of that is that my ability to get out of the box and  
23 cover some other topics makes it all that much more fun.

24 So if I could have the first slide, maybe. We  
25 don't have anybody up in the control room.

1 CDR. LUDWIG: They're having a problem.

2 DR. OSTROFF: Keep ad libbing.

3 CAPT. SCHOR: We'll keep ad libbing. Okay.

4 What I wanted to do was start with -- provide a  
5 little bit of an update on some of the injury prevention efforts  
6 that I brought forward to you last May.

7 And slightly less than a month after that briefing  
8 myself and a Preventive Medicine resident, Commander Fred  
9 Landreau, were invited to brief the Marine Corps Executive Safety  
10 Board, which consists of 21 flag officers wearing a total of 27  
11 stars by my count, and despite the fact that these generals had  
12 been up till 01 in the morning before, and Fred and I were at the  
13 north end of a southbound briefing train, w were at 1500 the next  
14 day. If I never have a more positive experience than that  
15 experience, I will be very happy and feel very comfortable as  
16 having contributed something as part of a career.

17 If I could have the next -- go two slides forward  
18 on, please. Can I have the clicker? There it is. Now I've got  
19 control. Okay.

20 So this is what we briefed. I gave you a heads up  
21 on that last time.

22 One of the things I did, I introduced basic, the  
23 injury pyramid and started out with the safety pyramid that goes  
24 with Class A, Class B, Class C mishaps. Class A are deaths or  
25 costs, I think, over \$1 million of loss of materiel.

1           Also you obviously have the public health model,  
2           but I made this apply to the commanders. These are the kind of  
3           categories that Marine commanders and most line commanders have  
4           to deal with from a personnel standpoint. So we tried to make it  
5           very applicable to those commanders, and we're still working in  
6           this basic model.

7           We briefed on right below deaths, disabilities.  
8           Those numbers are general estimations on musculoskeletal  
9           injuries. We think we can get data on administrative separations  
10          and perhaps some limited duties, but we're trying to put this in  
11          a model that the commanders can work with and also can brief them  
12          on where sports medicine interventions kind of work at, say , the  
13          second and third layers from the bottom, and how looking at that  
14          level of the pyramid has a great impact at the higher echelons  
15          where it's very costly.

16          We did some calculations. If we prevented about a  
17          third of the musculoskeletal disabilities in one year, it may  
18          save in the order of \$16 million. So it's kind of cost effective  
19          for the bean counter.

20          Just a couple other comments about that. We've  
21          gotten excellent support through Dr. Ostroff's help from the CDC,  
22          National Center for Injury Prevention and Control. There's an  
23          ongoing liaison there.

24          We now are data rich. We have complete data from  
25          1996 to the present on all injury attritions and all attritions

1 from the Marine Corps and are just starting to look and analyze  
2 that and getting some more MPH projects to help us out with that  
3 and other residents in preventive medicine.

4 Very interestingly, a lot of the advocacy that I've  
5 been working has also synced up with some leaders who are ex-  
6 recon. Marines, and there is an advocacy for a Marine Corps order  
7 on wellness. Now, that's a very interesting thing to consider  
8 for the Marine Corps.

9 There are two things that the general said as he  
10 held a meeting for us down at Quantico. One is that the Marines  
11 are beginning to realize that their leadership ability is being  
12 measured by their PFT score so that as they select for command or  
13 sergeant major, if it's between three Marines, the fellow that  
14 has the best PFT score gets the job.

15 They realize that may not be the right measure of  
16 leadership, even in the Marine Corps. So they're relooking at  
17 some of those things.

18 Also, they're realizing that the price of service  
19 should not be a broken body, and so they want to return well and  
20 able Marines to the society in order to continue their  
21 contributions to society as Marine veterans or Marine retirees.

22 Finally, I'll just mention a couple of the goals.  
23 Trying to continue to work on self-sustaining the analysis and  
24 research, and also trying to bring in this aspect of sports  
25 medicine to attack the lower levels of that injury pyramid.

1                   And now to some current events. Just to give you  
2                   some idea with what we're doing down at Headquarters, Marine  
3                   Corps, without going into a lot of detail because of maybe  
4                   security considerations, we're helping a lot of our displaced  
5                   shipmates. The Navy is dealing with this as it would at sea.  
6                   They're dealing with it as a damage control process, and they're  
7                   fighting the ship. They fought the ship that was attacked last  
8                   Tuesday.

9                   Everything is working well, but they're working  
10                  very hard, and the Marine Corps has been there to support them.

11                 This has demonstrated the many faces of prevention  
12                 to me. I've been very involved with conduct stress prevention.  
13                 I'll mention something on the next slide. I've been supporting  
14                 the Navy SPRINT team, which is the Special Psychiatric Response  
15                 and Intervention Team from Bethesda. We've gotten them involved  
16                 with the senior Marine Corps leadership, and they are very busy  
17                 debriefing large subcodes within the OPNAV staff to deal with  
18                 some of the personnel losses that the Navy has suffered.

19                 And basically I can only assure you that the Navy  
20                 and Marine Corps team is strong and ready to go in this what I  
21                 would call is a -- if we just got off the Cold War, I'll call  
22                 this the "Shadows War."

23                 This is about 90 percent of the text of a flyer  
24                 that was put up by the SPRINT team, and it gets to that idea of  
25                 reconstituting the fighting force of the Navy staff, and you have



1 that in a handout.

2 Some of my thoughts on some of the implications.  
3 If people don't understand what asymmetric warfare is before, if  
4 they didn't understand what it is before, they should understand  
5 what it is now.

6 I go to my prime intel. source, which is the  
7 Kiplinger newsletter, and it talks about other kinds of threats  
8 on its special edition of last Wednesday: cyber sabotage,  
9 contamination of water, poisons and biological pathogens in HVAC  
10 systems, stadium explosions, sabotage of nuclear electricity  
11 generating plants, all of these sorts of things; small scale  
12 nuclear bombs made from stolen atomic fuel.

13 These are some of the threats that we have to think  
14 the unthinkable.

15 I think that from a force health protection  
16 standpoint I'm not sure this is a young draftee's war that was  
17 the concern of many folks at my church this past Sunday. It's  
18 going to be a lot of folks involved.

19 I think we have to address the issues of vaccine  
20 availability, and some of the statutory barriers to employing  
21 countermeasures, such as INDs, and that's on the schedule.

22 And just a warning. As was reinforced last  
23 Wednesday morning by our senior leadership, all of us are in  
24 this. All of us are in this for operational security, and we  
25 have considered that we are at war since last Wednesday or last

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 Tuesday actually.

2 And maybe those of us in public health, preventive  
3 medicine, and medicine in general may be the soft spots that the  
4 terrorists try to get information from.

5 So I encourage you to all assume that your E-mails  
6 and your phone calls may be sources of information.

7 And then I would just like to say perhaps where the  
8 Board may be very critical to this process, these are just my  
9 thoughts. You can help us think asymmetrically. Some of us here  
10 in D.C. may get into group think. Maybe you can help us stay  
11 away from that.

12 Think of some of the vulnerabilities that we may  
13 not think about, and that as we have seen last week, the Homeland  
14 Defense requires a strong partnership, and I think this Board is  
15 very important for that.

16 Thank you.

17 DR. OSTROFF: Thank you for that presentation.

18 Again, I think all of the Board members would say  
19 all you have to do is ask us. We are always available to provide  
20 any assistance that we conceivably can.

21 I think in the interest of trying to keep on time  
22 since Lieutenant General Peake has arrived, what we'll do if we  
23 can is hold questions and maybe you can address them during the  
24 break.

25 Why don't we move on to Commander Ludwig?

1 CDR. LUDWIG: Good morning. Too much technology.

2 I'm starting off today with the implications of  
3 this national disaster to the U.S. Coast Guard. I made up my  
4 slides yesterday, and so it was really first and foremost on my  
5 mind.

6 As I've told you, as I've told this group before,  
7 every day, everywhere, the Coast Guard deploys. It's nothing new  
8 for us to deploy in our mission, our day-to-day mission.

9 However, what we have now is some deployments in  
10 the sense that usually the DOD thinks of deployments, and that is  
11 a couple, several of our port security units have been called up.

12 We do have a Homeland Defense mission with the Coast Guard. I  
13 think it's been in the news so that I don't have to elaborate on  
14 that.

15 But one of the things that's unique about our port  
16 security units as opposed to most of our other units is that it's  
17 at least half staffed by reservists. So a number of Coast Guard  
18 reservists have already been called up to take part in the port  
19 security mission, and one of our port security units is likely to  
20 be going overseas. We don't know yet for sure.

21 Anyway, with the mobilization of Reservists, we  
22 have a number of issues, although the units are responsible for  
23 keeping even the Reservists medically ready. We all know how  
24 things sometimes fall through the cracks with Reservists, and so  
25 I've sent out a lot of information in terms of some of the

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 current issues that we have to deal with as well as just making  
2 sure that these people are medically ready to go.

3 The vaccination issues I don't think I need to go  
4 into anymore, except to say that for yellow fever there was never  
5 a question in my mind that we would make sure everybody was up to  
6 date. The question in my mind was although I know that this is a  
7 requirement, it's a mission requirement; our people go into  
8 yellow fever endemic areas frequently.

9 Do we have an ethical obligation to let them know  
10 about the problems with the vaccine? And at this point I have  
11 chosen not to raise that red flag, but I think it's something  
12 that needs to be discussed.

13 And then finally, with the issue of tuberculin  
14 testing I've talked to this group a couple of times now about the  
15 problems with false positives on mass testing with skin testing  
16 for tuberculosis, and I have recommended not to do a pre-  
17 deployment TST or tuberculin skin test on these people unless  
18 they are in a high risk category.

19 Finally, for the national disaster, the issue of  
20 disease and non-battle injury and environmental surveillance is a  
21 big one for the Coast Guard because we do not have a system in  
22 place yet. And I have been pushing for this, as well as we've  
23 been pushing a number of readiness issues and disaster  
24 preparedness issues. We have not been funded in the past. Now  
25 we'll see if some of these things hopefully might change.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 Just a word about -- oh, how do I go back? Thank  
2 you.

3 Just a word about acute respiratory disease or  
4 febrile respiratory illness and adenovirus. At Cape May the line  
5 of real importance is the rate here, the blue line, and I will  
6 call your -- this is for all of 19 -- sorry; 19 -- 2001. I call  
7 your attention to the scale. It doesn't even go up to the  
8 epidemic threshold, which is 1.5. So we've had a good year so  
9 far.

10 And the green line, I find it useful or interesting  
11 really to plot the adenovirus positive cultures that we've sent  
12 to the Naval Health Research Center. We pretty much get  
13 specimens from almost everybody who fits the case definition at  
14 Coast Guard. So I think it's reasonable instead of doing a rate  
15 to show just the number of positive specimens.

16 And I think it's kind of interesting, and you'll  
17 see another slide later that shows some parallels between the  
18 rate and the number of cultures.

19 The rest of the time that I have up here I'd like  
20 to spend talking about the Sexually Transmitted Disease  
21 Prevention Committee and specifically the Surveillance  
22 Subcommittee. The STDPC is one of seven Prevention, Safety, and  
23 Health Promotion Council, or PSHPC, committees.

24 I think most of you are probably familiar with the  
25 PSHPC and the level of support that it has. The Executive

1 Council I was going to say includes the Surgeons General of the  
2 Army, Navy, and Air Force, the Assistant Secretaries of Defense  
3 for Health Affairs and Force Management Policy, and other such  
4 high level defense personnel, as well as Coast Guard personnel.

5 All of the uniform services are represented, and  
6 under the PSHPC, as I said, there are seven committees, one of  
7 which is the STDPC.

8 Under the STDPC, there are five subcommittees --  
9 boy, it's like you don't realize you're touching the button --  
10 five subcommittees, only two of which are currently active. We  
11 are coming up to speed, and these two subcommittees have been  
12 very active. The one that I'm going to talk about is the  
13 surveillance subcommittee, which we call the STDPCSS.

14 For the whole committee it has been emphasized a  
15 number of times that surveillance is probably the highest  
16 priority of anything that the STDPC can be working on because we  
17 need good surveillance in order to target, of course, and  
18 evaluate interventions.

19 I think we all are aware of the importance of  
20 surveillance in this group.

21 All right. I'm doing something wrong here. Toward  
22 the back? These things usually work on the screen, too.

23 We have outlined the goals, objectives, strategies,  
24 and so on, and what I've put up here is the two major strategies  
25 or objectives that we're working on and the strategies that we're

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 trying to achieve those objectives.

2 We would like to have some accurate, standardized  
3 surveillance of military STD incidences and basically the same  
4 thing for prevalences, and our first strategy is to basically  
5 identify and evaluate the existing surveillance tools for both  
6 incidence and prevalences.

7 As for the progress that we've made already, the  
8 service surveillance systems have basically been characterized  
9 and evaluated. The things that we've talked about, and we have  
10 this nice, large matrix, which I didn't want to try to put up  
11 because you wouldn't be able to see it, but these three items are  
12 the major categories of the things that we looked at for each of  
13 the service systems.

14 And in terms of prevalence, as we've collected, Dr.  
15 Gaydos really has done a wonderful job pulling together a big  
16 stack and bibliography of targeted prevalence studies, and we are  
17 in the process of writing up the report.

18 We had discussed presenting some of the data and  
19 conclusions at this point, I think, because I was not able to  
20 work on it this past week, I am going to postpone that, but I  
21 will update the AFEB on this periodically. Hopefully at most of  
22 our meetings I will update. And so next time I hope that we have  
23 some more data to provide.

24 I will say though that at the U.S. Medicine  
25 Institute for Health Studies meeting that they're holding

1 together with DOD, GEIS or GEIS on STDs, regaining lost ground  
2 and improving the future, Lieutenant Colonel Vic Macintosh, well  
3 known to this group, will be presenting some of the findings that  
4 we have in this group.

5 I also meant to mention this, first of all, with my  
6 slide on national disaster, that Commander Mark Tedesco, who has  
7 many times sat in my seat or what was his seat originally, is in  
8 New York at Ground Zero as the medical advisor to the management  
9 support team for the disaster management, disaster medical  
10 assistance teams, or DMATs, and he's been there since Wednesday  
11 morning, maybe Tuesday evening. I'm not exactly sure, and I've  
12 talked to him a number of times. He's doing well, but he is our  
13 Coast Guard medical representative to the effort.

14 That's all I have, subject to your questions.

15 DR. OSTROFF: Thank you.

16 I think we'll have to hold questions.

17 CDR. LUDWIG: All right. Yes.

18 DR. OSTROFF: Colonel Staunton.

19 COL. STAUNTON: Yes. If I may, I won't go to the  
20 podium. I have no slides. I have no need to.

21 Firstly, thank you for inviting me. I'm very  
22 honored to be here.

23 I will go straight to, firstly, my goal, which is  
24 that where possible, I wish to foster cooperation between  
25 research in the United Kingdom and the United States. And so,

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)



1       therefore, I take this opportunity to make myself known to you so  
2       that if you wish to contact me, please do so, and I will insure  
3       that we get together with the right people on both sides of the  
4       Atlantic.

5               There are two concepts or two ideas which I feel  
6       may be of use, and one we have discussed already, and that is  
7       that we have used an initiative in the Army which has been a  
8       physician led project looking at working days lost, the gathering  
9       of that data to use as a tool to look at the means of prevention  
10      of injuries and of fast track treatment.

11             We have found that particularly useful, and in the  
12      future we're going to put advisors or certainly one particular  
13      advisor, Colonel Miller, in the United Kingdom, and I'm hoping  
14      that he will come over here and share with you the information  
15      which we have gathered and the differences it has made both in  
16      policy in terms of giving information to the chain of command,  
17      which is useful, and therefore, in a sense de-medicalizing  
18      certain issues. And we have found that, as I say, to be  
19      extraordinarily useful.

20             So I hope that I can give the benefit of that work  
21      to you.

22             There is another area, which was touched on, and I  
23      think it is appropriate, particularly in the light of recent  
24      events that we should tackle again, and I should quote from Sun  
25      Tsu (phonetic), who said, "Kill one and frighten a thousand."

1 I think in the light of what is happening in our  
2 world today, this is particularly appropriate to us, and we  
3 should prepare ourselves, again, in the area of prevention and in  
4 the light of military wisdom and military history.

5 Right now a project with the Royal Marines started,  
6 and I know the Marine Corps is interested in, is in combat stress  
7 prevention and treatment, and again, we've emphasized de-  
8 medicalizing the problem. That is to say that our approach is  
9 not just in terms of preparation, but preparation of individuals  
10 within units.

11 So that peer groups can identify those at risk  
12 following traumatic events, and that the chain of command, that  
13 is, the commander himself, instigates the work which will be done  
14 within the unit.

15 So as the medical officer and, indeed, the padre  
16 and other professional advisors are close at hand and are --  
17 right at the beginning will give advice, we are actually turning  
18 the treatment, the looking after of people, the communication  
19 process we are turning over to peer groups.

20 So right now we run courses, for instance, and they  
21 are from full colonel down to marine. So those are two projects  
22 that we're working on.

23 And I must say that on the practical level, for  
24 instance, we are flying a team in from the United Kingdom this  
25 weekend, and I'm probably going up later on today or tomorrow

1 certainly, and I'll be looking very closely at how in a sense the  
2 work we've been doing in the military we can apply to the  
3 civilian situation.

4 I'll take your questions if you have any.

5 DR. OSTROFF: Yeah, I think we'll hold the  
6 questions if possible, and thank you very much for your comments  
7 and your words of support.

8 Colonel Fensom.

9 LT. COL. FENSOM: Yes. I'll be short and hopefully  
10 keep you on time.

11 I have no formal report, but I thought it might be  
12 most useful for this group to have me give you my impression  
13 perhaps of what has happened, what is happening and what's going  
14 to happen north of the border with regard to recent events.

15 Last week, as the thousands of passengers were  
16 disembarked at Canadian airports, people from Halifax to  
17 Vancouver opened their hearts and their homes to these folks both  
18 in terms of comforting them and making sure that they were  
19 protected.

20 We deployed soldiers across the country to do that  
21 specifically, and we cried with them and with you.

22 What I am seeing now and what I expect to see in  
23 the future is a very unusual phenomenon of unity of thought  
24 between our public, our citizens, our military, and surprisingly,  
25 our politicians. There's a galvanization of determined will here

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 that we haven't seen in Canada since World War II, and I wanted  
2 to make that very clear to this particular group; that we feel  
3 very much at war also. We're pretty determined to make this  
4 continent safe for us and our children.

5 And please contact me throughout any of this time  
6 if there's anything I can do to expedite assistance or  
7 cooperation or any resources that we have in Canada to assist in  
8 this fight.

9 Thank you.

10 DR. OSTROFF: Thank you very much for those  
11 comments.

12 General Peake, I'll turn the microphone over to you  
13 for just a second. I know you have a limited time period.

14 LTG. PEAKE: Well, I just appreciate the chance to  
15 come over here. It's sort of a new word disorder since the 11th  
16 of September, if you sort of think about it, and you know, we  
17 spend -- we focused this Board on taking care of soldiers a lot  
18 in the past. Now we're really talking about the whole military  
19 family, civilians, contractors, that are all part of us, and in  
20 fact, there are a number of those that are amongst our  
21 casualties.

22 It does give us a chance to relook history a little  
23 bit as you mentioned, and you know, in some ways we've been here  
24 before when it comes to worrying about some of the threats, and  
25 we're in some ways not too much further along than we were 12

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 years ago or so when I was actually the chief consultant to the  
2 surgeon general during that time when we were wrestling with  
3 anthrax and bot. and PB tabs and things like that, and we're  
4 still wrestling with them.

5 And you know, there is a sense of some urgency as  
6 we relook those issues, and I guess I would ask you to -- I've  
7 looked at the series of briefings, and I'm going to try to stay  
8 for Sal's brief here to listen to it as we dust off some of those  
9 issues, but you know the posture of anthrax now. We don't have  
10 an FDA approved source of the vaccine. We have the potential for  
11 getting one perhaps as early as this spring.

12 There are non-FDA approved doses available that are  
13 out there, and so the potential of using that is something that  
14 we will have to wrestle with.

15 And your thoughts on that and your links to the  
16 rest of the academic community as you understand the exigencies  
17 of our situation, I think, are very important. So it's worth  
18 kind of thinking through it in that context.

19 And you may have things that you think that we  
20 ought to be doing or ways that you think you might be able to  
21 help us that we haven't thought about, and I would encourage you  
22 to, you know, as you work through this meeting to kind of  
23 identify those things for us, as a matter of fact.

24 We have always had a tremendous relationship with  
25 this Board, and your critical thinking and academic links allow

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 us to be better as we work through this. We all share the same  
2 goal, and that's to take care of our people and keep them safe  
3 where we can, prevent their illnesses where we can, and identify  
4 the things that are going to keep them from being able to  
5 accomplish the mission that Maureen was talking about, and that's  
6 keeping us all safe here.

7 So I really wanted to come by. I wanted to thank  
8 you for what you've always done and what you will continue to do,  
9 but challenge you specifically to think about this new  
10 circumstance.

11 I'll give you an example. For our Reserve  
12 components, you know, sort of the mindset has always been, well,  
13 okay. We go off to war. We'll bring the Reserves in, and we'll  
14 have a steady mobilization and so forth.

15 Well, now in some cases the battlefield is their  
16 back yard. How do you mobilize? What should we be thinking  
17 about in terms of new policies in this new environment about  
18 protecting our reserve forces? You know, you don't have  
19 necessarily that mobilization build-up.

20 The National Guard were some of the first people  
21 involved up there in the disaster area of Ground Zero, as you  
22 point out, and as I say, what things ought we be doing to our  
23 civilian work force?

24 I'll give you one example, is that we have not done  
25 DNA samples on them. We have in the military, and in fact, in

1 this cohort, we've had quite a high group that we were able to  
2 pull off either their panographs or their DNA and be able to  
3 share that with those that are making the identifications.

4 But for the civilians, we're back to square one,  
5 you know, trying to find a parent in a child or two parents or a  
6 spouse and a child or multiple siblings or whatever, you know,  
7 the variety of patterns are that we can use to establish. So  
8 it's some of those kind of policies.

9 I would like to put a plug in for the notion about  
10 injury prevention. I appreciate that, the ongoing notion of  
11 that. You know, being focused by the recent events and the areas  
12 of the world and the asymmetry of the threat, revisiting this  
13 issue of how we deal with things that are out there in science  
14 that have the potential to protect our total force now are things  
15 that I think would be worth mulling over and thinking about.

16 And it may lead to some splinter meetings to focus  
17 on them.

18 So I just would like to thank you for taking the  
19 time and for your support to what is, you know, as our President  
20 says, is going to be a long-term campaign.

21 Thank you.

22 DR. OSTROFF: Thank you, General Peake.

23 Let me just say once again we're here for you, and  
24 hopefully we will continue to be here for you.

25 And I'll also say that the IND issues are something

1 that we at CDC are also grappling with in terms of the civilian  
2 sector and in one other way that you at this time are not in  
3 particular, and that's that we have the smallpox vaccine as well.

4 And in every way, shape, and form that we look at  
5 that vaccine, we're boxed in by IND issues, and I will point out  
6 that the vaccinia immune globulin is maintained by the military,  
7 and so there needs to be a lot of work in that particular area.

8 So I think what we'll do is move on to Dr. Cirone's  
9 presentation because the bulk of the presentation has to do with  
10 this issue of INDs, and it's a very important one, and it will  
11 continue to be an important one that the Board will have to have  
12 some input on.

13 DR. CIRONE: Thank you very much for inviting me to  
14 make this presentation today.

15 This first slide is important things about this.  
16 This is all about people and Al Graziano prepared these slides,  
17 and I want to thank Al for giving me an opportunity to use his  
18 slides and to modify his slides.

19 The second item on this slide is that I'm a  
20 veterinarian. I'm a retired Army Veterinary Corps officer. One  
21 of the individuals that I had the pleasure to serve 30 years with  
22 lost his wife, and she's still missing. So this is about people,  
23 and it's with a heavy heart that we go through this entire week.

24 The other thing I wanted to mention is I just came  
25 from Sunday a tour on the Executive Support Center. The word



1 went through the entire support center that General Peake had  
2 visited all of the patients in the hospital, and it was an  
3 inspiration to everyone, and so we appreciate it, and thank you  
4 for your leadership.

5 The right button? The purple one, too?

6 (Laughter.)

7 DR. CIRONE: All right. The left button. Excuse  
8 me. Okay. I've got it now. All right.

9 Gulf War, 1990, 1991. In 1990, the interim final  
10 rule allowed the Commissioner of the Food and Drug Administration  
11 to be able to approve the use of investigational new drugs during  
12 military operations.

13 At that time Dr. Enrique Mendez was the Assistant  
14 Secretary of Defense for Health Affairs. Dr. Mendez felt that  
15 the enemy probably had chemical and biological warfare agents,  
16 and in order to protect our troops and to provide the best  
17 medical countermeasures, he felt that there was a need to use  
18 pyridostigmine bromide and bot. toxoid.

19 As a result, he asked the Commissioner of the FDA.

20 The Commissioner of the FDA felt that these products were safe,  
21 showed promise of efficacy, and that there was reasonable  
22 expectation that use of informed consent was not feasible. He,  
23 therefore, approved these two products.

24 In the war, we did not do a good job of managing  
25 the use of an IND. Not surprising. I mean, in this day and age,

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 just recently a number of medical institutions have also been  
2 faulted for using INDs in an inappropriate manner and in trying  
3 to follow the FDA ethical regulations.

4 So the FDA noted that these deficiencies existed in  
5 the use of these two INDs during the Gulf War. As a result, a  
6 series of events followed.

7 In July of '97, the FDA requested comments on the  
8 interim final rule from the public. Should we revoke the interim  
9 final rule? Should we finalize the interim final rule?

10 Shortly after that, Defense authorization bill,  
11 Title 10, stated that any time the Department of Defense is going  
12 to use investigational new drugs, we must notify individuals that  
13 we're giving them an investigational new drug, and we must  
14 document it in their medical records.

15 As the Department of Defense was discussing, waiver  
16 of informed consent and the interim final rule on whether it  
17 should be revoked or not, and having those discussions with the  
18 Department of Health and Human Services, Senator Byrd amended  
19 Title 10 to state that there was a requirement that the President  
20 of the United States have an option to use investigational new  
21 drugs in operational environments.

22 However, he upped the ante and basically said the  
23 authority to waiver informed consent would no longer be the  
24 Commissioner of the FDA. Only the President of the United States  
25 would be allowed to waiver informed consent.

1           So he made that amendment in May. When the  
2           National Authorization Act for the Defense Department was  
3           approved in October, that was part of it.

4           So immediately the staff of the White House, the  
5           Office of Management and Budget, worked to put together an  
6           executive order. The following September, 1999, Executive Order  
7           13139 was signed by the President, and it basically put forth the  
8           policy and the procedures that the Department of Defense would  
9           utilize in requesting a waiver of informed consent from the  
10          president.

11          Five days later almost, maybe six days, the 5th of  
12          October 1999, the FDA made changes to the Code of Federal  
13          Regulations, Part 50, and gave a list of standards and criteria,  
14          18 standards and criteria that must be met before the Secretary  
15          of Defense requested a waiver of informed consent from the  
16          President.

17          Between October and December, in November of '99,  
18          my boss at that time, Dr. Sue Bailey, testified before Congress.

19          They asked her to put forth requirements for training and to put  
20          forth a DOD directive to implement Section 1107 of Title 10,  
21          Executive Order 13139, and the FDA regulations noted at 50.23.

22          She indicated she would do that, and the training  
23          plan was assigned in December of '99. And in August of 2000, the  
24          Deputy Secretary of Defense signed DOD Directive 6200.2, which is  
25          now our current policy. DOD Directive 6200.2 establishes the

1 policy and assigns responsibility for compliance with 10 USC,  
2 Code 1107, Executive Order 13139, and the appropriate parts of  
3 the Code of Federal Regulation.

4 Important here is that it designated the Secretary  
5 to the Army as the DOD Executive Agent for the use of  
6 investigational new drugs for force health protection.

7 Of course, the point here is that we have the  
8 ethical responsibility to protect our deployed troops, and that  
9 we're going to try to provide safe and effective vaccines and  
10 treatments to negate or minimize health threats to our forces in  
11 the field.

12 We're going to try and use approved FDA products.  
13 However, if they're not available, if an IND is the best  
14 available protection, then we will use them. But first we have  
15 to go through the processes.

16 One of those processes is it must be approved by an  
17 IRB. There's only one IRB that is authorized to approve the IND  
18 protocol. That's the tri-service IRB, the Human Subjects  
19 Research Review Board of the Army Medical Research and Materiel  
20 Command, the Surgeon General's IRB, the Surgeon General of the  
21 Army's IRB.

22 Once they have approved the protocol, it then must  
23 go forth to the FDA to be approved for contingency use, and prior  
24 written notice is required of service members.

25 What is that prior written notice? Service members

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 must be notified of the use of an IND, a clear description of why  
2 the drug is being used, information on possible side effects, any  
3 other information that the FDA requires.

4 And then this notification must be placed in their  
5 medical record. And if they're given the IND, the fact that  
6 they're given the IND must be placed in their medical record.

7 This HSIRB is a special IRB in that the Code of  
8 Federal Regulations required that it be composed of three non-DOD  
9 members, and so this is currently the only IRB that we could  
10 utilize to approve the AFD protocol. We can't go shopping. This  
11 is the IRB that is the only IRB that we can use, and these are  
12 the responsibilities that they're required to do that are noted  
13 in the Code of Federal Regulations.

14 As I indicated, FDA changed the Code of Federal  
15 Regulations, Part 50.23, which was the interim final rule which  
16 had to be modified so that the Commissioner is no longer  
17 authorized to approve INDs, and only the President of the United  
18 States can do that, and it sets forth 18 standards and criteria  
19 that must be met before the Secretary can request a waiver of  
20 informed consent from the President.

21 The informed consent must be obtained in advance  
22 unless the request for informed consent is waived by the  
23 President.

24 Before the President will waiver the informed  
25 consent, it must be noted that getting informed consent is not

feasible, is contrary to the best interest of the member, or is not in the best interest of national security.

The presidential waiver in accordance with the FDA regulation must include that the member is confronted with a life threatening situation. No FDA approved alternative method exists, and the Secretary of Defense has determined that the waiver is in the best interest of the troops and of the mission.

These are additional requirements that were placed on us. The IG, the FDA, and the HSRRB will continue to conduct ongoing review and monitor the use of the IND during the operations.

The Secretary of Defense will notify Congress and issue a public notice that the IND is being used.

The waiver will expire one year from the year of approval or if some time during that year it is no longer required, then that waiver is no longer effective. And the Secretary of Defense will notify the President if the threat changes during that year.

What service members will be told when they're given the IND, again, they must be told that it's investigational or unapproved for its applied use, the reason why the drug is being given, the possible side effects, including interactions, the means for tracking the use, adverse effects, risk-benefits of the investigational drug, and a written statement that the IND is not approved or the drug is not approved for its intended use.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1           If the IND is going to be used in theater, the  
2           CINC, the Commander in Chief of that theater of operation, will  
3           put a request through to the Chairman of the Joint Staff stating  
4           that he needs to use this drug in that particular operation.

5           The Chairman of the Joint Staff will then go to the  
6           Secretary of Defense for approval. This approval process will  
7           include within the Department of Defense going through the  
8           services, going through Health Affairs, going through the General  
9           Counsel, through a number of other offices at the Office of the  
10          Secretary of Defense level.

11          The FDA must have approved the IND. The  
12          requirements in the field will include appropriately trained  
13          personnel in the theater, maintaining accurate medical records,  
14          and accounting for all of the doses.

15          Current examples of things that we might use would  
16          be anthrax vaccine as a post exposure protocol, and in the  
17          current protocol as has been mentioned a number of times, and  
18          that IND post exposure use of the vaccine would be with  
19          ciprofloxacin.

20          Another possible use of an IND would be once again  
21          pyridostigmine bromide.

22          A couple of things that I want to mention because  
23          we use INDs all the time, and so I just want to make it clear  
24          that every day in our hospital facilities, medical providers,  
25          physicians, have the authority to practice medicine, and this

1 does not allow them not to practice medicine.

2 And so on a doctor-patient relationship, doctors  
3 can still practice medicine and use INDs, you know, as they're  
4 authorized by their local state laws, et cetera. And also, this  
5 does not apply, the use of INDs when we're using them in our  
6 medical treatment facilities in accordance with the Code of  
7 Federal Regulations. Every day in our hospitals, physicians are  
8 treating patients with AIDS, with cancer, for various oncology  
9 groups, and we're using INDs in accordance with informed consent  
10 and with all of the requirements that exist in the current Code  
11 of Federal Regulations. And so this doesn't apply to those  
12 situations.

13 A summary of where we are. We must use FDA  
14 approved products if they're available. When at the time need  
15 for force health protection measures, if they're not available,  
16 then the DOD component, the CINC, may request approval from the  
17 Secretary of Defense to use an IND.

18 When using an IND for force health protection, we  
19 still must meet all of the requirements of 10 USC 1107, the  
20 Executive Order 13139, and all the applicable FDA regulations.

21 If we want a waiver of informed consent, only the  
22 President of the United States can grant that waiver.

23 March 13th, 2000, the Assistant Secretary of  
24 Defense for Health Affairs asked the AFEB for recommendations for  
25 most appropriate antibiotics that would be used for treatment of



1 anthrax, plague, tularemia, et cetera.

2 We thank you very much. August 3rd, 2000, the AFEB  
3 gave us a letter back with specific recommendations.

4 The reason I was asked to speak is the Board wanted  
5 to know what happened since. DOD has been working to get an  
6 approved IND protocol for contingency operations. We're  
7 currently working to get the concurrence within DOD of the use of  
8 the anthrax vaccine post exposure protocol with ciprofloxacin. A  
9 draft protocol is in coordination.

10 I say DOD. Actually Army is Executive Agent. Army  
11 has written up the protocol. The Secretary of the Army through  
12 General Parker at MPMC, they're the ones that are really working  
13 this issue.

14 DOD has a working group to develop a draft  
15 implementation guidance to the CINCs in the services for an  
16 implementation of an IND protocol required. The CINC's surgeons  
17 came back and said, "Okay. We want to work with you to see if we  
18 can get a protocol approved, but we don't have the expertise to  
19 really put together the implementation plans. Can you please  
20 help to put together the implementation plans?"

21 Dr. Clinton said, yes, he would, and he wrote a  
22 letter to the Secretary of the Army, and the Surgeon General of  
23 the Army has put together one of his staff officers to put  
24 together this. Colonel Schnelle has a working group that's  
25 working this, and I might mention on the first one, the IND

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 protocol, Colonel Pierson from General Parker's staff is here  
2 today in case there are any questions about the protocol. He'll  
3 be here to assist me to reply.

4 Dr. Clinton then met with PhRMA, the Pharmaceutical  
5 Research and Manufacturers of America, concerning the AFEB  
6 recommendations. We noted that a number of those recommendations  
7 were off label, and we asked them if they could get together with  
8 the manufacturers of these particular drugs to see if they would  
9 work with the Food and Drug Administration to see if we could get  
10 indications on the label so that we would not have to use these  
11 antibiotics off label.

12 PhRMA sent the letter out to 30 manufacturers  
13 noting our concern, and Bayer responded, and Bayer said that they  
14 would put together a package for ciprofloxacin to see if they  
15 could get it approved for post exposure prophylaxis and treatment  
16 of tularemia and plague.

17 I'm hoping that this package we put together  
18 sometimes within the next three or four months, and that  
19 hopefully it will be presented to the FDA, and then, of course,  
20 we have to see what the FDA says. They might accept it as it is  
21 or they may suggest that additional tests or studies are  
22 required, and we'll just have to take it from there, but we are  
23 working the issue.

24 The anthrax policy memo I just want to mention.  
25 It's out there. It's still in effect. It tells the services,

1 you know, what they should be doing as far as anthrax is  
2 concerned and how to manage it and how to look at the current  
3 best medical recommendations that are listed, and it gives three  
4 references for medical recommendations for the use of anthrax,  
5 and we're letting the services and the doctors out in the field  
6 determine what they feel is appropriate.

7 What's the bottom line? DOD is seeking advice from  
8 experts. DOD directives provides the policy and implements the  
9 laws, the executive orders, the regulations. DOD is working to  
10 get contingency IND protocols for high threat areas or high  
11 threat agents.

12 DOD is developing implementation guidance, and DOD  
13 is working with industry to get label indications with FDA  
14 approvals.

15 That concludes my presentation.

16 DR. OSTROFF: Thank you very much.

17 Let me -- Ben, you can, and then I'll ask a  
18 question. I have many questions. So why don't you go first?

19 DR. DINIEGA: Ben Diniega, Health Affairs.

20 I'd just like to say that this issue is very  
21 complex, and back in March we had an exercise run between OSD,  
22 the Joint Services, and some of the CINCs for a wartime scenario,  
23 and it was a wonderful exercise in that there was some play  
24 involve with the youth of an IND product in response to potential  
25 BW youth, and we really learned a lot during that exercise in the

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 messages that went back and forth in taking a look at the  
2 problems we would have with an IND.

3 And, therefore, I really believe in exercises and  
4 the need to look at how we are going to do things, but the main  
5 point I wanted to make is that, number one, we can get a waiver  
6 of informed consent from the President, but we still need first  
7 an FDA approved protocol, and that protocol, of the many steps  
8 that Sal mentioned, one of those agency requirements is informed  
9 consent.

10 That's the only piece of the IND protocol that is  
11 waived. So you would still need an FDA approved protocol.

12 The other major point, that it has to be written  
13 into the OP plans or the CON plans, contingency plans, of the  
14 theater before they can use it, and many of these things can be  
15 done ahead of time, and I think it's very important to make sure  
16 that the services and other people understand we can put a lot of  
17 this in place so that the execution piece will be the toughest  
18 part. How do we execute it during time of mobilization of war is  
19 the toughest part, but we have to get all of the other pieces in  
20 place.

21 DR. CIRONE: Thank you, Ben.

22 I might mention that Army Surgeon General and the  
23 Joint Staff sponsored a conference for a week in Virginia Beach  
24 to discuss a number of issues, one of which was IND issues, and  
25 at that time a list of all of the requirements, including the

1 requirement to put all of this in OP plans was all formulated,  
2 and I think Colonel Schnelle and General Peake's office has that  
3 list, and we're all working together to see if we can accomplish  
4 those requirements to get these things done.

5 Sir?

6 DR. OSTROFF: Let me ask a couple of questions.  
7 One is are there any initiatives underway to look at changing the  
8 legislation.

9 DR. CIRONE: The only initiative that I'm aware of  
10 right now, sir, is that in the current Defense Authorization Act,  
11 there's a Section 713 both in the House and the Senate on Section  
12 980 of Title 10, which states that in the Department of Defense  
13 if we're doing research you must have informed consent.

14 And the House version of that kind of states that  
15 in an emergency or under certain circumstances it suggests that  
16 the Secretary of Defense should be allowed to waiver that and use  
17 the rules and laws that currently exist for everybody else who's  
18 doing emergency room surgery, and to allow DOD to use the same  
19 thing.

20 We didn't put that in, but whether or not that  
21 makes it or not, I don't know. We'll have to wait and see what  
22 comes out of the conference.

23 DR. OSTROFF: We can have those discussions.

24 DR. CIRONE: That's the only one that I'm aware of.

25 DR. OSTROFF: Let me just say that we've been very,

1 very careful about distinguishing INDs from research. There are  
2 certain things that we are acquiring INDs for, that we are doing  
3 so not because we consider ourselves doing research; simply  
4 because FDA requires that they be done under an IND basis, and we  
5 don't consider them to be research.

6 DR. CIRONE: And the Department of Defense is the  
7 same way. If you look at my very first slide, I noted that we  
8 considered in the Gulf War and we still consider that this is  
9 treatment rather than research, but in order to use them, we must  
10 follow those rules and regulations.

11 DR. OSTROFF: Let me ask you another question. One  
12 of the things that I was a bit surprised about is the public  
13 notification. What do you do if there are potential security  
14 implications for notifying, if you have to notify potentially who  
15 may have to receive this or who's eligible for receiving this  
16 particular product under an IND?

17 For instance, there are security considerations in  
18 letting people know that you're using particular vaccines, let's  
19 say.

20 DR. CIRONE: I think that we must notify the  
21 Congress, and I think in doing that there's the possibility to do  
22 it under classified circumstances if that would become necessary.

23 And then I think that it would be possible that the  
24 Congress perhaps, you know, could give us guidance on the  
25 notification to the public.

1                   And at this point I'm not an expert in that  
2                   particular area. I can only tell you what the law says, but I  
3                   think it probably would be possible if there's national security  
4                   concerns that notice would have to be given in some form at some  
5                   time, but I think they could give us guidance on how to do that.

6                   DR. OSTROFF: You know, this issue of the anthrax  
7                   post exposure prophylaxis with the vaccine is one that at least I  
8                   have a little bit of concern about, I must confess. What happens  
9                   if you have a situation where someone has potentially been  
10                  exposed and they don't want to consent?

11                  DR. CIRONE: A military person? I'd have to get  
12                  the protocol, get the protocol approved, et cetera. My guess is  
13                  that -- and this would be a guess -- is that a vaccine is given  
14                  by the health care provider, and therefore, it's very possible  
15                  that if that would only be informed consent. We could go to the  
16                  President; we could get a waiver of informed consent, but you  
17                  know, until you have the protocol approved and you go through all  
18                  of the processes and you get the waiver of informed consent, you  
19                  have to assume that it's informed consent.

20                  If it's informed consent, it's informed consent.  
21                  Therefore, that member could say, "I don't want it." That's why  
22                  we have to educate them. We have to tell them the pros and cons.  
23                  We have to let them know the risks, et cetera, and if they  
24                  determine that they don't want it, that's what informed consent  
25                  is all about. We could not force it to them.

1 DR. DINIEGA: Ben Diniega.

2 Remember cipro is approved for post exposure.

3 DR. CIRONE: So I keep talking about --

4 DR. DINIEGA: You keep taking some risk in the  
5 treatment aspect or post exposure.

6 DR. CIRONE: But you're talking about the vaccine,  
7 correct?

8 DR. OSTROFF: Yes.

9 DR. CIRONE: Any other questions?

10 COL. BRADSHAW: Colonel Bradshaw.

11 I had one. I know there are some efforts being  
12 made to stockpile cipro, but I recently found, and maybe someone  
13 can confirm for me, but we don't currently have cipro on the DOD  
14 formulary; is that correct?

15 DR. CIRONE: I believe that's correct.

16 COL. BRADSHAW: And I think it's because those  
17 decisions are made for other reasons that we use other, you know,  
18 fluoroquinolones, and it's a price issue and bulk purchase. But  
19 I think that makes it a little more difficult for us to have  
20 things prepositioned. It might be something we ought to look  
21 into.

22 LT. COL. RIDDLE: Yes. Colonel Riddle.

23 The way I read that directive, I mean, it applies  
24 to any force held protection measure. Let's say that I wanted to  
25 --



1 DR. CIRONE: Endemic diseases are included. That's  
2 correct.

3 LT. COL. RIDDLE: Yeah. -- that I wanted to give  
4 pre-exposure to doxycycline for a lepto risk or something for  
5 deploying forces. Even within CONUS I couldn't do that other  
6 than on a patient provider relationship. I couldn't issue  
7 guidance to do that based upon that directive.

8 At what level has there been a call to where that  
9 patient-provider relationship exists to where a command surgeon -  
10 - let's say you're deploying to SOUTHCOM, wherever. Do you want  
11 to use a measure like that?

12 DR. CIRONE: Once again, I think that the objective  
13 was in operational environments. So if there's a deployment and  
14 there's an operational environment and it's included in DOD  
15 Directive 6200.2, then it applies.

16 If it's day-to-day routine within CONUS in our  
17 MTFs, medical treatment facilities, and med. centers, then I  
18 would question that that was not the intent, and if it appears  
19 that that's the intent, then it would be hampering people  
20 practicing medicine at an MTF. That should be raised and brought  
21 back, and that, I think, would have to be relooked and perhaps a  
22 change made to the directive, if you could give me the line and  
23 the paragraph, if there was a problem somewhere.

24 I think the intent was in deployments.

25 LT. COL. RIDDLE: In one of your letters, you said

1 you -- you referenced three recommendations for the use of a  
2 particular drug. The AFEB could, in fact, make an off-label  
3 recommendation and then from a policy perspective you could  
4 reference that as a recommendation to the individual provider,  
5 that they might want to in their patient-provider relationship  
6 use this particular drug as recommended by these expert sources.

7 DR. CIRONE: I would certainly hope so. We  
8 certainly appreciate the work that the AFEB did, and we are using  
9 that letter to the maximum that we can to get as many things  
10 approved and to get as many of the drug companies to support our  
11 efforts as we can.

12 Yes, sir.

13 ADM. HART: Now, is there some additional hurdle  
14 here? If we're going to seek utilization of certain medications  
15 post prophylaxis or post exposure, what is the requirement for  
16 the diagnosis?

17 In many cases of a biological agent, if you don't  
18 act presumptively, you're too late once you get a confirmed  
19 diagnosis.

20 DR. CIRONE: It depends on the label, sir.  
21 Ciprofloxacin is labeled for post exposure prophylaxis and for  
22 treatment. What is post exposure prophylaxis? In the label it  
23 says "suspected," and now how definitive is "suspected"?

24 I'm not going to press that issue. Maybe you want  
25 to.

1 ADM. HART: Nor are we.

2 (Laughter.)

3 DR. CIRONE: I don't want to press that issue.

4 Yes.

5 GEN. CLAYPOOL: I have a question, sort of a time-  
6 motion question. When you did the exercise in Virginia, I'm just  
7 curious. Is there a problem with the request coming from the  
8 CINC up to the Chairman of the Joint Chiefs to the SECDEF in  
9 order to go ahead and approve the execution of the IND in terms  
10 of what kind of a time period that takes?

11 I mean, I assume what's happened is the protocol  
12 has been approved. The waiver of the 18 criteria have been met.

13 The request has gone to the President to waive the informed  
14 consent. And then it sits there until it's requested upon by a  
15 CINC.

16 And then once that happens, then I guess the thing  
17 could be executed. So are there time-motion things that are a  
18 problem with that? Because you may not have a lot of time to  
19 discuss that.

20 DR. CIRONE: We hope not. If you remember, sir,  
21 when you were my boss, we did get a request, and we brought  
22 everybody in, and then we had a video teleconference with the  
23 CINC, and we worked rather expeditiously at that particular time.

24 The CINCs are concerned about that. They've asked  
25 us to see if we can come up with some templates that will push

1 this thing through, and this working group that Colonel Schnelle  
2 is addressing, once we finish the implementation plans, we're  
3 going to try to see if we can get a template so that all of this  
4 stuff is done, so that somebody can have a book, pull the book  
5 off the shelf and tell you exactly what the letter is going to  
6 look like, and we're working along those lines.

7 DR. DINIEGA: I have a couple. There are two  
8 things that would come up. One is requesting permission to  
9 implement an IND in the theater, which is one issue, and I think  
10 that an IND is already in place in a written OP plan. The  
11 request that would come up through the SECDEF, that would be easy  
12 to get through if everything else is in place.

13 A little more difficult would be an IND is in  
14 place, to get permission to implement the IND, but they want a  
15 waiver of consent. Then it triggers a whole different series of  
16 requirements, and one of the chief ones is they have to somehow  
17 verify and convince the SECDEF that the threat is real and  
18 essentially imminent or a very real threat in the theater because  
19 the SECDEF has to go forward with that information along with the  
20 fact that we already have a preapproved FDA, approved IND.

21 DR. CIRONE: And, again, that is a question. What  
22 level of threat will they accept? At this point we don't know,  
23 and we hope this working group can work through that, work with  
24 other agencies outside of DOD, find out what is an acceptable  
25 level of threat.

1                   Is it the commander's threat list, the Chairman's  
2                   threat list? Is that sufficient?

3                   At this point we don't know. I mean, we haven't  
4                   had to play this and do this, and I don't know what the President  
5                   of the United States and his staff would accept.

6                   DR. OSTROFF: Sal, I think one thing that I  
7                   mentioned to you earlier, but just to let the group know, I mean,  
8                   we are grappling with a whole array of IND and IDE,  
9                   investigational device exemption, issues at CDC right now on the  
10                  basis of what happened last week, and in order to expedite things  
11                  since FDA is one of our sister agencies, we've decided we can  
12                  circumvent a lot of the problems by having them actually write  
13                  them themselves.

14                  DR. CIRONE: I appreciate that, and our dealings  
15                  with Bayer and any other companies that want to go off line,  
16                  we've also invited CDC to join us in any meetings we have, and  
17                  they've indicated that they would do that.

18                  Thank you.

19                  DR. BERG: Bill Berg.

20                  Sal, do you have any indication of how the FDA  
21                  feels about this?

22                  You know, historically it can take a long time to  
23                  get the protocol approved, and there may be things in a  
24                  conventional protocol that may not be relevant to this. Does the  
25                  FDA see the need for this and are they willing to work

1 expeditiously and keep things to the bare minimum?

2 DR. CIRONE: I can't answer for the FDA, but I can  
3 say that I think the PIs, as they work the studies trying to go  
4 forward for licensure on a regular basis, work with the FDA, and  
5 our hope is that that will happen.

6 And if ciprofloxacin is an example, I was very  
7 pleased to see that ciprofloxacin or Bayer requested to get it  
8 approved for prophylaxis and treatment of anthrax. It was not on  
9 their label. It was on the doxycycline label.

10 They went to the FDA. The FDA worked very  
11 expeditiously to get that approval, and I hope that's an  
12 indication of the future, but that's the best I can answer you.

13 DR. BERG: One of the things that concerns me is  
14 that anthrax is a little bit of an exception in that this had  
15 been targeted for many years. USAMRID had been working on it.  
16 They had been working with cipro.

17 You know, we may not be in the same position, for  
18 example, with cipro and tularemia.

19 DR. CIRONE: That's correct, and they may come  
20 back, and they may say, "We want additional studies," because I  
21 Don't think we have the amount of studies in tularemia or plague  
22 that we do have, and that's a concern.

23 And then who does the studies? Who pays for the  
24 studies? I think it will be Department of Defense, but that's  
25 not for me. I mean, there's a whole process for how you

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 determine defense priorities.

2 DR. OSTROFF: Other questions?

3 (No response.)

4 DR. OSTROFF: General Peake, did you want to make  
5 other comments concerning the overall response to last week's  
6 situation in terms of the Pentagon specifically or any other  
7 aspects?

8 LTG. PEAKE: Well, except to say that, you know, in  
9 some ways it's sort of a multi-simultaneous phased operation  
10 right now. One is trying to get some sense of normalcy, getting  
11 people back to work, recognizing that we've got a big chunk of  
12 the Pentagon that we don't have office space in anymore, and  
13 putting people in other locations, dealing with the emotional  
14 issues which are significant, you know, in this environment, and  
15 trying to establish basically a long-term approach to that so  
16 that we take advantage of the lessons learned in previous  
17 experiences.

18 At the same time, looking at our responsibility for  
19 military support to civil authorities and the New York and the  
20 Pennsylvania sites, and we have some degree of support there. It  
21 is really pushing us to work through the national disaster  
22 response plan, and that is working better.

23 I happen to be the senior medical guy for the  
24 Hurricane Andrew experience, you know, and nobody even knew what  
25 the plan was back then. It had been published the April before.

1 Well, I think it's encouraging to me that there is  
2 an understanding of how that system works and how our  
3 organizations -- I think there's always that friction. Everybody  
4 wants to be involved and so forth, but I think we're really  
5 working through that well.

6 And then the third piece of it is sort of this  
7 notion of trying to get our whole mindset around the campaign to  
8 rid this world of terrorism, which is a different thing again.  
9 So there's sort of three different focus areas going on with us  
10 right now, and it's pretty busy and pretty stressful for a number  
11 of folks.

12 I think you'd be pleased to know the focus. I mean  
13 you've heard it already a couple of times about the focus on the  
14 mental health, the understanding that it is something that we  
15 need to deal with and not push under the table and it's not macho  
16 and hoo-wa (phonetic) and all that stuff. It's let's deal with  
17 it and the senior leadership understands that stuff.

18 I think you'd be pleased with that. It's some of  
19 those things that are the right things. The notion and the  
20 interest in how do we protect our soldiers is something, again,  
21 that has been sort of presaged by the work that this group has  
22 done over many, many years.

23 So I guess that nobody is thinking this is a short  
24 term deal right now, and I think our whole nation needs to come  
25 to grips with that. We're pretty good at kind of wham, bam, and



1 move on. This is going to be something longer term than that, I  
2 think, and so we'll continue the engagement.

3 DR. OSTROFF: Let me ask Admiral Hufstader if he  
4 has any comments to make from the Marine perspective.

5 RADM. HUFSTADER: Well, I'd just echo what General  
6 Peake said. It's interesting to me, too, to see the evolution of  
7 awareness and psychological impact of these kinds of events and  
8 the responsiveness not just to ourselves, the medical component,  
9 but of the line commanders.

10 They recognize that this is a significant  
11 effectiveness detractor, and that they can have an impact on it  
12 and are quite willing to play. It's good to see that.

13 DR. OSTROFF: Let me turn -- Admiral Hart, any  
14 comments?

15 ADM. HART: I had talked to Sal a couple of weeks  
16 ago, and in our discussion realized that he was going to talk  
17 about this IND off-label use of things. Maybe medicine has had a  
18 frustrating time trying to give guidance to our hospital COs.  
19 We've written some guides on preparation and response to  
20 bioterrorism, preparation and response to chem., preparation and  
21 response to nuclear, and as a hospital commanding officer, I like  
22 reading theory and general guidance, but I want to know what to  
23 do.

24 And we had to be very careful in how to craft the  
25 advice about when you suspect a bio. event. When you've got

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 people starting to die in the ER, what action can you take?

2 And we come up kind of hollow because we all here  
3 know that there are effective medications just like Colonel Eng's  
4 presentation this morning, but you can't advise that.

5 So I appreciate the involvement of this Board, and  
6 I think that frustration is not lost on anyone here. I don't  
7 know how we're going to get there from here, but the more we  
8 learn about what's effective, the more frustrating it becomes  
9 that we can't allow decisions to be made by the commander and the  
10 medical experts on site to employ these.

11 So I guess I take solace in I'm not alone in this  
12 frustration, but I'm not so sure we're going to get forward very  
13 fast.

14 DR. OSTROFF: Thank you.

15 Before we take a break, Dr. Zimble has joined us.  
16 I wonder if you have any comments before the break.

17 DR. ZIMBLE: Just to echo what others have said.  
18 It's been an interesting week, and I think the response by  
19 military medicine has been superb.

20 By some sort of fortuitous serendipity there had  
21 been planning processes going on very shortly before the event  
22 that allowed -- you know, the plan is never right, but the  
23 planning is always very important, and the fact that planning had  
24 gone on allowed people to respond very quickly to the disaster.

25 It's now a question, as Army Surgeon General

1 states, of looking at these several aspects that are going on.  
2 Psychological aspects is big time stuff. The Chairman of our  
3 Department of Psychiatry, Dr. Bob Ursano, is also the chairman of  
4 a subcommittee of the APA that deals with traumatic stress, and a  
5 lot of the news media had been in touch with us the same day as  
6 the catastrophe, and he had been giving out information regarding  
7 what you tell children, how families are to react to the  
8 psychological problems.

9 SPRINT teams have been established by Navy. Army  
10 has psychological teams in place. There's going to be hopefully  
11 a good epidemiological study of the Pentagon regarding what went  
12 on there, and I think we can learn some new pieces of information  
13 regarding this specific type of disaster on the home front.

14 So I'm looking forward to what we're going to learn  
15 from this so that we'll be even better prepared next time around.

16 DR. OSTROFF: Thank you.

17 Let me just ask if there are any questions. Ken.

18 CAPT. SCHOR: Just to back up the issue of applying  
19 epidemiology to combat stress, one of the things is I've worked  
20 with the SPRINT team, Don, that's working with OPNAV in our  
21 building, is how do you know that you've gotten everybody that  
22 needs to be talked to.

23 They've got senior leadership, three star level,  
24 four star level, and they've got inundated. We established that  
25 link within 24 hours and got leadership approval, and they're

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 getting flooded with there's a group of 125; there's a group of  
2 60; there's a group of this.

3 And then you say, "Well, how do you know you're  
4 effective?" Measures of effectiveness are always critical to  
5 figure out when to ratchet back on the full-time engagement and  
6 then kind of schedule it out.

7 One of the thing that we did was I was able to get  
8 my hands on the battle damage assessment of the Pentagon, and  
9 we're going to overlay the OPNAV N codes or G codes or J codes,  
10 those of you that know the lingo, over top of that battle damage  
11 assessment and then seek out those codes and make sure that those  
12 folks know that the SPRINT team is available and that they're  
13 reached.

14 We've hit the high exposure dose folks pretty  
15 heavily already, but we're not sure we've gotten everybody, and  
16 so it's an interesting application of an outbreak investigation  
17 model.

18 LTG. PEAKE: Just to make a comment about that, the  
19 Army Surgeon General's officers are outside the Pentagon  
20 actually, or we have one office in the Pentagon, but most of it  
21 is outside, and I assembled all of our people, and we were  
22 talking about it.

23 And, you know, the civilian work force works in the  
24 Pentagon for 30 years, and they float back and forth in different  
25 jobs, in different sections and move through the GS system, and

1 they all know each other. And they all -- every one of them in  
2 there had somebody that they knew in the Pentagon.

3 So even though we're outside, you know, that's a  
4 group that would have otherwise been missed. You say wait a  
5 minute. We've got to reach out and look.

6 So we're sort of the executive agent of the De  
7 Lorenzo Clinic right now, and so let's with the lead agent in  
8 this area look at all of Northern Virginia and the national  
9 Capitol region as our incident area, not just the Pentagon.

10 So Dewitt, you know, they're engaged out there.  
11 It's where a lot of families live that otherwise wouldn't have  
12 access. All of these new places where officers are springing up  
13 because the old place is gone are people that are high risk.

14 So we're trying to lay out the grid and the matrix  
15 to insure that whichever team it is is coordinated so that we  
16 don't miss people for just the reasons you said.

17 The other thing, as we pull in the issues here,  
18 where we're going to see even a larger incidence of people  
19 seeking assistance will be about two or three months from now.  
20 So we're starting to say what do we need to do to beef up the  
21 assets that are available two to three months from now and kind  
22 of think as a long-term campaign plan as opposed to getting  
23 caught with thinking everything is okay, and then all of a sudden  
24 start seeing the consequences.

25 So as Jim said, I think we will learn something

1 from this, but I think we've already learned a lot from other  
2 experiences that we really need to apply.

3 DR. OSTROFF: Phil.

4 DR. LANDRIGAN: Phil Landrigan here.

5 Just let me say there's one more dimension to the  
6 epidemiologic follow-up that we've been dealing with in New York,  
7 which is where I'm from, and that's the issue of the occupational  
8 health of the workers that are going to be in there, those that  
9 have already been in there, of course, too, and the rescue and  
10 recovery and those who are going to be in there starting now  
11 already and continuing for the next many months removing the  
12 materials.

13 And we know, for example, in the World Trade Center  
14 that there was asbestos up to the 40th story of one of the two  
15 towers. I'm sure you must have asbestos in the Pentagon.

16 There may have been toxic combustion products  
17 formed during the fires when vinyl burned, for example. There  
18 may have been dioxin. We've been in touch with Steve's  
19 counterparts at CDC to get some assays done for that, and the  
20 first priority then is going to be as best we can put together a  
21 roster, a denominator of the work force, that it won't be  
22 complete because some of the volunteers have already disbursed,  
23 but we'll do as good a job as we can of putting together such a  
24 roster. We'll do what we can to establish baseline health status  
25 indicators on them, including, I hope, mental health, and then

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 there'll be a basis for following these men and women forward.

2 And up in New York when we've got such a  
3 concentration of medical schools, it will be a collaborative  
4 effort among the schools and the various actors of government.  
5 It seems reasonable to me that maybe we ought to be in touch with  
6 the folks who are putting together such a cohort at the Pentagon  
7 to the extent that the survey data instruments can be similar.  
8 That will be to the good.

9 LTG. PEAKE: The Center for Health and Promotion of  
10 Preventive Medicine is going to be the lead. Dr. Clinton talked  
11 to me about that today because I guess there are some people  
12 already up in New York, a few; you don't need much of our help  
13 frankly, as bet I can tell.

14 But we've had air sample collectors in from the  
15 very first day because I just knew we were going to have those  
16 kind of questions asked, and briefed 633 samples from all the  
17 various corridors as far as the FBI will let us in and as far as  
18 in and on all the floors.

19 So far everything has been within OSHA standards.  
20 We found some lead, not aerosolized, but on the whites, and so  
21 we're making sure we're doing the wet cleaning to try to mitigate  
22 that.

23 But that's gone a long way to reassure people so  
24 far, and we are going to continue that sampling. You know, the  
25 concern of people being afraid to come back anyway, and then sort

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 of the notion of, well, maybe this is a sick building; we want to  
2 be able to alleviate that very quickly with some science behind  
3 it.

4 So I appreciate your point.

5 DR. LANDRIGAN: That's all very reassuring. One of  
6 the things that we've learned over the years from the issue of  
7 asbestos in building is that it's important to have the air  
8 samples, but it's also important to complement those with having  
9 bulk samples of whatever is the source material from which the  
10 aerosol is generated. So in this instance the source material is  
11 probably the dust that people are going to be kicking up as they  
12 do their work.

13 And the reason it's important to get both and to  
14 have multiple samples of both has to do with the fact that the  
15 release of material into the air is intermittent.

16 So it's absolutely reassuring that the air samples  
17 are below OSHA standards, but at the same time from the  
18 perspective of putting together a rational prevention plan that  
19 takes note of the various hazards that are present on site, you  
20 need to have the source samples as well.

21 LTG. PEAKE: The other thing that is sort of good  
22 is this is the wedge that had already been renovated, and so many  
23 of the asbestos issues had been mitigated as part of the  
24 renovation. So maybe we lucked out in terms of that to some  
25 degree, but we will take that comment and pursue it.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)



1 COL BRADSHAW: Yeah, this is Colonel Bradshaw.

2 I just wanted to mention from the Air Force point  
3 of view that we have been in touch through General Martinez,  
4 through General Murray, my boss, and are working with the CHPPM  
5 folks on the self-reporting and follow-up of the individuals.  
6 Dr. Ursano, as Admiral Zimble mentioned, has also been in touch  
7 with the CHPPM folks and is actively involved.

8 And I should mention that we've done a Cobar Towers  
9 investigation in the Air Force, along with the folks who did the  
10 Oklahoma City Murrah Federal Building follow-up. The Oklahoma  
11 epidemiologists there and Tim Davis at the CDC, CHPPM has already  
12 ben in touch with him.

13 So if you guys are anticipating that sort of thing  
14 I'm sure that Colonel Eggerton at CHPPM and others that will all  
15 be glad to try and be on the same sheet of music in terms of what  
16 we're doing. They're looking at both injury follow-up and some,  
17 you know, PTSD and other types of mental health questions, et  
18 cetera, et cetera.

19 So I think collaboration and coordination is  
20 certainly important in this, and as we mentioned, they also did  
21 an environmental survey.

22 DR. OSTROFF: General Peake, I think you have to  
23 go.

24 LTG. PEAKE: I have to go. Actually I'm going up  
25 to Dover and then to CHMP later on today.

1                   So again, my thanks for letting me come visit with  
2                   you, and I appreciate what you're doing.

3                   DR. OSTROFF:   Thanks.   We appreciate your being  
4                   here.

5                   Why don't we go ahead and take our break now.  
6                   We're a couple of minutes ahead of schedule, and we have a 15  
7                   minute break scheduled, and let's try to come back at ten after.

8                   (Whereupon, the foregoing matter went off the  
9                   record at 10:55 a.m. and went back on the record at  
10                  11:25 a.m.)

11                  DR. OSTROFF:   We have Lieutenant Colonel Art Baker,  
12                  the Reportable Diseases Project Officer   who's going to give us  
13                  an overview of tri-service reportable medical events.

14                  And I must confess I read this quite closely last  
15                  evening.   So I'll be interested in your presentation.

16                  (Laughter.)

17                  LtCOL. BAKER:   Thank you very much.

18                  I'm Art Baker, and I'm going to talk about the tri-  
19                  service report and medical events.

20                  I want to divide this presentation into five areas.

21                  I want to give you a background on it.   I want to talk about the  
22                  tri-service reportable event list, the criteria for inclusion and  
23                  exclusion, guidelines for reporting.

24                  And then I wanted also to talk about the data flow  
25                  of the reportable medical events from each of the services into

1 the defense medical surveillance system.

2 Finally, I'd like to give a summary of some of the  
3 reportable event data and discuss the completeness of the  
4 reportable events.

5 Now, to give you some background on the tri-service  
6 reportable events, in December of 1997, there was a meeting and a  
7 consensus was arrived at amongst the services on what events were  
8 reportable. By July of 1998 a case definition document had been  
9 compiled and distributed to the various individuals for comment,  
10 and then it was finally published.

11 By January of 2000, all of the services, Army,  
12 Navy, Air Force and the Marines through other services were  
13 reporting medical events on a relatively consistent basis, and by  
14 January of 2001, we had reconvened and looked at the reportable  
15 event list to see whether or not there were any changes that  
16 needed to be done either in the specific conditions to be listed  
17 or in the terms or the conditions for which that individual event  
18 would be reported.

19 This is a picture of the tri-service reportable  
20 events. This is the cover. It has all of the guidelines and  
21 case definitions in it, and this is something that's available on  
22 the Internet. You can download it and read through it, and if  
23 you have any comments, I'd be happy to have you send me an E-mail  
24 about that.

25 And this is available at the AMSA Web page,

1 amsa.army.mil.

2 Now, we used some very specific criteria for  
3 deciding what kind of event should be included in the tri-service  
4 reportable events. First of all, we wanted to include events for  
5 which there was no other timely source of data available, and  
6 timely for us was anyplace from a couple of days to a month.

7 We wanted to have a very clear case definition  
8 available so that there wouldn't be any struggle over what to  
9 include and what not to include.

10 We wanted to have an ICD-9 code for each of the  
11 conditions so that we could more accurately group and do  
12 statistical analysis on the events that were reported.

13 We also wanted to make sure that there was an  
14 intervention available for each of the conditions that were going  
15 to be reported and that this kind of intervention would be  
16 important because of the high degree of public health impact that  
17 this condition would have.

18 And also, it would help us to identify failures in  
19 the preventive medicine infrastructure locally whenever we didn't  
20 see events being reported that were elsewhere reported.

21 We also included as criteria for medical event  
22 inclusion the urgency of the condition, its potential for  
23 affecting large populations of people, the clinical severity  
24 associated with the medical condition, the ease of  
25 transmissibility, and finally the potential for severe mission

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1       compromise.

2               Most importantly, we also looked at events that  
3       were mandated by outside agencies, such as the CDC and state, and  
4       finally, we wanted to look at events, include events that were  
5       militarily unique threats.

6               And although you won't be able to read this slide  
7       form any place in the room unless you're standing next to me,  
8       these are all of the events. This is also available on the  
9       Internet from that book that you can download if you wish.

10              Now, you can see on here that we have really exotic  
11       conditions that have never been reported before, such as we  
12       haven't had any anthrax cases reported. We haven't had any  
13       biologic warfare agent exposures, but you can see that these  
14       conditions meet some of the criteria for which we included them  
15       in this list.

16              Other conditions are of great significance. For  
17       example, down here in the malaria area -- let me just see if I've  
18       got this done right. Yeah, right down here in the malaria area  
19       we're very interested in these, and we actually get as soon as a  
20       case is diagnosed anyplace in the military treatment system of  
21       any service -- these usually come to us pretty quickly.

22              Now, this is a sample page that's probably  
23       difficult to read from your position, but let me tell you that  
24       this is a sample page out of our manual, and we organize every  
25       condition under these kinds of headings.

1           For example -- oops, sorry. Got to go back two.  
2       Yeah, right here. Good. Thank you. And I've got this took  
3       here.

4           First of all, we give a clinical description of the  
5       condition. We've actually selected Dengue fever here. We give a  
6       clinical description.

7           Next we give a clinical case definition. We give a  
8       -- further down we give the laboratory diagnosis or criteria for  
9       diagnosis, and we give a case classification here. Any further  
10      requiring comments and additional considerations, and we do this  
11      for every case so that when people are wondering, "Should I  
12      report this case of Rocky Mountain spotted fever with an IgG  
13      titer of one to 64?" no, I don't think so.

14          But we provide this so that there will be  
15      continuity and consistency of reporting.

16          Now, I want to tell you how the tri-service  
17      reportable events fit into the DMSS, and I need to give you a  
18      kind of an architecture or a functional organizational.

19          The defense medical surveillance system has data  
20      that comes into it. It has personnel data. It has medical data.  
21      It has serologic data, and it has deployment data, and all of  
22      these columns feed into the defense medical surveillance system.

23          Now, specifically, we receive reportable medical  
24      events from the Army, Navy, and Air Force which also come into  
25      the defense medical surveillance system, and this information

1 then is used to generate various kinds of reports: the medical  
2 surveillance monthly report. We have ad hoc requests for persons  
3 who have particular requests about the data.

4 We do studies and analysis, and then we have  
5 routine reports and summaries that are produced from this  
6 database.

7 In addition, this database can be queried through  
8 the Internet with DMED, which is a remote access front end to the  
9 DMS database, and you can look at reportable events with DMED,  
10 reportable events that are in DMSS.

11 Now, the tri-service reports come into DMSS from  
12 different services. There's the Army system, which is called  
13 RMES. There is the Navy-Marine Corps system of reporting tri-  
14 service reportable events, which is the NDRS. And then there is  
15 the Air Force system called the AFRES.

16 These events are located or found or identified by  
17 providers who are in medical treatment facilities. They're in  
18 clinics. They're in ships. Sometimes they're in battalion aid  
19 stations, and these events then get reported up to the reporting  
20 sites that they're associated with.

21 And these reporting sites in the case of the Army  
22 are at 34 different reporting sites. In the case of the Navy,  
23 there are four ENPUs, and there are 79 -- there are approximately  
24 70 reporting sites for the Air Force, and these reports that are  
25 generated at each service level come up to their respective

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 service surveillance centers, and each of these respective  
2 service surveillance centers send their reports into DMSS.

3 These reports are also standardized and they have  
4 characteristics that make them manageable in a database upon  
5 which statistical analysis can be done. For example, each case  
6 is ICD-9 based. Each case has a unique case number so that a  
7 case number in the Air Force will never be found in the Army case  
8 number series or in the Navy case number series.

9 There are a minimal number of essential data  
10 elements, and we'll look at these on another slide, what the  
11 specific data elements are.

12 There is a comment field available to describe the  
13 case in the event that the individual reporting the case feels  
14 like they need to add a little bit more information.

15 We require that there be an indication of whether  
16 or not the case is confirmed, and the reason -- and we also want  
17 to know the method of confirmation. Was this a clinical case?  
18 Was this confirmed by serology or by slide, for example, with  
19 malaria, or what was the technique? And there are selected  
20 techniques that can be chosen.

21 If there is not a confirmation of this case, then  
22 it's not included in any of our analysis. Now, these are the  
23 data elements that we request for each case, and it's broken up  
24 basically into two groups: the demographic data, as well as the  
25 medical data.



1           This helps us to sort cases by demographics and let  
2 me just -- this is the case number. This is the DMISID. This is  
3 a unique number assigned each medical treatment facility, first  
4 name, last name, family member prefix, Social Security number,  
5 patient category, race/ethnicity, sex, date of birth, and grade.

6           These are the medical data, and you can see  
7 diagnosis, date of onset, and for some disease, we want to know  
8 about whether or not the disease was confirmed and what the  
9 method of confirmation was, and we provide these other data  
10 fields. And these are all described in the tri-service manual.

11           Now I'd like to turn for a moment and look at the  
12 number of cases that have been reported by the different services  
13 to DMSS, and these are on active duty service members, cases that  
14 have been reported on active duty service members between 1995  
15 and 2000.

16           the Army started reporting cases in '95 and '96,  
17 and then there was an effort made to give feedback to the field  
18 about the quality of the case, whether or not it was confirmed,  
19 and that kind of feedback.

20           And as a result, we had a step up in the number of  
21 cases, and we were generally above the 8,000 line and here in  
22 this 2,000 year, we were over 95 -- about 9,500.

23           The Navy has also transmitted cases, and you'll see  
24 that there is a declining value here on the number of cases that  
25 the Navy sends to us, and one of the reasons that we suspect that

1 this is true is that there is a long lag time between the time a  
2 case is actually identified at a naval site and then finally gets  
3 through their system of transmission and to DMSS through the tri-  
4 service reporting system.

5 You can see that the light beige color here is the  
6 Air Force, and over time it has increased in the number of cases,  
7 and this actually reflects, I think, an attention that the Air  
8 Force has paid to the reporting system in an effort to get their  
9 multiple reporting sites to send their cases in on a more timely  
10 basis.

11 We'll go on to the next one.

12 Now, these are the 15 most commonly reported cases  
13 so far in the DMSS, and this is for 1998, '99, and 2000, and you  
14 can see that the most common diagnosis and the most common cases  
15 are sexually transmitted diseases.

16 So that in 1998, 55 percent of all the cases  
17 reported in 1998 were chlamydia cases, in 1997, 57 percent, and  
18 in 2000 it was 65 percent. All of the cases so far in these  
19 three years that have been reported for chlamydia, there were  
20 20,000 cases of chlamydia.

21 You can see it drops down quickly to gonorrhea,  
22 non-gonococcal urethritis, it's small, and then the leading cause  
23 of -- the leading report is our heat injuries.

24 We stopped at 15 because this was the first set  
25 where the percentage was less than zero, and you can see that

1 over three years there were 106 cases of Hepatitis C reported  
2 through the tri-service reportable event system.

3 Now, as you know, surveillance systems are measured  
4 usually in two kinds of measures. One is timeliness, and we're  
5 not going to talk about timeliness today, but the other issue is  
6 by completeness, and we define completeness as being based on the  
7 percentage -- on the percent of all hospitalizations that are  
8 required to be reported that were actually reported to DMSS and  
9 the total number of hospitalizations for services based on the  
10 standard in-patient data records so that we actually get all of  
11 the -- we know all of the cases, all of the hospitalized cases in  
12 all of the services, and then we look through all of those  
13 hospitalized cases and say, "Now, which one of these should have  
14 been required to be reported through the tri-service system?"

15 And then we go to each service and say, "Now, of  
16 these cases that should have been reported, how many of them did  
17 you report?"

18 And that percentage is used to establish the  
19 completeness of reporting. This completeness is only based on  
20 active duty admissions to military treatment facilities. So we  
21 don't include soldiers who get into a car accident on the  
22 interstate and they're dragged off to the nearest civilian  
23 facility. We only count cases that were hospitalized in military  
24 medical treatment facilities.

25 So that if we look at completeness of reporting of

1 required reportable hospitalizations amongst active duty service  
2 members in this time frame, you can see that the Army started out  
3 here at about 30 percent back in '95, and in this time frame,  
4 there began to be more feedback to the reporting sites saying,  
5 "We need this information. We need it in a more timely manner,  
6 and why couldn't you also tell us about these?"

7 And helped to tune up the reporting sites and so  
8 that we're -- about 60 percent of the required reports are  
9 actually reported. Now, some people think that 60 percent is is  
10 not a very good number. Actually if you look at other active  
11 surveillance systems, if you get ten or 15 percent of cases,  
12 that's pretty darn good. So that the Army is actually maybe four  
13 times better than what you usually expect.

14 Now, in about '98, the Air Force and the Navy  
15 started adding cases, and you can see that the Air Force has  
16 begun to implement reporting of cases and also a new system so  
17 that they're moving up from ten to 30 percent, and I think that  
18 you can see that the Navy has basically remained around 15  
19 percent, and this is probably again due to the lag time that's  
20 associated with bringing cases in.

21 Okay. That concludes my briefing. Are there any  
22 questions I may answer?

23 DR. OSTROFF: Oh, yes.

24 (Laughter.)

25 DR. OSTROFF: Let me start by thanking you for the

1 presentation and thanking you for at least making an attempt to  
2 bring some structure out of what to me when I first started  
3 dealing with this five or six years ago looked incredibly chaotic  
4 with no consistent case definitions and no consistent ways of  
5 reporting and no standardized list of diseases, et cetera, et  
6 cetera, et cetera.

7 But I guess my initial question to you is to what  
8 degree does this really reflect reality, and the reason I ask  
9 that is that while 60 percent from the Army may look pretty good  
10 if what you're measuring is hospitalizations, for the vast  
11 majority of these, these people don't get hospitalized.

12 LtCOL. BAKER: Exactly.

13 DR. OSTROFF: So when you compare them to  
14 surveillance systems that are picking up ten or 15 percent,  
15 you're talking about surveillance systems where most of this is  
16 being diagnosed on an out-patient setting.

17 LtCOL. BAKER: Right.

18 DR. OSTROFF: So I'm not sure that's a fair  
19 comparison.

20 LtCOL. BAKER: You're exactly right. It is a  
21 surrogate measure, and it's not the best surrogate measure, but I  
22 don't think that a better one can be easily found that can be  
23 used as a standard.

24 The other value of having this as a measure is that  
25 it provides an opportunity to talk to the services or the actual

1 reporting sites about, well, how do you go about identifying  
2 cases and to say, well, you know, you can go to your PAD, your  
3 Patient Administration Division, and say, "I need to know all the  
4 patients that were discharged with these ICD-9 codes because I  
5 have to report it to the tri-service thing. And, by the way, I  
6 need to know all of the patients who had laboratory values that  
7 were these kind of serologic results because I need to report  
8 them to the tri-service."

9 So what it does in a way it kind of stimulates the  
10 reporting sites to begin to think about how are they going to  
11 capture data, not the easy data of the patient hospitalized and  
12 discharged, but the harder data of out-patients, and those are a  
13 couple of different routes.

14 Part of those routes are through the laboratory  
15 system. Part of them are through the KGADS system, the ADS  
16 system for whatever value that may have at an individual site,  
17 and to begin to think of other ways of capturing data.

18 COL. RUBERTONE: If I could address the ambulatory  
19 data, we also get the ambulatory data int he --

20 DR. OSTROFF: Could you identify yourself?

21 COL. RUBERTONE: Sorry. Mark Rubertone at the Army  
22 Medical Surveillance Activity.

23 We do get the ambulatory data, and we have looked  
24 now for a number of years at the feasibility of using that to  
25 look at the completeness of reporting because we understand the

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 surrogate measure of in-patient hospitalizations.

2           There's a two-fold problem. The first and main one  
3 is that there's no level of confirming a case in the ambulatory  
4 data system. So suspected cases are given the diagnosis that  
5 they may end up B or not B. So it would be hard to compare  
6 against that.

7           And the other and more troublesome is the accuracy  
8 of the data. Currently in the ambulatory data system, there are  
9 about 1,600 cases of anthrax that have been diagnosed.

10           DR. OSTROFF: Impressive.

11           (Laughter.)

12           DR. OSTROFF: You have a problem.

13           COL. RUBERTONE: Huge outbreak. Now, we realize  
14 that these were diagnosed at immunization clinics and very likely  
15 were or absolutely were immunizations, but that's just one  
16 example of how we would be really finding fault with the  
17 reporting sites on their completeness of reporting when it may  
18 not be true.

19           And even though 60 percent, I think, is also good,  
20 we're held to a very high standard in the military, and that has  
21 three digits: 100 percent reporting. So they really want all  
22 sites to have 100 percent reporting, and if you compare, if your  
23 gold standard is tarnished, then you have a little bit of a  
24 problem with that.

25           DR. OSTROFF: Can I ask Captain Yund to comment

1 about the delays or the lag times in data reporting?

2 I mean, even in the public health sector two years  
3 would seem to be a little bit on the lengthy side.

4 (Laughter.)

5 CAPT. YUND: I think that certainly there are some  
6 delays, but I don't think the delays in reporting are what are  
7 responsible for the low numbers in the Navy, and I'll let Dana  
8 comment about the Air Force.

9 I think that what's responsible for those low  
10 numbers is low, very low compliance with reporting out there with  
11 the data coming into the EPMUs.

12 There have been some other problems where a few  
13 breakdowns where the data has not in the past always gotten  
14 forwarded past NEHC, and there have been a number of things that  
15 I thought were being worked on or being fixed, but this obviously  
16 showing that we haven't identified -- we haven't fixed the  
17 problem, but I don't think it's delay. I think it's a compliance  
18 issue.

19 DR. OSTROFF: Dr. Berg.

20 DR. BERG: Yeah, I thought Colonel Baker was being  
21 a bit diplomatic when he described it as a delay. Sine we have  
22 Captain Bohnker here who is from NEHC, I would wonder whether he  
23 might be willing to say a few words as to what NEHC hopes to do  
24 to improve this process.

25 (Laughter.)



1 CAPT. BOHNER: I don't know that I would speak for  
2 NEHC. I can tell you what I've been working on. A great thing;  
3 been there two months. You can understand that.

4 (Laughter.)

5 CAPT. BOHNER: What would you like to hear about?  
6 Y2K? Would like to hear about the INFOSEC problem with the zip  
7 files which are being deleted from our processing system as they  
8 bring it up from the ships in the EPMUs, which creates -- which  
9 deletes the data?

10 There's lots of information, lots of problems there  
11 that we're working on right now, and I can't tell you how to  
12 solve it. I'd be happy to bring it back and give you a  
13 presentation next time on that whole topic if you'd like. A  
14 fascinating area.

15 We have the same top three in terms 'of chlamydia,  
16 gonorrhea, and NSU, is our top three. Our numbers actually -- I  
17 think it's actually a Y2K issue, why it went down in 2000 because  
18 it came back up in 2001. We had to get the computer program Y2K  
19 compliant to be able to use it on the ships.

20 There's a couple of issues that really bring this  
21 problem because in the Navy we have to be able to run our system  
22 on ships with 200 people on it and a first class petty officer.  
23 Okay? Just like Bethesda Navy Hospital, in order to make it work  
24 in the Navy, it's got to be a first class petty officer on a  
25 frigate in the middle of the ocean, and he has all of the

1 capability in terms of medical departments. Bethesda does, and  
2 he has individual reporting requirements that comes from him to  
3 the EPMUs and on up to there.

4 We drive a lot of our system that way. We still  
5 have some big issues in reporting we get to work on, and we're  
6 working on them, a fascinating area.

7 DR. OSTROFF: Let me go this side first.

8 DR. HERBOLD: John Herbold.

9 If you could help clarify part of this for me, on  
10 the hospitalization data, it's a matter of timeliness versus  
11 completeness because my understanding is that eventually all of  
12 the discharge diagnoses are gathered somewhere.

13 LtCOL. BAKER: Yes, they are.

14 DR. HERBOLD: So the hospitalization data, it's a  
15 matter -- it's how soon you find out about it so that you can do  
16 something about it rather than having to wait a year to get the  
17 tapes.

18 LtCOL. BAKER: Right. Let me see if I can answer  
19 this. Our completeness and timeliness analysis is done six  
20 months after a given period. For example, our January to June  
21 completeness reporting we will actually do in December, and it  
22 will be a look-back kind of exercise to say to the site, "You  
23 know, we've finally gotten in all of the hospitalization data.  
24 We know when the patient was hospitalized, the date of onset. We  
25 know what day you reported it, if you did report it, and now we

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 can look back and say that of the 30 cases that you should have  
2 reported to us, you reported 20, got a 66 percent, and of those  
3 20 that you reported to us, one portion was reported within a  
4 week of discharge. Another portion was reported within two weeks  
5 of discharge," and that kind of cumulative percentage we can do.

6 So that we give a kind of assessment at the local  
7 level at the reporting site as to what they're doing, and that  
8 gives the opportunity to the preventive medicine officer to look  
9 at the processes and systems that the preventive officer has in  
10 place to determine if they're functioning.

11 Does that answer?

12 DR. HERBOLD: Well, if you can help me just a  
13 little bit because it's been like 15 years since I've had hands on  
14 on this, the information at least for the hospitalized patient is  
15 being collected at, say, the registrar's office, and so it's  
16 captured at some point in time, and for sure it's captured by the  
17 time the patient is discharged.

18 LtCOL. BAKER: Correct.

19 DR. HERBOLD: And so the question there seems to me  
20 more it's a matter of process of how many different bean counters  
21 are going to be included in getting the information and where  
22 it's chopped to, right?

23 LtCOL. BAKER: Right, and the approach is for the  
24 preventive medicine officer to go down to the bean counter and  
25 say, you know, "This week can you give me a listing of all the

1 people that had these ICD-9 codes?"

2 DR. HERBOLD: And that's a solvable problem.

3 LtCOL. BAKER: Some places have it very easily --  
4 have it very well handled. For example, out at Tripler, Ed  
5 Tanaguchi and Colonel Wasserman get regular reports from the PAD  
6 people through their CHCS gurus, and they enter that data, and  
7 they typically are always at 100 percent.

8 And other places are not able to interface as  
9 easily with their reporting systems to their PAD office, and  
10 that's part of training and educating.

11 DR. HERBOLD: Okay. Well, can you tell me then how  
12 the ambulatory data is collected in 2001? Is that collected  
13 electronically or is that still all paper?

14 COL. RUBERTONE: Both. At the clinics, in some  
15 clinics they're both. It's collected electronically. It's  
16 entered electronically, and in some places it's entered on paper.

17 It then goes to a central place within the hospital  
18 where it's converted into an electronic file, and my  
19 understanding is that it goes off to -- I can't remember where  
20 it's at, Mark.

21 DR. HERBOLD: If I could interrupt, I think what  
22 you're talking about is it's believed that all of the ambulatory  
23 visits within the military treatment facility enterprise end up  
24 in the central ambulatory data down to the level of the troop  
25 medical clinic.

1           So below that level, at battalion aid stations,  
2           aboard some ships even in deployed situations, we don't get that  
3           data electronically. It remains as a paper based record. I  
4           think that's what your question was.

5           But above the troop medical clinic, we do get that  
6           data, and are you asking why there's a redundant system, why we  
7           have a reportable event system if we have these other electronic  
8           methods of receiving data?

9           DR. HERBOLD: Well, one thing is if you're  
10          capturing it in the hospital setting electronically, yes, I'm  
11          asking why can't that be disbursed where it needs to go.

12          COL. RUBERTON: It's timeliness. Right now on  
13          average our in-patient data record takes approximately three to  
14          four months before we see it in the defense medical surveillance  
15          system at a central surveillance location, and that's because the  
16          chart has to be reviewed by a nosologist. It has to be signed  
17          off by the health care provider.

18          It then churns through the system. Most of these  
19          systems kind of work on a monthly basis. I will say that there's  
20          efforts to improve that, and I do feel that in the near future  
21          that will be down to maybe within 30 days we'll hear about it and  
22          maybe even very timely the next day or so.

23          When that occurs, we can do away with a reportable  
24          event system because there's really no reason to have that  
25          redundancy, but right now it's timeliness.

1                   We hear about cases of malaria in Korea the day  
2                   after they happen, whereas if there was a hospitalized case, it  
3                   would take three or four months for us to hear about it  
4                   otherwise.

5                   DR. OSTROFF: Time is running dear. Let's just  
6                   take two more quick questions, and then we'll move on to the very  
7                   important conflict of interest training so that we can then go to  
8                   lunch.

9                   DR. SHANAHAN: Okay. Dennis Shanahan.

10                  One question I had is I notice on injury that  
11                  you're collecting only environmental exposure. I'd like to know  
12                  why you excluded other forms of injury.

13                  And the second question I really have is related to  
14                  bias in your relatively slow sampling rate. For instance, it's  
15                  very clear that your top three are not based upon hospital data,  
16                  that you are getting a certain amount of ambulatory data in there  
17                  as well, but it's clear to me that there's a lot of selection  
18                  bias going on in what you're getting and how you deal with that.

19                  LtCOL. BAKER: I'm not sure that -- let's see. How  
20                  do we deal with selection bias?

21                  Well, it is what we have, and we try to enhance  
22                  completeness of reporting, and I'm not sure how to answer that.

23                  DR. SHANAHAN: Well, basically my point is how do  
24                  we know that we're not just seeing the tip of the iceberg in your  
25                  first three.

1 LtCOL. BAKER: We are.

2 COL. RUBERTONE: Right. We don't know that  
3 definitively. We can look at the ambulatory data record and say  
4 how many cases of chlamydia were diagnosed, and we have done  
5 that. And in some cases, some locations the compliance is  
6 actually greater than 60 percent of what we see, and those tend  
7 to be the cases such as Madigan and Fort Bragg where they have  
8 centralized STD clinics, and they have very good reporting.

9 In other places it's much lower than 60 percent  
10 because it is more decentralized in how it's treated.

11 So you're right. In terms of the completeness  
12 reporting, we use the in-patient data as a surrogate. It's our  
13 best measure, and we feel that that if nothing else has improved  
14 upon the reporting of even out-patient conditions because you can  
15 see how the number of reports have increased.

16 On your first question, which was -- which is  
17 escaping me now.

18 DR. SHANAHAN: Traumatic versus --

19 COL. RUBERTONE: Right. That was not an easy  
20 decision, but a lot of it came down with the criteria for  
21 including the different conditions as to was this something that  
22 was truly preventable. Was it something that the preventive  
23 medicine communities in the services had visibility to?

24 You know, if you want to make carpel tunnel  
25 syndrome reportable, you've burdened the PRIM-S (phonetic) and

1 the occupational health clinics with a lot more effort to gather  
2 all of that data from the different sources in order to report  
3 it.

4 So the services more or less went with the  
5 communicable disease model with some other military important  
6 diseases, the heat and cold injuries.

7 DR. OSTROFF: Dana, very quick, and then Dr.  
8 Zimble, and then we'll go on.

9 COL. BRADSHAW: I'll try and be quick if I can, but  
10 I'm trying to go back and catch a lot of things.

11 There an IOIPC, which has been presented here,  
12 that's working on injury issues, but there's also the safety  
13 community where we have an epidemiologist in the Air Force at our  
14 safety community, and they at least get reported there.

15 And then disease, non-battle injuries are included  
16 in several categories there, including MVAs, et cetera, et  
17 cetera. I just wanted to speak quickly to the Air Force issues  
18 and some of the global issues about reporting.

19 As everyone is aware, passive reporting systems,  
20 which these are, are very sensitive to the emphasis that's placed  
21 on them. I think the Army has done a very good job at  
22 emphasizing and doing a lot of feedback to the field and putting  
23 a lot of emphasis on their reporting. And I think that's largely  
24 why I think they're getting, you know, good reporting rates.

25 In the Air Force, I've kind of felt convinced

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)



1 because of some of the problems that have been discussed here  
2 that we want to go to as much as possible for those for which it  
3 applies doing active laboratory based surveillance so that we  
4 kind of skip the human factors in between if we can, and then get  
5 to a better data set.

6 But there are some other problems with that, and  
7 when I got to GEIS, that's one of the things I want to try and  
8 follow-up on, and I know Joel and them have already been working  
9 on that.

10 Some of the issues about the Air Force reportable  
11 incidence surveillance system and where we are, and Mark can  
12 confirm this, but I know part of the problem with our system,  
13 part of it is lack of emphasis, but secondarily it was also that  
14 I think when we changed over to the agreed upon data set, that  
15 one of the requirements, for instance, confirmable reportable  
16 event, that we weren't doing that good a job at getting the  
17 confirmable.

18 And so then it doesn't show up. So if you don't  
19 confirm it, then it doesn't go into that, you know, reporting  
20 set. So a lot of that rise, I think, has been FIERA (phonetic),  
21 trying to get the confirmable and some other issues taken care  
22 of, and so that's part of where we're coming from, I think, on  
23 the Air Force side.

24 Lastly, there are some things that clearly, like  
25 the sexually transmitted disease, our clinicians remember and

1 they report fairly well. I presented earlier in February, I  
2 guess, of this year about chlamydia for the Air Force, and about  
3 two thirds or even up to 70 percent of our chlamydia we can match  
4 to a laboratory test.

5 So some of those things we do pretty good on, but  
6 if it's shigella, if I remember a couple or three years ago when  
7 I was at DMSS, maybe one percent got reported. So obviously  
8 we've got problems elsewhere.

9 DR. OSTROFF: Very quick, Dr. Zimble.

10 DR. ZIMBLE: Yeah. I would just like to say that,  
11 first of all, I want to compliment you for what you've done. I  
12 was fleet surgeon in the Atlantic Fleet in 1983 to 1986 when  
13 there was nothing, and there was no way I could advise my CINC on  
14 any kind of intervention because I didn't know what to intervene  
15 with.

16 So something has happened, but it really is a  
17 systems problem, and it's an unstable environment. The platforms  
18 move. The people move. Keeping them educated and maintaining a  
19 discipline for reporting is a very Herculean task, and what we  
20 need is to urge Department of Defense to get on with what Dr.  
21 DeBlanck had been advertising for years, is the PIC.

22 If we get to the point where there's a chip that  
23 every serviceman wears and data gets entered onto that and that  
24 data gets entered into the system, then it's part of the routine  
25 business of taking care of the troops that's going to get the

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 information delivered, and the feedback is essential. If there's  
2 not good, adequate, fast feedback, it's meaningless.

3 And I'm delighted to see that you have a report. I  
4 don't know how many people read it. I don't know how much they  
5 can get out of it. If you can't regionalize the data, then it  
6 comes back.

7 But this may not be much, but it's a lot compared  
8 to what things were like 20 years ago.

9 DR. OSTROFF: I agree. Thank you for the  
10 presentation.

11 DR. ZIMBLE: You're welcome.

12 DR. OSTROFF: Let me just point out for the Board  
13 that Colonel Gibson's presentation concerning JP-8 because of the  
14 changes in the schedule we won't have time for, but the handout  
15 is in the briefing materials.

16 We're going to move on to Mr. Criss from the Army  
17 JAG Office to do the conflict of interest.

18 MR. CRISS: Well, I'm Charlie Criss with the Army  
19 Standards of Conduct Office, and I'm an ethics attorney.

20 And you presented me a real challenge, an attorney  
21 presenting this topic in about a minus two minutes, but --

22 DR. OSTROFF: No. We're not hungry yet.

23 (Laughter.)

24 MR. CRISS: I can do this mission.

25 DR. OSTROFF: And his material was in Tab 4 in your

1 notebook.

2 MR. CRISS: It is in Tab 4, and there's a couple of  
3 high speed outlines in Tab 4. So actually if I don't say  
4 anything and you would look at those last two outlines and study  
5 those, take that home as homework, then you'd know everything  
6 that you really need to know.

7 But what I want to talk to you about is the one  
8 topic that would prevent you from serving on this Board, and  
9 that's conflicts of interest, and before you serve on the Board  
10 you fill out that OGE Form 450, in which you list your assets,  
11 liabilities, transactions, and things like that, and then that  
12 goes to me with a copy of your resume and what it is that you  
13 intend to do for the Board.

14 And then I review that in junction with Rick, and  
15 we just kind of spot check that to see if there might be any  
16 conflicts of interest.

17 So if there are, then it's unlikely that you would  
18 serve on this Board. Now, there are a couple of mechanisms by  
19 which we can change things around so that if it's so important  
20 that you serve on this Board in light of that conflict of  
21 interest, then we can make that happen possibly in conjunction  
22 with the Office of Government Ethics.

23 But let me start at the beginning and try to be  
24 real quick. On that first outline, the first information paper,  
25 the only thing I want to point out there is that you're a little

1 different than many of us in the room. Those who are wearing the  
2 uniform are subject to the standards of conduct for executive  
3 branch employees, and those of us who are civilians are also  
4 subject to those. But you are special government employees, and  
5 as such, you're also subject to the restrictions, but to a lesser  
6 degree.

7 And a special government employee is someone who is  
8 serving for the government during some 12 consecutive months, for  
9 a period of less than 130 days. So think of it as a temporary  
10 employee, and that's what you are.

11 And I understand that you're all serving here  
12 gratis, more or less as a volunteer without compensation. And  
13 for that reason also you're special.

14 Now, I want to go into the second outline, and  
15 that's the one that really talks about conflicts of interest,  
16 actual conflicts and the appearance of conflicts.

17 And on the appearance of conflicts, kind of think  
18 of it as what would Joe Taxpayer in Peoria, Illinois think if  
19 you, for instance, were employed by a vaccine manufacturer and  
20 you came in here to work on a particular study in regard to a  
21 vaccine or an anthrax something or other.

22 The recommendation that you might make in that  
23 study in this Board, if it were to have an impact on your  
24 employment, if you were able to recommend to your fellow Board  
25 members and sway the Board that whatever the recommendation it is

1 that you're making would have an impact on your private  
2 employment with, let's say, Eli Lilly or Pfizer, and because of  
3 that recommendation the federal government would say, "We like  
4 that. We'll go that way, and we'll award that contract for that  
5 vaccine, too, that vaccine manufacturer," which is your employer,  
6 then not only would Joe Taxpayer in Peoria, Illinois think that  
7 there's something strange about that, but there would also be an  
8 actual conflict of interest.

9 So that's the kind of things we're looking at when  
10 you fill out that OGE Form 450.

11 The last outline is -- and this would really, I  
12 think, be the most benefit to you -- is take that outline home  
13 because it talks about when you go through that 450 line by line,  
14 here's the things you want to look at.

15 That will prevent Rick from having to kick back --  
16 after he sends me the OGE-450, but he's real good. He's looking  
17 at these things before he even sends them to me, and he's  
18 catching a lot of these omissions and sending them back to you  
19 before they come to our office.

20 But if it comes to our office, if there's something  
21 that needs to be corrected or additional information or what did  
22 you mean by this, then I'll kick it back to Rick, and Rick will  
23 kick it back to you, and then you'll need to flesh out whatever  
24 it is that we're seeking in regard to additional information.

25 So that last outline that talks about specifics

1 concerning what goes on the OGE Form 450, I think, would be the  
2 most helpful for you.

3 On that second outline, that second information  
4 paper regarding -- I'm sorry. The first one that talks about  
5 what is a special government employee. If you'll change --  
6 there's a typo on here. On those four subparagraphs, A through  
7 D, at the bottom, I think it is, that talk about 10 USC, 10 U.S.  
8 Code, change that from 10 USC to 18 USC.

9 Those are all criminal statutes, and Congress was  
10 concerned about anyone who has a conflict of interest, for  
11 instance, and deals in their capacity by service on this Board,  
12 for instance, with a financial interest that they or a member of  
13 this household have on the outside commits a criminal act.

14 And you really don't need to be explaining in a  
15 federal courtroom why your service on this Board was dealing in  
16 self-interest for what you deal in the outside. And I know that  
17 most of you are serving in academia, but we also have a couple of  
18 people, as I understand, on foundations. we even have some  
19 federal employees that serve on the Board. And for those  
20 individuals that's not a concern.

21 But for the rest of you who are really special  
22 government employees, it is. So --

23 DR. OSTROFF: Can I ask just out of ignorance --

24 MR. CRISS: Yes, sir.

25 DR. OSTROFF: -- what happened to C? It goes A, B,

1 D, E. Was there one missing?

2 MR. CRISS: No, that's yet another typo that I  
3 didn't even catch. Thank you.

4 But those are the four subparagraphs I want you to  
5 change from 10 USC to 18 USC.

6 So really the two things I wanted to cover today,  
7 conflicts of interest, what they are, you'll find that in your  
8 second information paper.

9 And secondly, what do I really need to put down on  
10 that OGE Form 450?

11 And the last thing I want to say about that is Rick  
12 is having you do new 450s now , and the technical deadline for  
13 that, filing of those in the federal government is 30 November.  
14 But if you can have those in before that, you're just ahead of  
15 the game, and that will just save everybody a lot of work.

16 I'm sorry I don't have more time to go into this,  
17 but are there any questions, particularly about conflicts of  
18 interest?

19 LT. COL. RIDDLE: I just forwarded everybody a  
20 package, and we're trying to make it just as absolutely as easy  
21 as possible. So you should have got a form, a disk that has a  
22 fillable PDF file, and an Excel spreadsheet on it. With the  
23 Excel spreadsheet you can save your information and update it  
24 from year to year.

25 We're required to do it in September, at the time



1 you're appointed in September of every year, and when you're  
2 reappointed. So you may actually have two of these in a  
3 particular year, but if you save it on that Excel spreadsheet, on  
4 that disk and we've got the information that we can fill out  
5 filled out on there, then that will make it that much easier for  
6 you to try to simplify those processes.

7 DR. SHANAHAN: They sent it out already?

8 LT. COL. RIDDLE: Yes, sent it out --

9 DR. LANDRIGAN: Mine just came in yesterday.

10 DR. SHANAHAN: Okay. Well, the mail is a little  
11 bit behind. Okay.

12 DR. OSTROFF: If I can just make one comment, there  
13 were several very valuable members of the Board that were  
14 extremely dedicated to Board activities, that when they were  
15 nominated and approved to be Board members were not working for  
16 pharmaceutical companies and then went into employment with  
17 pharmaceutical companies.

18 And I think it was somewhat traumatic for Marc  
19 LaForce, in particular, to then have to have these individuals  
20 removed since they had provided such valuable input in terms of  
21 many of the things that we were dealing with.

22 I don't know. Was that something new that came up  
23 or has this always been the policy?

24 MR. CRISS: That preceded my time in the office.  
25 What I understand, and let me answer it this way, I understand

1 that you're focusing on three areas: the OSHA type things, the  
2 health maintenance, and then the disease control.

3 And we're really most interested in the disease  
4 control of what you do and particularly the vaccine  
5 manufacturers. So it would probably be a show stopper if we  
6 would have somebody employed with one of the vaccine  
7 manufacturers that was going to serve on that disease control  
8 aspect of what the AFEB does.

9 Now, I've talked it over with my supervisor, and we  
10 handle the Army Science Board, for instance, a little bit  
11 differently than this, and they also fill out 450s, but it's a  
12 much larger Board, about 100 people, and they have topics that  
13 are assigned to them as you do, and I've seen some of your  
14 reports at least on the Web site.

15 But whenever they are assigned a topic at the Army  
16 Science Board, we ask to see the terms of reference on that  
17 topic, and then we look at the individuals who have been asked to  
18 tackle that topic, and then we look at the 450s, and we kind of  
19 match that 450 up with the terms of reference to see if there's  
20 any conflicts of interest.

21 For AFEB members, my boss has said that we're  
22 really just interested in the vaccine aspect of what your  
23 business is, and in regard to that, we're really going to look at  
24 your employer.

25 So the 450s that I've seen with that 2292 that has

1       been sent to me, no one has been employed by a vaccine  
2       manufacturer. So it hasn't even come up.

3               But I think were that to happen, what we could look  
4       at, sir, is if something occurred like that in the future. Then  
5       we would look at what we call a 208(b)(1) waiver, which in  
6       essence says that this gentleman is so important for what he  
7       knows to the defense of the nation that it's more important that  
8       the United States government mine his knowledge on that  
9       particular topic than it is that he works for that vaccine  
10      manufacturer because that vaccine manufacturer is the only one  
11      that can manufacture this vaccine, and he's the only scientist  
12      that has the expertise that can make it happen.

13              So if there's something like that, it's possible to  
14      wicker a waiver up to get an exception, and in the entire Army  
15      last year, there were only three of those done. In the entire  
16      Air Force, to my knowledge, there's only one done. So it's kind  
17      of a rare animal to do one of these 208(b)(1) waivers, but it's  
18      possible, and we'd definitely look at that.

19              DR. OSTROFF: David?

20              DR. ATKINS: I'm at a federal agency, and our  
21      approach, my understanding, has been slightly different. I run a  
22      federally supported panel, and we have members -- we have one  
23      member from industry, but we view conflict of interest on a topic  
24      by topic basis, and so we haven't prohibited him from serving on  
25      the panel, but we recognize that if a specific topic comes up

1 where his employer is involved in producing a product relevant to  
2 that, that he has a conflict of interest. He declares it. He  
3 recuses himself from votes on that.

4 And I'm wondering if that kind of option is  
5 possible. I think clearly we would all recognize that someone  
6 employed by Merck or the maker of Lyme disease vaccine would have  
7 a conflict when the question is should the Army be vaccinating  
8 routinely for Lyme disease.

9 But their expertise could be very valuable in other  
10 infectious disease guidance where the conflict of interest is  
11 manageable.

12 MR. CRISS: That raises two things. I'll answer it  
13 this way. I think in that situation the appearance of the  
14 conflict would still be there, and it wouldn't -- for Joe  
15 Taxpayer, again, in Peoria, Illinois, who doesn't even recognize  
16 the difference between a Marine Corps uniform and an Army  
17 uniform, it wouldn't make any difference. This person is sitting  
18 on that panel, participating in discussions, but saying, "Well, I  
19 can't talk about it. I can't make a recommendation on that one."

20 So I think the appearance would still be there.

21 The other thing is that it's still up to the  
22 individual member to recognize when the conflict is, and that  
23 appears at the bottom of the first paragraph on that what is an  
24 SGE.

25 It says ultimately it's up to the individual member

1 to recognize that there might be a potential conflict of  
2 interest.

3 And remember we're talking criminal statutes. So  
4 you don't want to do anything that can cross the line, cross the  
5 line into criminality and wind up in a courtroom.

6 So when I say it's ultimately up to you, when the  
7 situation comes forward and Rick says, "Well, let's tackle this  
8 study. Let's have this subcommittee tackle this study," and if  
9 you're involved in something on the outside or a person with whom  
10 you have a relationship, i.e., your wife or a child or a  
11 significant other, which is imputed to you -- their financial  
12 interests are imputed to you -- if you recognize that conflict of  
13 interest, then tell Rick, and Rick can say, "Let me call Charlie  
14 and see if this is going to be a problem."

15 But it really rests with you as to determine do I  
16 have a conflict, and what I've tried to do is point out the areas  
17 of the conflict with that information paper so that you'll see  
18 the red flag when you address that issue before the Board, and if  
19 the red flag goes up, get hold of Rick and he'll know to get hold  
20 of me, and we'll try to figure out which one of about five  
21 remedies are available to work around that.

22 Yes, sir.

23 DR. BERG: Bill Berg.

24 Just out of curiosity, you said there were very few  
25 waivers. Is that because very few were requested or because

1 there's a very high bar?

2 MR. CRISS: I would say, sir, because very few are  
3 requested, and when I talk about five various remedies to get  
4 around this, the most frequent that we see is just a  
5 disqualification statement which typically says, "I hold stock in  
6 General Electric, Pfizer, Merck, and Verizon, and therefore, if  
7 something comes across my desk as an official member of this  
8 Board to act on concerning any one of those entities, then I'm  
9 just not going to act on it.

10 So in most cases, see, we can allow that employee,  
11 having disqualified themselves from whatever it is that they've  
12 listed, to go ahead and do their federal function and let their  
13 XO or somebody else in the office handle it.

14 But I think it's a little tighter in regard to the  
15 AFEB because what you're going to have is a single scope study,  
16 and if you have a conflict with whatever you may own or have some  
17 interest in or your employer that conflicts with that study, then  
18 a disqualification statement, if it were to say, "Well, I'm not  
19 even going to participate in that in my official capacity," then  
20 the effect of that is that you can't serve on that study.

21 So a disqualification may not really be a viable  
22 avenue, and we may have to then seek the waiver, and I think you  
23 have the advantage here about being the AFEB because you are such  
24 a body of expertise that's so rare out there that you're willing  
25 to donate that expertise to the government, that a waiver in that

1 regard may be for this body a remedy that we may wish to seek  
2 rather than the average employee who can rely upon an XO or a  
3 deputy or someone else to handle that matter.

4 DR. OSTROFF: Let me just thank you very much for  
5 coming.

6 We're eating into eating time. So I would propose  
7 that we move forward with lunch, and I'll turn it over to Rick.

8 LT. COL. RIDDLE: Yes, probably the best option is  
9 probably just the cafeteria of the Uniformed Services University.

10 And I know Ben or other folks who know, there's a McDonald's or  
11 some other fast food over at the Naval Medical Center or anywhere  
12 in the area. The only thing, if you go off, you've got to get  
13 back on, and that's going to make it tough.

14 And also, for the tour, make sure that you sign up  
15 as you leave if you haven't already because we have to turn those  
16 over to Security at lunch. So you probably won't be able to sign  
17 up after you get back. And the sheet is out with Lisa outside.

18 You can walk over to McDonald and over to AFES  
19 (phonetic) and still be on base, but the cafeteria over the  
20 school is a good option.

21 MR. CRISS: Well, I look forward to coming back  
22 once again for the annual training and having a full 20 minutes  
23 to address conflict of interest.

24 (Laughter.)

25 MR. CRISS: Thank you.

1 DR. OSTROFF: Adjourned until 1:30.

2 (Whereupon, at 12:21 p.m., the meeting was recessed  
3 for lunch, to reconvene at 1:30 p.m., the same day.)  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14



A-F-T-E-R-N-O-O-N S-E-S-S-I-O-N

(1:38 p.m.)

DR. OSTROFF: I think we'll go ahead and get started with the afternoon session. I think almost everybody is back from lunch.

And the afternoon discussion will start with the issue of one that the Board has a long and tangled history, and that relates to the unavailability of the adenovirus vaccine, and in contrast to some of the issues of discussion from this morning, there is a specific question that is before the Board, which is essentially to look at non-vaccine interventions that might be used during the interim time period when the vaccine is not available.

And we will begin the presentations with Colonel Diniega.

DR. DINIEGA: Good afternoon. This is a subject, as Dr. Ostroff said, that the Board is very familiar with and the Board has been very helpful to the services in shaping the policies for the use of the vaccine.

Didn't learn from this morning.

As those of us who have been with the Board for several years know, the AFEB has been very instrumental in making recommendations to the services concerning the use of the adenovirus vaccine, and in fact, the services decided at the service level to use it, and Army, Navy, and the Marines have

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1       been using it in their recruit training camps.

2                       And at the very beginning it was a seasonality  
3       based use, and then eventually it became a year round use.

4                       The production of adenovirus vaccines Type 4 and  
5       Type 7 in oral product ended in 1996, and as the Board members  
6       are familiar, the manufacturer had requested financial assistance  
7       in order to continue with the production of the vaccine, and the  
8       money was never appropriated to assist the manufacturer. So the  
9       decision to end came in 1996.

10                      The remaining supplies were extended. Expiration  
11       and shelf life was extended based on potency tests. Type 4  
12       vaccine ran out in 1998, Type 7 in 1999, and since 1999, based on  
13       the surveillance programs, ten to 12 percent of recruits annually  
14       become ill with the adenovirus vaccine.

15                      There have been several outbreaks, and the Board  
16       has heard about those adenovirus outbreaks in recruit training  
17       camps, and this past year in the summer of 2000, two deaths  
18       occurred at the Navy Training Center at Great Lakes.

19                      We got a little bit of an update on the procurement  
20       efforts from Captain Yund, but in 2000 the Medical Research and  
21       Materiel Command sought new money and was given some \$12 million  
22       to shore up that effort.

23                      In 2001, the announcement for a request for  
24       proposals was put out, and several companies applied, and as you  
25       hear earlier, MPMC is ready to award a contract shortly.

1           Once the award is made, I am told by Mr. Bill  
2       Howell, who has been heading the project up at MPMC, that because  
3       of some technology transfer coordination, they expect it to take  
4       only five to six years for FDA approval and full production.

5           The question is on the handout that I gave you.  
6       Copies of my slides are on the back side of the question.

7           The Board is being asked by Health Affairs to  
8       review known and suggested non-vaccine methods to minimize and/or  
9       control transmission of adenovirus, and it applies to other ARDs  
10      as we all know, and to also recommend potentially effective non-  
11      vaccine methods of transmission and control.

12          In the past, as we tried to deal with the lack of  
13      vaccine, we have looked and the services have looked and  
14      discussed amongst ourselves administrative methods, and they have  
15      ranged from head to toe type of arrangements, open windows in the  
16      bay.

17          I remember way back in the late '90s when I was  
18      doing basic training at Fort Ord in the fog area, we had fog in  
19      our 50-person beds because we weren't allowed to close the  
20      windows. And the fog rolled in every morning, cleared up by ten  
21      o'clock, and so those sort of things were sort of known to maybe  
22      help, but we were never sure.

23          So the Board is asking to assist the department in  
24      reviewing the literature, looking at the scientific data, and  
25      making recommendations for non-vaccine methods of control.

1 Any questions?

2 DR. OSTROFF: Thank you, Colonel Diniega.

3 Let me, before we move on to Colonel Gunzenhauser,  
4 let me just mention that Dr. Larry Anderson, who is the Branch  
5 Chief of the Respiratory and Enterovirus Branch in the Division  
6 of Viral and Rickettsial Diseases at CDC, is here for this  
7 session.

8 Larry, he's been at CDC longer than I have. So,  
9 Larry, if you want to come up to the table, please feel free to  
10 do so. There are several open chairs, to hear the discussion.

11 Ready?

12 COL. GUNZENHAUSER: Can you hear me? Okay. It  
13 sounds good.

14 I appreciate the opportunity to talk a little bit  
15 about respiratory disease and some of the interventions, at least  
16 the experience of the Army with these interventions to try and  
17 control ARD, or adenovirus.

18 Really, first of all, I want to give credit up  
19 front to Mr. Terrence Lee, who's sitting in the back. He works  
20 up at CHPPM in the Disease Control Branch, and he put this slide  
21 set together, did quite a bit of work, and handed it off to me,  
22 and I'm doing the presentation for the Army.

23 I have some background with this. I was the  
24 Respiratory Disease Control Program Officer back in '88 to '92,  
25 when we had quite a few outbreaks, and have had a longstanding

1 interest in respiratory disease. So I was glad to give this  
2 presentation to you all.

3 Next slide, please. Oh, it's me.

4 Okay. Really what I want to do is give a little  
5 bit of background because I think as the first presenter I'd like  
6 to give a little bit of perspective, at least my own  
7 personal/professional perspective on this opportunity and  
8 challenges we have; review, sort of give a framework on what some  
9 of these interventions are; talk about some experience we've had,  
10 what our policy is; and give the results of a survey that Mr. Lee  
11 conducted, and you can sort of see where we're at in the U.S.  
12 Army.

13 Okay. Now, the first slide that I put up here, I  
14 know this is pretty basic, but often in epidemiology,  
15 particularly with communicable disease control, I think it's very  
16 good to go back to fundamentals.

17 One of the things that dawned on me after I had  
18 worked with respiratory disease control for a number of years  
19 was, first of all, we've been immensely successful. I mean,  
20 that's sort of the starting point in the '90s, that the serious  
21 disease is influenza, tuberculosis. That was a huge problem in  
22 World War I. Meningococcal disease, streptococcal disease, acute  
23 rheumatic fever, atypical pneumonia, finally adenovirus, and  
24 other things were tremendous problems.

25 And so the scope of the problem we're looking at

1 today is pretty small, but realizing that we have had a step  
2 backward makes this very important because it's heading in the  
3 wrong direction.

4 I throw this up here because what it dawned on me  
5 is that for all the modes of disease transmission the two that  
6 we're talking about are the top two, and as I've reviewed the  
7 literature and been involved with people to try to effect control  
8 measures, we're not really clear about which of the first two  
9 we're often talking about, whether it's really an airborne agent  
10 or a direct person-to-person type of transmission.

11 So you'll see people doing hand washing, trying to  
12 prevent person to person, or they'll be talking about air  
13 filtration or cleaning the air, which is really an airborne.

14 And what it really speaks to is our lack of  
15 knowledge on very fundamental aspects about respiratory disease  
16 transmission. There's quite a bit of information out there  
17 suggesting one way or another, but from my point of view, we're  
18 sort of groping in the dark because we don't really know exactly  
19 where or how the agent is transmitted.

20 The other part here -- I realize I probably should  
21 have set up a two dimensional matrix -- is that for the other  
22 modes of transmission, we by and large have what I consider  
23 environmental or personal hygiene modes for preventing them. And  
24 so even though if you think about the vaccines that are in the  
25 American inventory, most of them are targeted towards respiratory

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 disease, measles, mumps, rubella, varicella, pneumovax, and you  
2 go on and on, influenza, adenovirus. There's only a few of them  
3 that are really looking at fecal-oral.

4 Some of them like typhoid we don't have to use  
5 because we've got multiple barriers that protect the health of  
6 American citizens.

7 So if you think -- one way to think of this is that  
8 we've got the non-vaccine or the environmental sanitation hygiene  
9 approaches, and then we have the agent specific vaccine or other  
10 biologic approaches to prevention.

11 And we've been very successful by using the former  
12 measures in the bottom five categories, by and large, whereas  
13 with respiratory disease, we have a virtual total reliance on  
14 vaccines or biologics in preventing disease.

15 I know that's not completely true, but that's just  
16 sort of my personal perspective, and what I see is that we're in  
17 a position now where we're trying to study adenovirus more  
18 thoroughly, of trying to understand some of the more fundamental  
19 epidemiologic factors that are key in perhaps developing  
20 strategies that work in that formal area.

21 I know that some of you, many of you probably are  
22 familiar with the work of the commissions over the years. The  
23 commissions that came up in the '40s, there were really four that  
24 worked largely in respiratory disease are. One was the  
25 Commission on Acute Respiratory Disease. John Dingle led that

1 one, I believe.

2           There was a Commission on Airborne Infections, a  
3 Commission on Pneumonia, and also a Commission on Meningococcal  
4 Meningitis, and all of them did very interesting work in very  
5 different areas, and a lot of that work is hidden away. I know  
6 it's written in reports, and the summary, the Textbook of  
7 Military Medicine, a book that has to do with the history of the  
8 commissions, refers to studies and findings and things that I  
9 can't find published, at least not in the open literature. I  
10 presume it's somewhere, but there is a tremendous history of  
11 efforts back in the '40s and '50s and '60s to prevent respiratory  
12 disease transmission.

13           Of course, the Commission of Acute Respiratory  
14 Disease was at Fort Bragg. Alexander Langmuir was a member of  
15 that team. They really study what eventually we recognize as  
16 adenovirus, and that was one of the main things that they looked  
17 at there at least in 1943.

18           The scope of their work was pretty broad, at least  
19 this one particular commission. And really what we're talking  
20 about is prevention and control measures.

21           I really don't have time to go through, you know,  
22 the many things that they did. There's a brief summary for those  
23 who would like to look at it in the Textbook of Military Medicine  
24 that just points out -- it's about six or seven pages -- all of  
25 the major findings that came up through that work, many things

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com



1 that didn't work, by and large, some things that had a marginal  
2 effect.

3 They were trying to purify the air. Glycol vapors,  
4 some papers seemed to show that it had a 15 to 25 percent  
5 protective rate. There's other people that have used ultraviolet  
6 filtration in preventing measles transmission in pediatric  
7 populations, et cetera.

8 Oiling of floors and bedding. This had tremendous  
9 appeal to the military because it created a very disciplined  
10 environment where there was no dust around, and they loved it for  
11 that reason, but it seemed to have had a marginal effect on  
12 preventing transmission.

13 DR. OSTROFF: What did it do to injuries?

14 (Laughter.)

15 CAPT. SCHOR: Ethylene glycol is now known to be a  
16 testicular toxin.

17 COL. GUNZENHAUSER: Exactly. A good reason to  
18 abandon.

19 (Laughter.)

20 COL. GUNZENHAUSER: But oiling was attempted, and  
21 particularly with streptococcal disease it did not have any  
22 effect on preventing it in certain studies.

23 Double bunks were looked at. Some of the studies  
24 showed that it also had a marginal protective effect, and most of  
25 the other ones down here I couldn't find the reference.

1 I talked to Mr. Lee about the chilling of subjects.

2 There's a reference in there that soldiers that were afflicted  
3 with respiratory disease, they would chill them, and I think they  
4 were trying to see if that would prevent transmission, but I  
5 really don't understand what they were doing in that study.

6 The point is there were scores and scores of very  
7 interesting studies that were done to look at environmental  
8 sanitation and hygienic approaches to controlling respiratory  
9 disease in various settings.

10 Findings were, at best, marginal. We have the  
11 legacy of a few things that are sort of left that are advocated  
12 nowadays, but by and large when we develop vaccines into the  
13 early '70s, the diseases slipped away. So a lot of the knowledge  
14 and work that had been done through this commission no longer was  
15 a part of the working knowledge of most of the military.

16 Okay. Now, in the question that was asked to the  
17 AFEB, they identified a few areas. Now, I've kind of split these  
18 into four. Personal hygiene, of course, is just hygienic  
19 measures. The middle two are sort of environmental approaches,  
20 and the last one is really a host directed approach similar to  
21 vaccines.

22 Just to review these quickly, hand hygiene, I know  
23 you're going to hear quite a bit of discussion about that from  
24 the Navy. So I'm not going to get into that, but there have been  
25 a whole bunch of different interventions looking at hands as a

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 primary mode of transmitting organisms, and some of them have  
2 shown some effectiveness.

3 Mask, again, has been tried, but we think that from  
4 the military's point of view it's not very practical to use these  
5 in our training settings.

6 Administrative controls. A continuing problem for  
7 the military is the space requirement. We have an Army  
8 regulation that specifies a minimum of 72 square feet per trainee  
9 in their barracks area, and the way our system works, we have a  
10 surge of trainees in the summer usually peaking about late July  
11 or early August, and oftentimes our five basic training centers,  
12 they will approach or extend beyond that requirement so that  
13 they're actually below 72 square foot, and they'll call and say,  
14 "First of all, we would like to have a waiver, and number two,  
15 where's the data to show that this is even a viable requirement?"

16 And I know we've kind of struggled with that.  
17 There's anecdotal reports that have shown some correlation of  
18 increased space as associated with reduced disease, but as far as  
19 I know, the data is pretty weak at best, although intuitively we  
20 think it makes some sense.

21 Sleeping head to toe is another one of these  
22 requirements that if you go to any of the Army basic training  
23 centers you'll see it's fully implemented. Double bunking is  
24 often common as well.

25 Cohorting the idea is to try to keep groups

1 together so that there's not transmission between groups, and  
2 this can be done more or less effectively, but studies that I  
3 have seen that have attempted to do this have not shown really  
4 effectiveness in trying to prevent transmission.

5 Environmental controls. Dust controls we've talked  
6 a little bit about with oiling of floors. Ventilation, there's -  
7 - some of you may be familiar, for example, with the paper that  
8 Dr. John Brundage published in the '80s on febrile associated  
9 acute respiratory disease. It was associated with ventilation,  
10 and he found, I think, about a 1.5 increase in the rate of  
11 respiratory disease in barracks that used the new, efficient  
12 ventilation systems in comparison to older barracks.

13 That only was observed in the periods before we  
14 went to a year round vaccination program. So there seemed to be  
15 an interaction between the presence of the agent and the presence  
16 of this ventilation system.

17 And I think that there have been more recent  
18 studies. There was an outbreak that I'll point out here in 1998  
19 at Fort Jackson where a team of Army investigators went there,  
20 and they found a similar association with a newer type of  
21 ventilation system that increased the risk of respiratory disease  
22 about twofold for adenovirus among trainees.

23 The ventilation, dilutional approach, filtration  
24 systems, these have all been things that have been discussed and  
25 attempted in various settings, but really their association or

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 exactly what the mechanisms are that are involved are not clear.

2 And, of course, there are these other methods.  
3 Some of you may know that at least in Army circles there was a  
4 paper that we published back in the early '90s that showed that  
5 when we instituted a program of routine benzathine penicillin G  
6 prophylaxis at Fort Leonard Wood, we reduced the overall  
7 hospitalization rate for respiratory disease by two thirds, which  
8 was twice as much as was anticipated based on historical  
9 information about what the prevalence of Group A streptococcal  
10 infection was.

11 And so there was some discussion about, well, maybe  
12 it augments or has some other effect on bacteria that may be  
13 somehow interplay with transmission or whatever. We didn't  
14 really know, but we seemed to have this benefit that was  
15 unexpected.

16 There was some further work using the Army's acute  
17 respiratory disease surveillance system to verify that. The  
18 finding has not been consistently found, but there is an internal  
19 sort of thinking that there may be a benefit in preventing acute  
20 respiratory disease in general.

21 And so people advocate benzathine penicillin G as a  
22 possible role for that.

23 The other antiviral compounds, I'm sure people are  
24 familiar in their roles for influenza, and the other things down  
25 there, there's some literature out there, but really scant

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 evidence on whether these other things help at all in terms of  
2 controlling respiratory disease.

3 Here's a little bit of information just to give you  
4 some perspective on the Army basic training base. We have five  
5 facilities that conduct basic training, Benning down in Georgia,  
6 Jackson, South Carolina; Fort Leonard Wood is in Missouri; Fort  
7 Knox is in Kentucky, and Fort Sill is in Oklahoma.

8 And what I tried to show on here was the population  
9 sizes so that you have some perspective on how many. At the  
10 maximum period in the summer, there's about 40,000 trainees.  
11 Right now we're a little bit under that at a single point in  
12 time, and at the end of summer as it quickly falls off or at late  
13 spring, as we continue to ramp down, it will be as low as a total  
14 of 25,000 trainees in a given week at the basic training  
15 installations.

16 This is a slide that Mr. lee put together that  
17 shows you our rates. Now, these are a little bit hard to read,  
18 but the important -- we have a couple of measures that we follow,  
19 and one of them is called the ARD rate, and what this number over  
20 here represents is the number of trainees considered a case per  
21 hundred in a given week.

22 So if there was 1,000 trainees here at Fort Benning  
23 and it was up at one, that would mean there would have been ten  
24 cases. That would have been one per hundred.

25 And what you can see here -- by the way, this is

1 back in 1990 and '91 -- we had a few outbreaks right here, right  
2 here, and also up here of strep associated respiratory disease,  
3 and we started bicillin, and we had already had a problem at Fort  
4 Leonard Wood.

5 So for a number of years here four of these  
6 installations, Jackson, Benning, Wood, and Sill, were on bicillin  
7 for all newly arriving trainees, whereas Jackson, I think they  
8 may have gone on it for a brief period of time at some point. I  
9 don't remember when, but not recently.

10 And then this is where we ran into supply problems  
11 with adenovirus, and we went to a periodic, certain months when  
12 we were giving the vaccine, and then this is where we ran out.

13 So what we see here is a definite increase in  
14 respiratory disease activity. This is the outbreak at Fort  
15 Jackson that was investigated in 1998, and this is up through to  
16 this year, and you can see there's been a major increase in the  
17 baseline activity.

18 We consider an epidemic to occur when we have 1.5  
19 per hundred or higher for two consecutive weeks. So you can see  
20 back here there was only one incidence where we exceeded that  
21 threshold, but recently we've had multiple incursions above that.

22 I haven't done a formal analysis of this, but my  
23 impression is that we have a lot more admissions and  
24 hospitalizations during the inter-epidemic, sort of now increased  
25 baseline than even during these small outbreaks, and the data

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 that we've received from Naval Health Research Center as part of  
2 their febrile respiratory illness surveillance system indicates  
3 that over 50 percent of these excess hospitalizations are  
4 attributable to adenovirus.

5 We've got some more recent data. This is the data  
6 just from September of last year up through this summer, and  
7 again, you can see that for three installations here we've got  
8 significantly increased rates of respiratory disease, here  
9 exceeding the epidemic threshold, here not quite, and here at  
10 Leonard Wood kind of bumping up over that.

11 We do monitor strep activity, and I won't go into  
12 the specifics of that, but we haven't had problems with  
13 streptococcal disease contributing to this problem.

14 What doesn't show up on this slide is that at the  
15 end of July -- oh, no, this right here. This is the largest  
16 outbreak of acute respiratory disease that we've had in Army  
17 basic trainees in, I think, about 20 years. We had over three  
18 and a half -- we had three and a half percent of trainees counted  
19 as cases in a single week. That was 252 trainees at Fort Leonard  
20 Wood. I think it was the last week of July or the first week of  
21 August, and the samples that were collected and analyzed at Naval  
22 Health Research Center indicated this was adenovirus that caused  
23 that outbreak.

24 So I think we've shown you pretty much we have a  
25 problem.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)



1                   Now, I should go back just one second because  
2 people inevitably are going to ask: what's going on here and  
3 here and why aren't they having a problem? You know, it's kind  
4 of the rule out. Why aren't they and the other cases having a  
5 problem?

6                   And I've talked with the folks at those  
7 installations. I think at least part of it is surveillance. We  
8 may be missing some cases, but I've been at places sometimes, and  
9 for whatever reason, they don't have cases, and part of the  
10 answer may lay there, but we don't know exactly the reasons why  
11 their rates are low. But we're working on that to assure that  
12 they're counting cases according to the definitions we've set up  
13 in our guidelines.

14                  Here's Army policy. When we ran out of adenovirus  
15 vaccine, we knew that installations would want some guidance. So  
16 in January of 2000 this was put out. This is actually the  
17 respiratory disease guidelines that I mentioned earlier this  
18 morning, and they include the same thing that was put in here,  
19 and that is there's a couple of interventions that might be  
20 probably effective. That's as strong as we could advocate for  
21 based on the information we have.

22                  So this guidance was put out, and it was up to  
23 installations to look at that and decide whether or not they were  
24 going to implement those procedures or not.

25                  To ascertain whether or not people were finding

1 this guidance, Mr. Lee did a survey. He called and E-mailed the  
2 five basic training installations this summer and queried them  
3 about a number of practices, what they were and weren't doing,  
4 and you can see these here, and can interpret some of the  
5 information down here.

6 But basically most people are doing hand washing.  
7 Now, note here at Fort Leonard Wood the comments that I got from  
8 one of the physicians, the Deputy Commander for Clinical  
9 Services, and the fellow that manages the program there is that  
10 they had problems with hand washing during this period, and the  
11 statement was that the training brigade didn't have money to buy  
12 soap and other materials, which I could hardly believe, but that  
13 was sort of the story that was circulating.

14 Whether or not that contributed to this outbreak we  
15 really don't know, but they have now instituted hand washing  
16 practices at Fort Leonard Wood.

17 The other thing that we had recommended was  
18 sleeping head to toe, and you can see most of them are doing  
19 that. Fort Sill, I'm not exactly sure what's going on there, but  
20 mostly the other practices are not being observed.

21 Currently two installations are still using  
22 bicillin: Fort Leonard Wood and Fort Sill. Fort Sill had  
23 substantial problems with it a number of years ago, and Benning  
24 has used it off and on. I know that as of last year they were  
25 using it, but this summer they were not using it.

1           So that's pretty much the state of what's going on  
2     in the Army.     This is a summary, hand washings generally  
3     emphasized.     Space requirements aren't always met.     We had the  
4     summer surge.     This outbreak in July and August is very unusual.

5     It may be associated with that.     It was at the peak population  
6     period at Fort Leonard Wood.

7           And this is pretty much where we're headed.     One of  
8     the challenges in the Army Medical Department is that we don't  
9     have a formal research program.     We don't have funding to conduct  
10    respiratory disease research.

11          We have an operational mission to control it, but  
12    some of the fundamental questions from an epidemiologic  
13    perspective that require a teach to go out and deploy we don't  
14    have funding for.

15          I know that General Martinez at the CHIPPM is very,  
16    very interested in this, and he's very interested in using  
17    existing data to do observational studies.

18          For example, if we could actually track the square  
19    footage of the barracks in which trainees are living and collect  
20    a large database and look at the association, perhaps the space  
21    requirements with respiratory disease rates, that that might be  
22    something that could be done simply.

23          That summarizes the current situation of  
24    respiratory disease and non-vaccine interventions for adenovirus  
25    control in the U.S. Army.     I'll be glad to take any questions.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 DR. OSTROFF: Thanks very much. That's a beautiful  
2 presentation.

3 I looked at this last night, and I was absolutely  
4 fascinated by the data from the various installations, and I must  
5 confess I don't entirely understand it. I think it's too easy to  
6 jump to the conclusion that there's some association with using  
7 benzathine penicillin G, but I have a couple of questions before  
8 I open it up to the floor.

9 One of them, and pardon. It's my ignorance. What  
10 determines why a recruit goes to one installation versus another  
11 installation?

12 And the second is the thing that strikes me is that  
13 the two that seem to be smallest in terms of the training  
14 installations don't seem to be having problems, and the three  
15 that are the larger ones seem to be having problems, and I mean,  
16 I think what you identified, which is the spacing issue, may -- I  
17 mean, there must be some issue related to how they're being  
18 bunked at these different installations that must be playing some  
19 sort of a role.

20 COL. GUNZENHAUSER: In answer to your second  
21 question first, that intuition may be correct. My experience is  
22 that there's definitely some type of threshold or synergistic  
23 effect when a trainee population becomes bigger. Somehow a  
24 disease process can be amplified not only in terms of  
25 transmission, but even virulence.

1                   Anecdotally, my observation is that diseases tend  
2                   to get worse. We don't understand the dynamics of that very  
3                   clearly. As I said, we really can't track where the agent is  
4                   moving or they're hyper shedders, you know, the problem carry,  
5                   all of those kinds of issues that are prevalent in respiratory  
6                   disease research.

7                   So it's a good point, and I think you're right.  
8                   Let me think. Fort Knox, I believe, we can take look at the  
9                   numbers. I'm pretty sure those are the two installations that  
10                  have the smallest training numbers. Knox and Sill.

11                 And as for your first question, each of the basic  
12                 training centers has a specific focus. So like Fort Benning is  
13                 infantry. Fort Leonard Wood is engineers and chemical and  
14                 military policy. And so all of them sort of have a focus, and so  
15                 some trainees will end up there based upon the military  
16                 occupation that they're going to be specializing in.

17                 But some trainees, I think, can go anywhere, and I  
18                 don't know exactly how the process occurs. It may be if they're  
19                 closer to one training center than another that may be where  
20                 they'll be sent for their initial entry training. But a lot of  
21                 it has to do with their occupation.

22                 DR. OSTROFF: Dr. Herbold.

23                 DR. HERBOLD: Can you go back to the figure you had  
24                 that had the chronology of rates by installation?

25                 COL. GUNZENHAUSER: Let's see. That's something I

1 can do here. I think we may be off up there.

2 Can we look at the handout maybe, Dr. Herbold?

3 DR. HERBOLD: Yeah. There was a charge where you  
4 had the rate, and you talked about you considered it an epidemic  
5 if you went over 1.5 per hundred.

6 If you could put in the background there the actual  
7 census for that training week just to see how that varies, to see  
8 if you could just figuratively show that there's some census  
9 level that also triggers some type of activity.

10 COL. GUNZENHAUSER: Yeah, there's always --

11 DR. HERBOLD: Because your populations per week  
12 varied in each post from two to 15,000, and I couldn't see that.

13 You know, when you standardize it by the rate, I can't see what  
14 the total census on post is.

15 COL. GUNZENHAUSER: It would be interesting to look  
16 at it that way. Historically our disease outbreaks were usually  
17 in the winter, which was when the trainee populations tended to  
18 be less, but now that we don't have adenovirus, we have seen  
19 these blips in summer.

20 And it's interesting. For example, two of these  
21 outbreaks ceased spontaneously, which is very interesting. If  
22 you look at some of the outbreaks years ago, adenovirus can last  
23 for a length of time. So it's sort of unusual that they come and  
24 go in just a few weeks and we don't really understand the  
25 dynamics of that very well.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 DR. HERBOLD: One follow-up question, too. Now,  
2 this is basic training. So I'm assuming that all trainees are on  
3 station the same length of time.

4 COL. GUNZENHAUSER: No, that's not correct. At  
5 trainees at that installation are counted. There really are  
6 three types of training programs. There's a basic combat  
7 training, which I believe is still eight weeks in duration.

8 Then depending upon the military occupational  
9 specialty they're going into, they will have additional advanced  
10 individual training, which could be anywhere from a few weeks to  
11 many weeks, and so depending upon what that is, they could still  
12 be in a trainee status for 20-some weeks, whereas some people  
13 maybe left after 12 or 15 weeks.

14 MR. HERBOLD: And then are you always starting a  
15 new cohort every week or does that vary?

16 COL. GUNZENHAUSER: Normally there are new cohort  
17 companies starting every week.

18 MR. HERBOLD: So the introduction of new  
19 susceptibles is --

20 COL. GUNZENHAUSER: Continuous. That's correct.

21 DR. OSTROFF: Let me turn to Dr. Shanahan and then  
22 Dr. Anderson.

23 DR. SHANAHAN: Well, I think, you know, certainly  
24 the epidemiologic data that exists so far says a lot for  
25 crowding, but I think one of the other things to consider is not

1 just the divisions, but when you have this kind of data showing  
2 up in Knox and Sill, particularly in AIT, those two operations  
3 tend to be small group training, whereas Benning and Jackson and  
4 Leonard Wood are primarily large group training.

5 So not only do you have concentrations of  
6 individuals at night, but you also have them during the training  
7 period. It doesn't exist to that great of an extent in Knox and  
8 Sill, and that certainly would be another thing to look at in  
9 terms of crowding.

10 COL. GUNZENHAUSER: Good idea. thanks.

11 DR. ANDERSON: Actually, I talked to Frank Top, who  
12 worked in this area early on, and he had some observations along  
13 that. One of the things is the seasonality. In the Great Lakes  
14 training area, they tended to have year round adenovirus disease  
15 before they had the vaccine, and in some of the southern states  
16 they had more seasonality in the wintertime.

17 Why that is I don't think anybody understands, but  
18 he mentioned that in one outbreak there was one unit where they  
19 kept that unit separate from the other groups, and they tended to  
20 have adenovirus outbreaks later in the course of the outbreak;  
21 didn't get introduced as quickly.

22 And I think if you're going to have an outbreak,  
23 you've got to have susceptibles. You've got to have introduction  
24 of the agent, and then you have to have transmission.

25 And maintaining virus within the community using



1 small groups, you know, Knox and Fort Sill, where you probably  
2 don't have as much interaction and a chance to maintain endemic  
3 transmission then of ours may well explain the difference,  
4 whereas the larger group you get it in, and you can just maintain  
5 endemic circulation within a larger population.

6 The other thing to remember is that transmission of  
7 respiratory agents by and large are contact, droplet and aerosol,  
8 but all agents aren't the same, and probably all adenoviruses are  
9 not the same in terms of transmission and disease.

10 And there's some clinical trial data to suggest  
11 that Ad-7 and 4 -- and actually the vaccine is a good example --  
12 that route of inoculation of the virus is important in the  
13 disease outcomes because the vaccine are not, in fact,  
14 attenuated, at least completely attenuated.

15 The primary mode of attenuation is route of  
16 administration of the virus, and there's some volunteer studies -  
17 - they'd never do those volunteer studies now -- but where they  
18 tried small particle aerosol and large particle aerosol. So  
19 adenovirus 4, and I assume it's probably similar for Ad-7 that  
20 you reproduced the AIT with a much lower inoculum of virus and  
21 more consistently when you got aerosol versus droplet  
22 transmission, and you didn't get it with nose drops or the  
23 intestinal route.

24 COL. GUNZENHAUSER: That's good. Thank you.

25 DR. OSTROFF: Dr. Landrigan and then Dr. Zimble.

1 DR. LANDRIGAN: Just a historical recollection, but  
2 I recall years ago having read some of the original work of Dr.  
3 Gorges (phonetic), after whom the hospital in the Canal Zone was  
4 named, and he was looking at TB, not adenovirus, but he found  
5 that space between bunks was a critical determinant, and I think  
6 he actually had some curves.

7 And I'm not sure, but perhaps that's where the 72  
8 square foot comes from.

9 COL. GUNZENHAUSER: Are you familiar with that,  
10 Joel, that work by Dr. Gorges?

11 DR. GAYDOS: Joel Gaydos, DOD, GEIS.

12 What you may have been looking at was some of the  
13 influenza data from World War I because in the United States  
14 Army, there is a relationship between the influenza data from  
15 World War I and the eventual settlement on the 72 square feet,  
16 and that's probably some of the best data around.

17 And I think some of that might have been  
18 accumulated during his tenure.

19 DR. OSTROFF: Dr. Zimble.

20 DR. ZIMBLE: Jim Zimble. I just remember about  
21 ten, 12 years ago in the Navy review that one of the items that  
22 occurred in recruit training centers was mass brushing of teeth,  
23 that they would line up in an open trench and it was herd  
24 brushing.

25 (Laughter.)

1 DR. ZIMBLE: And that let out a terrific degree of

2 --

3 (Laughter.)

4 DR. ZIMBLE: -- that moved around. So I know they  
5 put up some individual barriers, and they still are doing herd  
6 brushing, I believe, but I wonder how much that relates to --

7 COL. GUNZENHAUSER: I hadn't heard that story  
8 before. That's interesting.

9 DR. OSTROFF: Are there other comments or  
10 questions?

11 GEN. CLAYPOOL: Just one quick question and one  
12 comment.

13 Now, the question is: has there been any change in  
14 the serotype prevalence since the vaccine has gone away? And is  
15 it still four and seven?

16 COL. GUNZENHAUSER: It's predominantly four. The  
17 figure I recall is 95 percent. I think that may show up in the  
18 Navy's --

19 GEN. CLAYPOOL: And then the comment I have is has  
20 there been any -- do you have figures in terms of how many troops  
21 have had to have been recycled through the training?

22 Because if so, time is money in terms of the  
23 retraining commands, and that would be something useful to know,  
24 I think.

25 COL. GUNZENHAUSER: I think that maybe Dr. Niebuhr

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 has some information. I think when maybe -- well, I'm not sure  
2 if Dave's got it.

3 Dave, do you have any information on that from when  
4 you were at Fort Knox, the recycling?

5 Yeah, but not from this. I think that it's not  
6 perceived as an issue by the training brigade because by and  
7 large it's a low morbidity condition requiring two or three days  
8 of care, and they return to duty and the vast majority don't get  
9 recycled. So from that perspective they don't see it as an issue  
10 because they don't see costs associated with that.

11 DR. OSTROFF: Dr. Haywood.

12 DR. HAYWOOD: Are the demographics the same in all  
13 of the locations?

14 COL. GUNZENHAUSER: Our system does not track  
15 demographic characteristics. I know that we looked at sex years  
16 ago. We do report whether the gender is male or female, and I  
17 believe that historically we haven't had the disease rates in  
18 women, but I think more recently we've had more involvement of  
19 women. But otherwise we don't look at any other demographic  
20 characteristics.

21 DR. CAMPBELL: I'm wondering about the civilian  
22 population. If you compared the incidence patterns in the  
23 civilian population to this, if it's the same virus that's  
24 circulating in the civilian population is affecting these or is  
25 it something unique about the military population, such as

1 stress, lack of sleep?

2 COL. GUNZENHAUSER: That's a good question. I  
3 don't think we've done recent studies to verify that it is or  
4 isn't affecting. I'm sure that it creeps over. I know in some  
5 other work that I've done where we've looked even in the same  
6 military installation at other populations they're at best  
7 minimally affected.

8 Sometimes we look at the cadre themselves, and they  
9 can be involved, but I don't know of any knowledge showing that  
10 adenovirus is -- it could be introduced by a key situation which  
11 we have yet to define from the local population, but I think it's  
12 purely the dynamics of the training base that facilitates the  
13 spread.

14 DR. CAMPBELL: Have there been epidemics in  
15 civilian populations reported?

16 COL. GUNZENHAUSER: I think that there was an  
17 outbreak of adenovirus reported, geez, it might be five years ago  
18 in a college or some type of school situation. Before that I  
19 think there were very limited reports.

20 Of course, other military training in other  
21 countries has had problems with adenovirus, but there has not  
22 been a lot of reports of adenovirus outbreaks in civilian  
23 populations.

24 DR. OSTROFF: That skilled nursing facility in  
25 Louisiana.

1 DR. ANDERSON: We do see outbreaks in closed  
2 communities.

3 COL. GUNZENHAUSER: Yes.

4 DR. ANDERSON: And I think there's probably a  
5 suggestion of some respiratory disease in a larger -- from the  
6 community in one of the outbreaks I'm actually going to talk a  
7 little bit about in Chicago.

8 But we have very little or really no information  
9 other than outbreaks that we hear about and do some follow-up  
10 investigations on. But it does happen, but it's not real common.

11 DR. OSTROFF: Dr. Bradshaw, and then Dr. Diniega.

12 COL. BRADSHAW: I didn't ask to.

13 DR. OSTROFF: Oh, I'm sorry.

14 Ben.

15 DR. DINIEGA: Several years back, I think this was  
16 in the '90s, the mid-'90s. We were approached when I was at the  
17 Army Medical Command at Fort Sam Houston for some vaccine for an  
18 outbreak. I think that occurred in a nursing home in Louisiana  
19 at that time.

20 But at the recent VRBPAC where we were discussing  
21 selection for flu vaccine strains, there was mention of the need  
22 to use the surveillance programs to take a look at other causes  
23 of acute respiratory diseases on the civilian side.

24 My impression at that point was that it's not  
25 normally looked for.

1 DR. OSTROFF: One more question. Two more. Dr.  
2 Berg and then Dr. Gaydos.

3 DR. BERG: Okay. I was hoping Commander Ryan might  
4 be here to comment on her study, but if not, maybe Colonel --

5 DR. OSTROFF: Yeah, well, we'll hear about that  
6 next.

7 DR. BERG: Okay. Well, let me ask Colonel  
8 Gunzenhauser. In looking at the respiratory illness and the  
9 effect on hand washing, was there any indication of whether the  
10 hand washing had a differential effect in terms of the number of  
11 cases?

12 I can hypothesize that hand washing may be somewhat  
13 protective when you've just got a few cases, but when you have an  
14 outbreak, it just sort of overwhelms the hand washing.

15 Has anyone looked at that in the articles that your  
16 reviewed?

17 COL. GUNZENHAUSER: No. I mean, I know there's the  
18 study that the Navy did, but the literature I reviewed, no.

19 DR. GAYDOS: Joel Gaydos, DOD, GEIS.

20 Dr. Claypool asked the question about the impact of  
21 the outbreaks. The impact in the Army, particularly at Fort  
22 Jackson has been on the medical care system, and they had to look  
23 at contingency plans down there during their heavy periods.

24 To the best of my knowledge the training command  
25 within the Army has not felt much of an impact, but the medical

1 people have.

2 The Navy have experienced some difficult times, and  
3 I think Captain Yund will address that.

4 The Air Force has had during their peak outbreaks  
5 at Lackland, they have experienced considerable loss both in the  
6 medical community and in the line. They kept track of their  
7 recycles, and it was up significantly.

8 With regard to the types of adenoviruses, there was  
9 an outbreak a few years ago in a Job Corps training center.  
10 There's been a lot of seven in closed facilities. The outbreak  
11 that Dr. Diniega referred to was a Type 7 outbreak.

12 I don't know that we've ever seen Type 4 outbreaks  
13 in any communities the way we've seen them in the military.

14 The association with the size of the operation  
15 anecdotally seems to fit. We've had major outbreaks in the past.

16 After Christmas, New Year's break when we brought a large number  
17 of people together, some of those have been controlled or at  
18 least there was an association with the downward curve of the  
19 outbreak when the space requirement was strongly enforced, and  
20 the numbers of new recruits were diminished.

21 We have had one documented outbreak in the Army in  
22 an advanced individual training post, which is the training  
23 beyond basic training, and that was at Fort Gordon, Georgia, and  
24 that was associated with some recruits coming from Fort Jackson.

25 So we've actually had it introduced into an advanced training



1 post.

2 With regard to, I believe, Dr. Claypool's question  
3 we have looked at the prevalence of antibody in incoming  
4 recruits, and there's no difference over the last 30 to 40 years.

5 They're still as susceptible now as they were back in the '60s.

6 We've had molecular studies done on the wild  
7 viruses that are circulating, on the viruses that have been used  
8 in the vaccines, and there have been some changes, but nothing  
9 that we're excessively concerned about this time, and the Walter  
10 Reed Army Institute of Research has done serologic studies  
11 looking at the vaccine, and the more recently circulating  
12 viruses, and the last vaccine seeds that were used seem to  
13 protect quite well against the existing circulating strains.

14 DR. OSTROFF: Thank you.

15 I think we'll have to move on in the interest of  
16 time, but my only comment would be when I see things like this,  
17 it makes me believe there's got to be some very powerful p values  
18 buried in there somewhere for why epidemiologic studies are done.

19 Captain Yund.

20 CAPT. YUND: Well, for the last couple of weeks  
21 I've really been looking forward to this talk, but yesterday  
22 afternoon when I realized that Megan wasn't going to make it east  
23 and I was going to be giving it, I started to feel --

24 (Laughter.)

25 CAPT. YUND: -- I started to feel a little bit

1 different. I realized I wasn't going to learn as much, and that  
2 may be true, that you're not going to learn as much either, but  
3 I'll give it a good shot.

4 (Laughter.)

5 DR. OSTROFF: It'll be quick.

6 CAPT. YUND: I'm going to skip over some things  
7 that were already covered. If you have questions, please feel  
8 free to ask the questions, and if I have to say, "I don't know.  
9 I'll ask Megan," I'll say that.

10 Okay. I think many of us have experienced in one  
11 way or another that recruits are for one reason or another more  
12 susceptible to respiratory infections and it causes a lot of  
13 trouble.

14 There's a spectrum of disease. Surveillance takes  
15 a number of forms targeting different syndromes. Well, ARD and  
16 FRI are pretty much the same thing. ILI is a little bit  
17 different.

18 A long list of pathogens, respiratory pathogens, of  
19 course, headed up by adenovirus. Many of the pathogens that  
20 cause disease, and it's difficult or impossible to sort out from  
21 the clinical picture. So NHRC has focused very much on  
22 laboratory diagnostics, along with the epidemiologic surveillance  
23 to sort out what's going on.

24 This is a map of the sites that are in the DOD, the  
25 NHRC respiratory surveillance system, and a little bit of a code

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 about what specific agents are tested for at each one of those.

2 Here's a slide I think we can skip over. Jeff  
3 Gunzenhauser talked about it a good bit in the last talk, and  
4 you're all real familiar with all of the background on  
5 adenovirus.

6 This is the Army data from this surveillance  
7 project over the last couple of years. Let me just point out  
8 that right about here is where the Type 4 vaccine ran out, and  
9 right here is where the Type 7 vaccine ran out. And it's not a  
10 real long time frame here, but you can see that there are many  
11 more spots, peaks above the arbitrary 1.5 threshold.

12 This is the non-Army sites, and again, it's the  
13 same time frame just about. So Type 4 and Type 7 disappeared at  
14 about those two points.

15 This shows the proportional distribution of the  
16 testing results from all of the testing, and the red is  
17 adenovirus. The average of all of the cultures that were taken  
18 over this entire period, about 60 percent were positive for  
19 adenovirus.

20 The vast majority were four and seven, with four  
21 leading the pack. I'm not really sure whether there was any  
22 or some other cats and dogs of types, but certainly the lion's  
23 share was four and seven.

24 CAPT. BOHNER: Any idea why the Navy and Marine  
25 Corps have got the higher rates there? I mean, it's just

1       startling. It doesn't make sense, and I don't know why it would  
2       be that way.

3                   CAPT. YUND: I don't know why that would be the  
4       case either. Somebody could dial up Megan on their cell phone.

5                   (Laughter.)

6                   CAPT. YUND: She might have something bright to say  
7       here.

8                   Some interesting data from the surveillance that  
9       recruits, unvaccinated recruits are 12 times more likely to  
10      develop a positive test for adenovirus when they get sick, and  
11      let's see. Did I say that backwards?

12                  Unvaccinated recruits, right, 12 times more likely  
13      to test positive for some adenovirus type, and 41 times more  
14      likely to develop a positive test for Type 4 or 7.

15                  Most of the slides up to now were kind of  
16      background, and now actually we talked a little bit about non-  
17      vaccine methods, and hand washing, in particular. Hand washing  
18      was the mainstay of or is the mainstay of Operation Stop Cough,  
19      which Megan got underway at Great Lakes, and the data from her  
20      work showed that there was about a 45 percent reduction in out-  
21      patient illness, respiratory illness, that occurred very soon on  
22      the heels of the big push for hand washing, and this big push, it  
23      took several forms.

24                  One thing was the education piece. Another piece  
25      was getting the system, the recruit training system to tolerate a

1 wet sink as something other than an improperly prepared space for  
2 inspections.

3 But this is what Megan found after the initiation  
4 of Operation Stop Cough.

5 We heard a little bit about ventilation in the past  
6 presentation and the difference between tighter buildings and  
7 older, looser buildings, and there's some data that shows that  
8 ventilation really does have an effect on decreasing respiratory  
9 illness rates.

10 Air disinfection is interesting. Some of these  
11 methods were discussed in the last talk. Ultraviolet  
12 interestingly, in the past ultraviolet light techniques were such  
13 that they shone the ultraviolet light not just on the air and the  
14 pathogens, but on the people, too, and there are some concerns  
15 about that, but today there are UV systems that don't do that,  
16 that only expose air and the pathogens that they contain.

17 Great lakes has I don't know if it's one barracks  
18 or several barracks that have these UV treatment systems where  
19 there's a fan that circulates the air past the UV light, and on  
20 the average, the data show that there's about a 20 percent  
21 reduction in clinic visits. Now this is not necessarily a 20  
22 percent reduction in cases, but 20 percent reduction of clinic  
23 visits.

24 On the down side, these systems are pretty  
25 expensive. They take a lot of electricity. It's not all that

1 easy to retrofit a barracks to have these in there, and the  
2 benefit is not huge.

3 Here are a couple of other methods that have not  
4 been studied well. I'm not going to say much about them, but  
5 surface disinfection and nutritional things I think Jeff  
6 Gunzenhauser mentioned also a bit.

7 Antivirals, there's a company in the U.K. that has  
8 approached Great Lakes. They're very interested in developing  
9 adenovirus specific antivirals, and they think that they could do  
10 that within a couple of years. They may be optimistic overly on  
11 that estimate, but it's another possible non-vaccine mechanism  
12 that could apply to adenovirus.

13 On the other hand, it's beginning to look like we  
14 may be looking at the light at the end of the tunnel as far as  
15 the non-adenovirus vaccine era if, indeed, we do get the vaccine  
16 back in four or five years.

17 I'm going to skip over this, and she had a couple  
18 of slides in here that talked about or one slide about non-  
19 adenoviral control.

20 A couple of projects that are underway or  
21 beginning, NHRC is beginning a large study of adenoviral illness,  
22 a serologic study of adenovirus illness in trainees, and  
23 interestingly a shipboard surveillance project that's going to  
24 involve five different ships in the Pacific fleet with the  
25 absence of adenovirus vaccine now for a number of years, an

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 extension. You can assume that we're having a larger and larger  
2 adenoviral naive population afloat at sea and in our airmen and  
3 soldiers also, and so this shipboard respiratory surveillance  
4 project may give us some more information about that.

5 These are Megan's words, but I think I agree with  
6 her sentiment here, that non-vaccine methods are worth pursuing,  
7 but we shouldn't do anything to impede the progress toward  
8 reacquiring the adenovirus vaccines.

9 And, of course, the laboratory based surveillance  
10 is going to be important over the next couple of years and after  
11 so that we can see the impact of whatever control mechanisms,  
12 methods we use.

13 Here's Megan's team.

14 So now I'm ready to take any questions and tell you  
15 I don't know.

16 (Laughter.)

17 DR. OSTROFF: Thank you very much, Captain Yund.

18 I have one question just to start. Now I forgot  
19 it.

20 David, it will come back to me.

21 CAPT. YUND: That saves me from one of those "I  
22 don't know" responses.

23 DR. ATKINS: David Atkins.

24 Do you know the types of studies or types of data  
25 that were used to look at the effect of hand washing? I'm just

1 wondering if they aren't long-term studies whether you're seeing  
2 something of a regression to the mean.

3 There's an outbreak; they institute a new program.

4 Lo and behold, the rates go down, but it's actually just part of  
5 the natural cycle of outbreaks or seasonal effects.

6 I mean, do they have like multi-year data or at  
7 least year long data?

8 CAPT. YUND: I'm really not sure of the time line  
9 and the duration of hand washing and the duration of non-hand  
10 washing eras that were compared, but that's something that's  
11 available, and I can get it for you.

12 DR. ATKINS: And I had one other question. When  
13 the question came up about the proportions of adeno and influenza  
14 or others in the Marines versus other sites, is the surveillance  
15 for these -- how much does the surveillance vary in different  
16 sites?

17 I mean if some places are doing a better job for  
18 surveillance for milder illness, could that account for differing  
19 distributions of adeno versus other sources?

20 CAPT. YUND: I'm sure it could. I'm not sure  
21 exactly how much. I think Dr. Gaydos is raising a finger  
22 indicating that he has some wisdom on this.

23 DR. GAYDOS: The Department of Defense consolidated  
24 all of its recruit laboratory based surveillance with the  
25 exception of a couple of installations. Fort Knox is not

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)



1 included, and I believe Fort Sill is not included.

2 All of the large training bases are -- all of the  
3 surveillance programs at the large training bases are operated by  
4 the Naval Health Research Center in San Diego. They have their  
5 on-site individuals. they collected denominator data. They  
6 collect laboratory data, and the laboratory work is done within  
7 their laboratory, and they turn out all of the reports.

8 So it's probably about as standardized as it could  
9 be, with the exclusion of a couple of installations.

10 DR. ATKINS: But how about the decision to collect  
11 a sample and send it in? Is that their protocol for that?

12 DR. GAYDOS: They use the same definition. They  
13 use what is called FRI, febrile respiratory illness.

14 DR. OSTROFF: I remember my question. You talked  
15 about doing shipboard surveillance because of the issue that now  
16 that the cohorts are coming through, going onto ships that have  
17 not been vaccinated. Was this an issue in the pre-vaccine era?

18 CAPT. YUND: Not that I'm aware of. I am not aware  
19 of any reports of -- I mean, certainly there have been large  
20 respiratory outbreaks shipboard in the past. But I'm not aware  
21 of documented adenovirus outbreaks in the past.

22 So I think that one of the things that this study  
23 will do is help sort out exactly, you know, what is the relative  
24 proportion of adenovirus versus other agents when there are  
25 respiratory outbreaks on ships.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 DR. OSTROFF: Ben.

2 DR. DINIEGA: The focus of adenovirus has always  
3 been -- and, Joel, you can help me out if I get lost on these  
4 things -- has been on basic training and recruits. We know  
5 through various deployment surveillance mechanisms that ARDs are  
6 one of the highest causes of morbidity during deployments in  
7 military operations, and I can't remember any time where we have  
8 gone specifically to look at the etiologies of those ARDs. We  
9 have never done that.

10 There was some report several years back that one  
11 of the deployment surveillances done during Team Spirit to Korea,  
12 they had obtained some serum, and they were going to try to take  
13 a look for antibodies to adeno, and I don't know if that was  
14 done.

15 But we have never looked at other than the recruit  
16 and the basic training setting at adenovirus etiologies or any  
17 other etiologies.

18 DR. OSTROFF: Larry.

19 DR. ANDERSON: A couple of things. In looking at  
20 the impact of hand washing and other interventions on ARI or ARD  
21 or febrile respiratory illness, may or may not give you  
22 information about adenovirus. Now, hand washing is probably  
23 always good to emphasize because you'll probably impact a variety  
24 of things, and rhinovirus probably is going to be right up there  
25 and one that you will decrease transmission with good hand

1 washing.

2 And you may or may not affect -- did they actually  
3 look specifically for decrease in adeno or ARI?

4 CAPT. YUND: Megan mentioned a little bit about  
5 that to me on the phone yesterday, and there was a much less  
6 pronounced decrease in adenovirus. There was a decrease, but it  
7 wasn't 45 percent, and there was very little impact on more  
8 severe forms of illness, and very little impact on admissions.

9 DR. ANDERSON: I think that's actually very  
10 interesting in thinking about transmission and route of infection  
11 and disease, or it may be. I mean, there may be some hints  
12 there.

13 The other thing is I think you or maybe it was the  
14 previous speaker that commented on differences in the impact of  
15 adenovirus disease in different groups, and I think it was maybe  
16 the thought that it was more tacked on hospitalizations and  
17 severe disease where someone else felt it really didn't impact  
18 the training process.

19 Two or three days of an ARI, they saw the out  
20 patient. It really didn't impact the training process. And I  
21 think there, again, there's probably a lot of information in  
22 terms of different things that are done, the process of training  
23 that actually if you can collect the data might actually help you  
24 think about what might work and what might not work.

25 It seems like there could be an awful lot of

1 information there.

2 CAPT. YUND: I think there's certainly more work to  
3 do.

4 COL. BRADSHAW: This is Colonel Bradshaw.

5 I just wanted to mention some of the historical  
6 data, and some of this was alluded to, but apparently before  
7 vaccines were available, it said adenovirus routinely infected  
8 about ten percent of the military crew populations, and it was  
9 associated with 90 percent of the hospitalizations for pneumonia.

10 And then after the vaccine was introduced, the  
11 total respiratory disease rates dropped by 50 to about 60  
12 percent, and then the adenovirus specific rates were dropped by  
13 90 to 95 percent for those serotypes.

14 And then they mentioned the cost effectiveness  
15 studies. The Army CE study, and I think Joel was involved in  
16 this, estimated about \$16 million in cost savings, and some of  
17 that includes the lost time and recycling for training, et  
18 cetera.

19 The Navy study said \$2.8 million saved, and some of  
20 the data that we have from our recent experience in the Air Force  
21 crude estimates, not real cost effectiveness studies, but maybe  
22 about \$3 million that we lost with our outbreaks.

23 DR. OSTROFF: Other questions?

24 (No response.)

25 DR. OSTROFF: Thank you.

1 Colonel Bradshaw.

2 COL. BRADSHAW: Well, good afternoon. My name is  
3 Jim Neville.

4 (Laughter.)

5 COL. BRADSHAW: Actually I guess you guys are kind  
6 of having to get second team here because of the problems with  
7 travel for our folks, and that actually impresses me, I guess,  
8 all the more that we have such a good showing from the Board, and  
9 I just want to thank you all for being here, and it shows your  
10 dedication to supporting us in the military, and certainly I just  
11 wanted to take this opportunity to say that I appreciate that,  
12 especially when some of our folks aren't able to get here. And  
13 just to see this many faces from the Board, I think, is very  
14 encouraging for us.

15 But I am filling in for Jim Neville from our  
16 Epidemiology Services Branch down at Brooks Air Force Base to  
17 discuss a little bit of the kind of unique and strange story of  
18 adenovirus in the Air Force.

19 We'll start a little bit about some of the nuances  
20 of the background of the Air Force and basic training in  
21 particular with some of the historical notes that are a little  
22 bit peculiar to us. The current status of febrile respiratory  
23 illness surveillance at Lackland Air Force base, which is our  
24 sole and only recruit training center in the Air force, and then  
25 a little bit of what we know and what some of our background is

1 in terms of the non-vaccine interventions.

2 The Air Force basic training in San Antonio, as I  
3 mentioned before, is our only Air Force BMT site. We don't have  
4 like the Army and the Navy several different sites. We do it all  
5 in one location.

6 Historically, however, we had done it at Lowery Air  
7 Force Base in Colorado and some other places, and I'll get to  
8 that in a moment when we kind of discuss history some more.

9 We have anywhere from 3,500 to 6,000 basic  
10 trainees. We have around 1,000 arriving weekly or so, and that's  
11 50 weeks out of the year. These numbers may increase during the  
12 summer as, you know, kids get out of high school and they come  
13 into the military. So we tend to have higher numbers at about  
14 that time in the summer months.

15 We have six basic training squadrons. They have  
16 ten to 12 flights per squadron, and then that's about 55 trainees  
17 per flight.

18 We have a little bit shorter training period than  
19 the other services. It's a six weeks basic training period. In  
20 the past there was some postulations or hypotheses that maybe the  
21 shorter training period in the Air Force had something to do with  
22 the fact that historically we seem to have less adenovirus than  
23 the other services, although it's not clear that that's true  
24 because you can get adeno, of course, within two weeks of getting  
25 into crowded conditions. But that had been a consideration in

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 the past as to whether the shorter period had anything to do with  
2 the epidemiology.

3 In terms of background, the Air Force actually  
4 started using adenovirus vaccine in 1973, and we used it for  
5 quite a period of time, and this was during the time period where  
6 basic training or at least a portion of was at Lowery Air Force  
7 Base in Colorado, and Dr. Micheljohn and Joel and some others  
8 that have a longer history in epidemiology than I do might have  
9 to help me with that pronunciation, but he studied and looked at  
10 both influenza and adenovirus rates in the Air Force over the  
11 period of time that we're using the vaccine, and the rates  
12 dropped pretty much to about zero or at least very low for a  
13 considerable period of time.

14 And he published a paper in 1983 on this, and in  
15 1987 the Air Force stopped using adenovirus vaccine, and from  
16 then on until October of 1999, we had maybe little spotty  
17 occurrences, but really no what you would term an outbreak or  
18 significant epidemics of adenovirus at Lackland Air Force Base  
19 and in our training bases.

20 However, in October '99, and you've already seen  
21 what the time line is on the loss of vaccine, suddenly we have a  
22 new large and sustained febrile respiratory illness outbreak,  
23 which was attributed to adenovirus.

24 Now, it's interesting. We were looking. It wasn't  
25 that we weren't looking for adeno. With Project Gargle we were

1 doing occasional surveillance, getting cultures, and we would  
2 again get spotty occurrence of adeno, but really nothing that was  
3 attributable.

4 So why did the outbreak start when it did? Well,  
5 about this same time we started having what they call Warrior  
6 Week, which is one kind of intensive week of training, kind of  
7 out in the field environment. That was sort of a temporal  
8 association, but we don't really know why.

9 The other question that comes up, of course, is  
10 were we benefitting in some way from some sort of herd immunity.

11 All the other services, Navy, Marine Corps, the Army, were using  
12 adenovirus vaccine. In a minute you'll get the background on the  
13 Coast Guard, what they were doing, but we don't know if that's  
14 the case, but certainly it seems to resurface now that nobody is  
15 using adenovirus vaccine much anymore because we don't have it.

16 On your left-hand side it shows what happened  
17 initially. We had this low rate kind of smoldering along of, you  
18 know, adenovirus here and there, and suddenly in October of 1999  
19 as the winter season started, we had this big, significant  
20 increase in adenovirus, so much so, and it was on a recurrent  
21 basis, that we had to open a new in-patient ward at Wilford Hall  
22 Medical Center, and we're having anywhere from 13 to 16  
23 admissions a day, I believe, in some cases of recruits for  
24 adenovirus problems.

25 We also happened to notice that in the following



1 year, in 2000, that we had a continued kind of increase, and it  
2 was a little bit more sustained in the summer months. I'll show  
3 you a better slide of that here in a minute, but we also noticed  
4 a kind of a three to five-week cycle of adenovirus, and of what  
5 significance that is it's hard to say, but there may be something  
6 to look at there.

7 As part of the outbreak investigation of these  
8 issues and problems, Dr. Neville and some others did some  
9 evaluations to include a questionnaire, and they noted some  
10 hygiene deficiencies.

11 You heard earlier when Jeff Yund was speaking about  
12 the Great Lakes experience that hand washing and wet sinks are an  
13 issue for TIs or training instructors. They don't tend to like  
14 them.

15 And so there was a tradition passed on, and it was  
16 occurring even at the time the outbreak investigation was done  
17 where the recruits would off the water supply to all the sinks  
18 except one because it was much easier for them then to keep that  
19 one sink dry, which was the requirement by the training  
20 instructors.

21 So these issues of being able to wash your hands  
22 and having to queue up in line just to wash your hands were an  
23 issue.

24 Of course, they noted also in the survey that  
25 respiratory illnesses were common. They did some studies

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 actually where they looked at air quality in the classrooms and  
2 in the sleeping facilities, and it seemed to be that in the  
3 classrooms in particular there were problems. They had four out  
4 of four of the classrooms where carbon dioxide levels were over  
5 1,000 parts per million over recommended levels, and if you see  
6 the recruits once they're in the classrooms, they're really in  
7 these small desks, shoulder to shoulder, very narrow space in  
8 between, crammed wall to wall, and they don't have good  
9 ventilation there.

10 They have one door. In the kind of spring and  
11 fall, they can afford in Texas to open those doors and get more  
12 air in there, but as you might expect, in the heat of summer and  
13 the cold of winter they're not very likely to open those doors.  
14 So they have a problem with air quality there.

15 DR. OSTROFF: How many of them were awake?

16 (Laughter.)

17 COL. BRADSHAW: Actually they're pretty upright, at  
18 least when I saw them, but it wasn't after lunch.

19 They also noticed that many people who said they  
20 were ill, maybe as many as 60 percent, did not actually seek  
21 medical care. So even though they described illness that would  
22 fit, a lot of those people did not seek care.

23 Even though we mentioned some of the issues on cost  
24 and so on, there was kind of a variable impact on trainee  
25 throughput. Most of the trainees were able to finish their

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 training and not have to be recycled, although there were some  
2 that did. So there was increase in recycling, but all of them  
3 were able to finish training, I guess is what I'm trying to say.

4 This is just some more detail from the survey  
5 results. I just talked some things on compliance with hand  
6 washing, for instance, and those that had cold and flu symptoms,  
7 those that report and those that don't report, the ability to  
8 identify behaviors that might be conducive to limiting spread of  
9 disease, instances of personal hygiene, et cetera, just simply  
10 questions like who used tissues, who doesn't, who can, who won't  
11 be able to.

12 And then some things from the military training  
13 instructors, as well about when they observe trainees washing  
14 hands and what kind of things might they convey to them in terms  
15 of proper hygiene.

16 Now, this is kind of what's going on currently at  
17 Lackland. It is, as has been mentioned before, one of the sites  
18 in the Naval Health Research Center respiratory illness  
19 surveillance network. So we do participate in that actively.

20 We do have an assigned research assistant, which  
21 we've found has been very important to making sure these things  
22 happen because you really need somebody on top of it, and making  
23 sure it happens. They kind of remind the clinic personnel to  
24 sample for people that have febrile respiratory illness, and then  
25 we forward these.

1           There is kind of a minimum number of cultures that  
2           need to be submitted, two per thousand per week at least or every  
3           fifth case in some cases.

4           The ambulatory data collection is kind of dependent  
5           on how well the staff is motivated, but we do try and collect  
6           that information, too.

7           This, again, shows how we've stayed above the  
8           epidemic threshold even in recent weeks. So it's still an  
9           ongoing problem there. The red line is actually the current  
10          year, and the blue line is the previous year.

11          And I think the main thing to notice here is that  
12          we're still peaking with outbreaks, but we also notice in the  
13          summer months at each end of this graph that the rates have been  
14          staying kind of elevated. So it seems to have kind of found a  
15          home at Lackland.

16          It just shows in this slide some of the survey  
17          results, but we average around 70 percent adeno right now in the  
18          current situation.

19          Some of the interventions that are non-vaccine  
20          type, we've gone to our colleagues at Great Lakes and in the Army  
21          and found out what they were doing and tried to emphasize some of  
22          those things in our setting.

23          We do have an emphasis on hand washing. We've  
24          given the instructors training manuals, and they are to brief all  
25          of the new trainees, including the medics coming in and making

1 special presentations; have posters and flyers posted around now.

2           There have been some attempts to de-crowd. I may  
3 need to borrow that slide from Jeff Yund where they had the folks  
4 in the kind of puzzle posture there sitting behind each other,  
5 but we have issues like that where before they were supposed to  
6 save space and stand close to each other in line and breathe down  
7 their neck, now trying to get more space between them.

8           We do use the head to foot sleeping orientation.  
9 We've gotten the command and the TIs to allow us to have wet  
10 sinks, and that's now kind of mandated at the recruit training  
11 level, and we're trying to make it allowable to use facial  
12 tissues and other things like that. I'm not sure how much  
13 difference that makes, but whatever it might help.

14           This is just some more information on what has been  
15 briefed. This is an actual slide actually out of what the MTIs  
16 are using and training for them, reiterating what I mentioned  
17 before.

18           What we want to do in the future through FIERA  
19 (phonetic) and Lackland is periodically surveying and to see if  
20 folks are actually complying with the hand washing.

21           Roger Gibson who was here earlier today is now at  
22 Health Affairs, but as part of his doctoral thesis did a study of  
23 ethyl alcohol hand wipes along with PCMX based hand wipe and  
24 observed hand washing and looked at several types of  
25 respiratory illness, including strep throat and some other things

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 and was able to see some differences there.

2 I have a short slide where you can kind of look at  
3 the brief study of those results and then, of course, maybe  
4 reevaluate the issues about indoor air quality, particularly in  
5 the classroom spaces.

6 This is a little bit hard to read, but this is some  
7 of the results in using antimicrobial hand wipes versus placebo  
8 hand wipes, and you'll notice particularly for acute URIs, sore  
9 throat and strep throat that the p values were significant for  
10 that versus placebo hand wipes.

11 You may want to get in touch with Roger Gibson to  
12 maybe look at this data further if you'd like.

13 DR. OSTROFF: What are those values?

14 COL. BRADSHAW: Do you want to go back? I'm sorry?

15 DR. OSTROFF: What are the values?

16 COL. BRADSHAW: The antimicrobial -- they don't  
17 have it labeled real well here. They had an n of 50, I think, or  
18 a relatively small n. So it was in the range of 50, and so I  
19 think this may be part of the counts and who came in.

20 I have the abstract if you'd like to look at that,  
21 and then Jim Neville can make available or Roger Gibson can make  
22 available the full study.

23 DR. OSTROFF: And these are percentages?

24 COL. BRADSHAW: Yeah, I believe so. Unfortunately  
25 they didn't label this well, and not being my slide, it's a

1 little hard for me to talk to it. So I apologize for that.

2 LT. COL. RIDDLE: I've got Jim's full study, and  
3 I've also got his thesis, too, for background material.

4 COL. BRADSHAW: Okay. Yes, sir?

5 DR. ANDERSON: I think the story at Lackland Air  
6 Force Base is very interesting, and the comment that you said  
7 that adenovirus has found a home at Lackland Air Force Base, it  
8 sounds like that's actually the case, and I think actually what  
9 that points to is, I think, mixing of recruits now that did not  
10 happen earlier, i.e., recruits that have been there for four,  
11 five, six weeks, and those that are coming in such that you get  
12 it going in a group, and then you transmit to the new group that  
13 you may not have had earlier.

14 And one of the questions is: do they develop a  
15 buddy system? What's Warrior Week? What specifically happens  
16 there?

17 And it's the detail of those inner actions that may  
18 well give you the clue and the intervention to get adenovirus  
19 from taking residence in Lackland Air Force Base.

20 COL. BRADSHAW: Yeah, there is more data probably  
21 than I've presented because obviously it's a little hard to cram  
22 it all in, but they did do some serious surveys or cultures as  
23 people came into training, and they found about a 16 percent  
24 prevalence of adeno, and by the end of training, I think, with  
25 either sero surveys or cultures -- I forget which -- about 60

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 percent had evidence of adenovirus infection once they left  
2 training.

3 So obviously there's some spread.

4 DR. ANDERSON: Well, yeah.

5 COL. BRADSHAW: You would figure that.

6 DR. ANDERSON: But, I mean, the question is what's  
7 different about how the recruits interact.

8 COL. BRADSHAW: Right.

9 DR. ANDERSON: And what you're saying, i think,  
10 that the data is that recruits that have been there are  
11 transmitting to the new susceptible recruits, and you didn't have  
12 that before.

13 COL. BRADSHAW: And it's getting carried on.

14 DR. ANDERSON: And so there's something different  
15 about the way they're handling recruits, I think.

16 DR. OSTROFF: Can I ask what happens when one  
17 squadron leaves and the next one comes in in terms of cleaning  
18 the barracks? I mean, could they be leaving fomites from the  
19 last group over into the next one?

20 COL. BRADSHAW: It may be true. I can't speak by  
21 personal knowledge of that, but it's certainly one thing we could  
22 look into.

23 DR. BERG: Bill Berg.

24 One of the problems with stressing hand washing in  
25 the hospital is lots of hand washing leads to dry, cracked skin,



1 and nurses and doctors don't like it. Did you see any of that,  
2 particularly when you started to push the hand washing to a  
3 minimum of five to six times daily?

4 COL. BRADSHAW: I don't know if we've had much  
5 problem with that. I do know that we had recently a case of  
6 invasive Group A strep, but whether that originated, you know, in  
7 the hands or elsewhere, I'd have to go back and find the clinical  
8 case where that occurred.

9 But they actually went to, I think -- they may have  
10 gone to doing the benzathine penicillin because of that.

11 DR. BERG: The second question: what does the --  
12 about how much does the PCMX based hand wipe cost?

13 COL. BRADSHAW: I don't have the data on that, but  
14 Roger Gibson could probably get it to you.

15 CDR. LUDWIG: Dr. Gaydos.

16 COL. BRADSHAW: Joel?

17 DR. GAYDOS: Joel Gaydos.

18 I think there was something else that happened at  
19 Lackland, too, Dana, and my understanding was that it was  
20 temporally related to the outbreak, and that was the Joint  
21 Service Language School, where they brought in people from other  
22 services. I know they brought them in from the Army at Lackland  
23 to the language school, and I know that some of the people think  
24 that the introduction of soldiers to Lackland for the language  
25 school coming out of Army training centers preceded the large

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 outbreak of adenovirus, the first large outbreak.

2 COL. BRADSHAW: Yeah, actually there's several  
3 joint schools of which the Defense Language Institute is one.  
4 They have some others that train military working dogs. They  
5 even bring people in from South America up to do Spanish Language  
6 training.

7 So Lackland is a mixing bowl of certain sorts from  
8 other services, the security police schools, and those are in  
9 some of the background notes that Jim had, and I meant to mention  
10 that earlier. So I appreciate you bringing that up, Joel,  
11 because that is one potential for population mixing. He just  
12 didn't have it on the bullets that we had here.

13 DR. OSTROFF: Captain Schor?

14 CAPT. SCHOR: Just to mention down at Paris Island  
15 the Marine Corps doesn't do hand wipes, but they've been doing  
16 non-water based hand cleansing. It was driven by an Inspector  
17 General requirement because the Marines complained that they  
18 didn't have time to actually march the Marine recruits past the  
19 CINCs. The training schedule was that tight.

20 So they figured out how to make bulk quantities of  
21 gel Marine proof in large catsup containers, pump containers, and  
22 they're placed right outside the chow halls, and I guess the  
23 Marines are taken to that well enough that they're even putting  
24 it on the crucible sites where they go out and around as their  
25 final graduation exercise.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 But that's been in place for two and a half years  
2 at least, driven by not really outbreak issues, other issues,  
3 general hygiene issues I guess you would say, but there are some  
4 long-term data there at Paris Island.

5 And I raise the issue that if you have recycling  
6 occurring, I think that may be a very interesting thing to look  
7 at, to see where recycled recruits -- how that relates to  
8 patterns of ARD, whether that increases the mixing or if you have  
9 cohorts that are going fairly cleanly through the training  
10 without a lot of recycling, how that may impact things.

11 Certainly in the Marine Corps with about an 11 week  
12 training there's probably three distinct phases. The first one  
13 is the initial conditioning and basic training part of it, and  
14 then weapons training, and that occurs in different areas. They  
15 kind of go to different portions of the base.

16 On the West Coast, they go to Camp Pendleton, a  
17 completely different setting, and then also they finish up with  
18 their crucible 72-hour experience of group formation and  
19 challenge and things like that.

20 So some of those mixing and non-mixing of cohorts  
21 and different place issues, I think, may be useful.

22 DR. OSTROFF: Let me ask one other question, and  
23 then we have to move on. What was it that made the Air Force  
24 stop in 1987?

25 COL. BRADSHAW: I believe, as near as I can

1 reconstruct, this article actually was in 1983 where it seemed  
2 like after we had instituted vaccination for both adeno and, of  
3 course, influenza, that there was all of these low rates, and I  
4 think at some point they decided to stop, and it just never  
5 recurred.

6 But it occurred sort of under recommendation from  
7 Dr. Micheljohn, I believe, as far as I know. That's what I've  
8 been able to reconstruct at least, and Jim Neville --

9 DR. OSTROFF: I trained in Colorado, and I knew Dr.  
10 Micheljohn very well. It's kind of surprising to me --

11 COL. BRADSHAW: Yes.

12 DR. OSTROFF: -- that he would have suggested that.

13 COL. BRADSHAW: I mean, we can try and dig more,  
14 but as far as I know, Joel, do you have any information on it?

15 DR. GAYDOS: Yeah, the Air Force for the last  
16 quarter century at least has had an exceptional laboratory based  
17 virology surveillance program down there, and back in the '70s  
18 everything was happening on that installation at Lackland, and  
19 they still have -- that lineage is still there. In fact, we do  
20 all of the DOD -- almost all of the DOD influenza work at  
21 Lackland.

22 And my understanding was that they felt that they  
23 could stop the vaccine. They had such a good surveillance  
24 program, and what they said was that we don't want the vaccine to  
25 go away, but we think we're at a point where we could stop it and

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1       conduct our surveillance program and reinstitute it.

2                   DR. OSTROFF: Thank you.

3                   Commander Ludwig.

4                   CDR. LUDWIG: Okay. I'll go ahead and start while  
5 I'm waiting for my slides, which I hope are coming.

6                   Adenovirus is a topic that's kind of near and dear  
7 to my heart, as well. I followed actually -- I was the second  
8 Army respiratory disease surveillance officer after Colonel  
9 Gunzenhauser, and it happened that I was there when the Army  
10 first became aware of the fact that the vaccines -- there was  
11 going to be a problem with the supply.

12                   And, in fact, we had had an outbreak during a lapse  
13 of vaccine that was not really related to the same supply  
14 problem. It was kind of in a larger sense, but in any case, we  
15 had an outbreak at Fort Jackson, and I believe that was, if I  
16 remember correctly, it was the summer of '95. I think that's  
17 right.

18                   Okay. In Christmas, at Christmas season of 1995,  
19 Dr. Gaydos, then Colonel Gaydos, and Dr. Brundage, then Colonel  
20 Brundage, myself and Coleen Weese, for those of you who remember  
21 Coleen, met, in fact, came in from some of our Christmas leaves  
22 to try to develop a response to this issue, an early response to  
23 this issue for the Army.

24                   Subsequently, of course, I am now in the Coast  
25 Guard, and so I started a surveillance program in the Coast Guard

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 at our one training center, which is at Cape May, New Jersey.

2 In 1966, by way of a little bit of history, Dr.  
3 LaForce, who was then an EIS officer, investigated what turned  
4 out to be a culture confirmed adenovirus outbreak at Cape May.  
5 These data were never published, but fortunately I found out  
6 about it from him at one of these meetings, and I'm very pleased  
7 to have gotten the outbreak investigation report from that.

8 Despite that outbreak and a chronic problem with  
9 respiratory illness, there doesn't seem to have ever been  
10 adenovirus vaccine use in the Coast Guard. I could find no  
11 record, and there's a nurse who is still there, who's been there  
12 since the late '60s, who never remembers an oral vaccine being  
13 given. So that was how I judged that she probably would remember  
14 adenovirus vaccine.

15 In July of '99, we began ARD surveillance, and  
16 because we're part of the NHRC network, we're calling it febrile  
17 respiratory illness surveillance.

18 Our case definition at Cape May is slightly  
19 difference from what was described for the Army, and I think this  
20 may be an issue to discuss at some point.

21 We are taking a temperature of 100.5 or greater  
22 with sore throat only, not any other respiratory symptom, and I  
23 think maybe either we need to sort that out so that we can come  
24 up with some kind of standardization for surveillance purposes.

25 In any case, in November of '99, we did begin

specimen collection and sending them off to NHRC, and these specimens then confirmed the continuing problem of adenovirus as a major cause of acute respiratory disease.

In the time that we've been collecting specimens at Cape May these roughly two years, 78 percent of our specimens have been adenovirus positive, and most of the rest of them, virtually all of the rest of them have been unknowns.

We have exceeded the epidemic threshold on several weeks, and the first two weeks that this occurred, we unfortunately were not collecting specimens yet, and so we can only say that they were probably adenovirus because we do have some specimens from about two weeks later that showed some adenovirus activity. The others were all confirmed adenovirus.

Here's the other chart that I promised you from my earlier presentation. Again, the blue is the febrile respiratory illness rate, and this is only for the year 2000. I have all of the data, but I just wanted to show one year's worth.

The green, again, is the number of specimens that tested positive for adenovirus, and you can see some similarities, although not exactly parallel to one another.

I will say that this was during our population surge at Cape May. Our surge generally occurs late in the summer and this year is occurring right now. So this last year we did have an outbreak during the surge. This year so far, again knock on wood, we have not.

1 I also want to point out here that our population  
2 of recruits ranges from 600 or so to 1,000 at Cape May, and so we  
3 may be, I believe, the smallest of all the recruit training  
4 centers, and yet we have a tremendous problem, what I consider  
5 tremendous in the sense that most of our acute respiratory  
6 illness is caused by adenovirus.

7 So I wonder how that fits in with the hypothesis  
8 being discussed earlier concerning size of the training center.

9 We do have some problems, some surveillance  
10 challenges -- sorry. Not problems; challenges. The first  
11 category, of course, is specimen collection, and the providers  
12 need to be reminded, especially in the Coast Guard where there is  
13 not this extensive network of preventive medicine officers and  
14 people working on these problems.

15 They tend to want something that's going to be  
16 clinically helpful. If it's not clinically helpful, they tend to  
17 forget it.

18 Well, fortunately we have some very supportive  
19 personnel both heading the medical system and heading the  
20 training center so that this has become a priority, and we do  
21 have some good cooperation.

22 There was a period last year at some point where we  
23 had no specimens for several weeks, and what had happened then  
24 and it turned out that we did exceed the outbreak threshold.

25 But what came of that was increased attention to



1 the whole problem and to the system itself.

2 We have had some problems with specimen processing.

3 Our people, for whatever reason, many of our specimens, a number  
4 of our specimens have been lacking identifying information. That  
5 makes it difficult to use them for anything except for gross  
6 proportion of specimens being due to adenovirus.

7 They are only shipping them about once a month or  
8 less, and that probably could be done more often. The biggest  
9 thing has been getting dry ice for some reason, and I think they  
10 now have that problem solved, but for quite a while that was a  
11 real problem.

12 Now, what they've reported having done at Cape May,  
13 I've made a number of recommendations for non-vaccine control  
14 measures following along all the other services. They report  
15 having instituted common sense preventive measures, including  
16 hand washing, enforcing the head to toe sleeping arrangements,  
17 and, quote, airing out the squad bays.

18 At this time, the squad bays are not air  
19 conditioned, and although they're newer buildings, they, I  
20 believe, are able to open the windows to some degree. So they  
21 were concentrating on that.

22 In terms of head to toe sleeping, they had not been  
23 enforcing that so much. So they did concentrate on that. I'm  
24 not sure exactly what they mean when they say hand washing. It  
25 certainly isn't anything formalized, but hopefully there was the

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 wet sink permission, and so on.

2 The other thing, of course, is making sure they get  
3 the vaccinations for other causes of febrile respiratory illness,  
4 and we all know that influenza has been a problem.

5 Preventive challenges. The troop living space  
6 requirements for the Coast Guard basic training site are the same  
7 as for the Army, 72 square feet per person, and I can tell you I  
8 visited there, and I assure you that they're nowhere near having  
9 that much space per recruit, and I'm not sure what can be done  
10 about that.

11 It is in our regulations, and it's not being  
12 adhered to. We have three-bed bunks, and they're all, you know,  
13 four feet from one another. And, in fact, they try to crowd as  
14 many people into as few bays as possible because then they can  
15 close off the other bays.

16 And I have suggested that they plan for making some  
17 of these unused bays available during epidemics, and I don't  
18 believe that's going to be an option, at least not so far.

19 Hand washing policy we need to address further.

20 We have limited holding area. We don't have a  
21 hospital there. In fact, Coast Guard has no hospitals, but they  
22 do have a holding area that can hold up to 25 people. That is  
23 currently in the plans to reduce the holding area capability.  
24 And so during a surge we may have some problems.

25 The influenza vaccine delays and the surveillance

1 challenges that I already mentioned.

2 That's all I have to present. I wish it were more  
3 helpful, but it's what we have.

4 Are there any questions?

5 COL. BRADSHAW: Yes, thank you very much.

6 One question I have, I think it was asked earlier  
7 by Dr. Haywood. Is there any epidemiologic information to look  
8 at? I mean incidence in males versus females or anything like  
9 that amongst the recruits.

10 CDR. LUDWIG: I believe that NHRC collects those  
11 data as part of the febrile respiratory illness project, and  
12 perhaps Dr. Gaydos can speak to that. I believe they collect  
13 those data. I don't have them.

14 DR. OSTROFF: Yeah, I'm just wondering if the  
15 female barracks are equally crowded as the male barracks and  
16 things like that.

17 CDR. LUDWIG: Oh, they are, but the nice thing  
18 about the female barracks, with as small a population as we have  
19 at any one time, even though the female barracks are also small  
20 and crowded, there are may be nine or ten in any barracks at one  
21 time, females.

22 However, interestingly enough, the female barracks  
23 are -- to get to the female barracks, you need to go through the  
24 male barracks, and it's just a partitioned off area. Actually  
25 it's walled off, but it's just beyond the male barracks. So they

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 have to go through that area anyway.

2 It's really awkward --

3 (Laughter.)

4 CDR. LUDWIG: -- because any time a female needs to  
5 go to her bay, she has to go through this very regimented  
6 procedure to get all of the males, make sure that they're all  
7 dressed or aware that she's coming through.

8 DR. OSTROFF: How's compliance?

9 CDR. LUDWIG: With that? Compliance with anything  
10 at basic training is very good.

11 DR. OSTROFF: Other questions?

12 (No response.)

13 DR. OSTROFF: If not, thank you.

14 Dr. Anderson, and then we'll take a break.

15 DR. ANDERSON: Well, I'd like to thank the  
16 organizers for asking me to participate in this very interesting  
17 discussion on adenovirus prevention in light of the  
18 unavailability of the adenovirus vaccine.

19 And one of the things we're involved in CDC  
20 frequently is outbreak investigations, and in the course of  
21 outbreak investigations, it's an opportunity to come in and  
22 prevent disease, although I think more often than not we really  
23 ride the down slope of the EPI curve.

24 But the other thing it does do is allow us to learn  
25 from experiments of nature, and what I'd like to do is look at

1 some of our experiences of adenovirus outbreak investigations  
2 from two perspectives. One is routes of transmission and also  
3 routes of infection and impact on the outcome of that infection,  
4 i.e., disease, and then procedures to prevent and control  
5 outbreaks.

6 And in the probably more '70s and '80s, we  
7 investigated a lot of outbreaks of epidemic kerato  
8 conjunctivitis, and most often associated with ophthalmology  
9 clinics, and we learned quite a bit from this, and this is just  
10 one outbreak that we investigated.

11 It was a large outbreak in a series of  
12 ophthalmology clinics and hospital in Chicago with about 150  
13 patients a day in 28 clinics. And from July 1985 to January  
14 1986, there were 401 cases of EKC identified in this outbreak.  
15 One hundred and ten were nosocomial, and then there was an  
16 ongoing community outbreak which actually provided a way to look  
17 at infection control with continued introduction of the virus  
18 into the hospital setting.

19 And what they did early on in the course of the  
20 outbreak, they educated the medical staff about hand washing,  
21 isolate cases, make sure you disinfect equipment, limited  
22 procedures, and exclude ill staff.

23 And the outbreak continued, and then in September  
24 they actually went by to make sure the people did it. They  
25 instituted additional measures, triage, and cohorting patients.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 Basically they had a red eye clinic. When someone came in with  
2 red eyes, they went to a different place to make sure they didn't  
3 mix with patients that didn't have red eyes.

4 Unit dose medication to make sure you weren't  
5 transmitting with medication, and then surveillance and let the  
6 staff know how they were doing.

7 Well, this slide kind of illustrates what happens,  
8 and the yellow line is the community outbreak, non-nosocomial  
9 cases that came into the ophthalmology clinic.

10 The blue bars are the nosocomial cases, and the  
11 little red V is August 8th, when they introduced the first  
12 infection control measure, education, telling people what they're  
13 to do, and the second bar is when they enforced it and introduced  
14 cohorting and other measures.

15 And what this tells is it's tough to stop  
16 adenovirus outbreaks. It really is, and we'll see this in the  
17 other cases as well.

18 The other thing about adenovirus is it's a non-  
19 envelope virus, and therefore, it's a kind of a crystalline  
20 structure which is difficult to inactivate. It's an activator  
21 with soap and detergent, although soap and water is effective  
22 because it dilutes and cleans, but not in terms of killing the  
23 virus.

24 And also because it's a stable crystalline like  
25 structure, it can remain viable in the environment for prolonged

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 periods of times in solutions such that its fomite transmission  
2 is a real and likely mod of transmission.

3 The other thing in this outbreak is tonometry was  
4 associated with EKC, and that highlights the route of infection  
5 import in the disease outcome, that you inoculate directly ont to  
6 the eye. There may also have been some trauma that made the eye  
7 more susceptible. It also was a mode of transmission and a  
8 fomite in itself, as well.

9 Two other outbreaks, and this gets a little more  
10 home to what's of interest here. Ad-7, a couple of outbreaks of  
11 Adenovirus 7, acute respiratory illness with a high incidence of  
12 severe disease, hospitalization and death, and these are in  
13 closed communities of children with some kind of predisposition  
14 to severe illness.

15 And then the first one is in Chicago with 91  
16 nonambulatory residents with severe neurologic disease, a chronic  
17 care facility. Between September and November, 31 clinical  
18 cases, 11 ad. positive, eight deaths.

19 First, in terms of infection control, I'm going to  
20 talk about transmission in a secondary facility, a hospital that  
21 admitted cases from this care facility. And they have 36 health  
22 care workers ill, five adeno positive, and one case of  
23 transmission to an in patient.

24 And they instituted droplet contact isolation,  
25 intensive hand washing, restricting ill employees from working.

1                   And the question is: did it work? Well, if you  
2 look at the EPI curve -- and they instituted the infection  
3 control procedures about October 28th, and if you assume a five  
4 to ten-day incubation period, it really took a while or had  
5 relatively minimal impact early on in the course of the hospital  
6 outbreak. Eventually it probably did, or you eliminated your  
7 susceptibles.

8                   Now, one of the things they did is they  
9 administered a questionnaire to the health care workers to see  
10 how well they complied with the instructions that they were  
11 given, and this illustrates one of the big problems in infection  
12 control and health care facilities, and the bottom line is  
13 compliance.

14                   It's really hard to get health care workers to do  
15 what they need to do, and in this survey 28 percent of the people  
16 said they did the strict droplet precautions, et cetera.  
17 Thirteen percent said they used face masks when they were  
18 supposed to, and 83 percent said they actually took care of  
19 patients while they were ill, although they were instructed not  
20 to do so.

21                   So compliance is really a problem in any kind of  
22 infection control procedure. I don't know how it is in the  
23 military, but I suspect you may have a compliance problem as  
24 well.

25                   This is an outbreak again in a pediatric chronic



1 care facility, and 50 ill patients, mental retardation or  
2 development disabilities, 42 clinical cases, 30 ad positive.  
3 Interestingly, eight of the non-ill patients were ad positive,  
4 and they may have infected every susceptible patient in the  
5 course of this outbreak. I mean, they did a lot of isolation  
6 detection. So they really had a pretty good handle of the  
7 majority of people that were infected.

8 Again, a lot of serious disease. Twenty-six of the  
9 50 were hospitalized. Seven of the 50 died. So severe outbreak.

10 Now, what do they do in terms of infection control?

11 They really had a lot of things that they tried to do. They  
12 tried to educate people about cohorting, hand washing; tried to  
13 cohort staff and ill patients to make sure that there wasn't a  
14 mixing phenomenon. I don't know how effective they were. And no  
15 new admissions and group activities discontinued.

16 If you look at the outbreak and when they  
17 instituted control measures and you think of a five to ten-day  
18 incubation period, my suspicion is that their infection control  
19 had almost no impact on the course of the outbreak. It may have  
20 delayed it a little bit. I mean, I really don't know, but it  
21 certainly didn't prevent nearly all of the patients or maybe all  
22 of the susceptible patients become infected.

23 Again, it's touch to control adenovirus outbreaks  
24 at least in health care settings.

25 And this, just to switch course. Now I'm going to

1 talk about route of transmission and think about how that may  
2 affect disease, not in terms of infection, but the outcome of the  
3 infection, and this is just what I mentioned earlier, and you  
4 folks have probably talked about this previously, that the  
5 adenovirus vaccine is based on attenuation by route of infection,  
6 not by attenuating the virus.

7 And for aerosol, not all of the information is  
8 actually helpful in thinking about it is on this slide. Aerosol,  
9 you get a high rate of everybody that was inoculated, was  
10 infected, and they had around ten infectious units.

11 The droplet, they had 1,000 infectious units. They  
12 actually inoculated six people. All six were infected. Three  
13 had illness. So higher titer of virus, although the numbers are  
14 small and you have to be careful about saying that's reality.  
15 There is a suggestion that for the droplet transmission you need  
16 more virus to get infection and certainly to get disease than you  
17 do the low respiratory tract.

18 Well, do we have any data in the course of these  
19 outbreaks? And we're probably a little bit short on time, and I  
20 think I'll just skip over how we did it and talk more about the  
21 results.

22 And looking at it two ways: one, in terms of  
23 association between susceptibility, and really the thing I'm  
24 interested in is tracheostomy, and the reason is the historical  
25 data about the route of administration being important in disease

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 outcome and the fact that in most of these outbreaks there have  
2 been chronic care facilities where a high percentage of the  
3 children or at least those who were more severely ill had  
4 tracheostomies in place and kind of thinking of direct  
5 inoculation into the respiratory tract, into the lungs.

6 We don't have data to confirm that that is actually  
7 what's happening, but that's the hypothesis.

8 What you see here is that in the ill patients  
9 you've got a higher rate of tracheostomy, but that's a fairly  
10 small percentage of all the infected cases.

11 When you look at it a little bit differently, and  
12 here you're looking at the course of disease. If you weren't  
13 ill, there's a fairly low rate of tracheostomy, and that could be  
14 if you got a trach, you're more likely to have manipulation,  
15 inoculation of the virus.

16 If you did get ill, tracheostomy was much more  
17 common in those that died. Now, that could be route of  
18 inoculation meaning more severely ill. It may mean that children  
19 with tracheostomy had a more compromised respiratory tract and,  
20 therefore, more likely to die with illness.

21 It could be that because of the manipulation it was  
22 easier to put more virus down there. So I don't really know  
23 which of these factors is coming into play here, but it's  
24 certainly consistent with the hypothesis that route of infection  
25 may be important in disease outcome.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1           In the Illinois outbreak we actually looked again  
2           at that, and here a much higher percentage of the children had  
3           tracheostomies, and here we're looking at illness in those who  
4           survived and those that died. All of those that died had  
5           tracheostomy. A lower rate had tracheostomy in terms of cases  
6           survived and then the non-cases.

7           Now, when you turn that around and look at just  
8           those that were adenovirus positive, which is probably a better  
9           way to look at this, what you see is five out of the five cases  
10          that died had tracheostomy. Of the clinical cases, 13 out of 14  
11          had tracheostomy, and then eight out of the 11 or the hospital  
12          cases, 13 out of 14 had tracheostomy. Of the non-hospitalized  
13          cases, eight out of 11, and then of the non-cases that were  
14          infected, one out of three had tracheostomy. Suggestive, but  
15          it's really just suggestive.

16          So we come around and what are the conclusions to  
17          these? First of all, adenovirus is difficult. Outbreaks of  
18          adenovirus are difficult to control the motor transmission  
19          because of compliance problems, the fact that the virus is very  
20          stable and can sit around in the environment and transmit by  
21          fomites quite easily and quite effectively.

22          And whether or not you can do environmental  
23          changes, air handling, crowding, and those kind of things, I  
24          don't know. I mean, you may have information that you've already  
25          -- that's available in the different institutions that may help

1       you.

2                   I think the one thing that may make a difference is  
3       the concept of cohorting or at least preventing mixing between  
4       new and older reports, particularly in the context of an  
5       adenovirus outbreak, and that might be the simplest thing that is  
6       historically likely as a good chance of being effective.

7                   And I think the idea of route of infection being  
8       important not in terms of infection, but in disease outcome, and  
9       the difference that you suggested or one of the speakers  
10      suggested in severity of disease in some groups versus others  
11      potentially may be that there's a different primary mode of  
12      transmission.

13                  Adenovirus can certainly be transmitted by aerosol.  
14       It can certainly be transmitted by fomites and also, I'm sure,  
15      by droplets and context. So all modes of transmission come into  
16      play, and what may possibly be important, which is the primary  
17      mode of transmission in terms of a disease outcome? We don't  
18      really know, but at least those are some of the things that at  
19      least I've thought about thinking about this particular problem  
20      and the question you're dealing with today.

21                  Thank you.

22                  DR. OSTROFF: Questions?

23                  DR. SHOPE: Bob Shope.

24                  Are there chronic carriers? And is it possible  
25      that in some of these establishments there are permanent staff

1 who may be carriers and starting when new recruits come in,  
2 starting an epidemic?

3 DR. ANDERSON: You can certainly have prolonged  
4 excretion of adenovirus, months for some of the adenovirus  
5 serotypes, and I don't know for sure if that's actually been  
6 demonstrated with Ad-4 and 7. Certainly some of them can be.

7 You know, if you look at lymphocytes and some of  
8 the lymphoidal tissue, you may be able to find adeno for years,  
9 but I don't know if you can find it for Ad-4 and 7.

10 And I also don't know if that would likely be  
11 important in transmitting in this setting. I don't know. I  
12 don't know the answer.

13 DR. OSTROFF: Dr. Haywood.

14 DR. HAYWOOD: Were the patients with tracheostomies  
15 and who died younger than the others?

16 DR. ANDERSON: In the pediatric chronic care  
17 facility, the higher rate of mortality and more severe disease  
18 was in younger children. I mean there are other factors that  
19 come into play in the outcome of death, and tracheostomy is just  
20 one of those. That's actually very important.

21 This data is consistent. I'm not even sure I'd  
22 call it suggestive. You have to be very careful in making that  
23 assumption, and you're absolutely right.

24 DR. DINIEGA: Larry, what do you make of the  
25 benzathine penicillin issue?

1 DR. ANDERSON: Well, in terms of adenovirus ARD I  
2 would be real surprised. I really don't know. I'm skeptical,  
3 but I don't know. I haven't seen the data, and I guess I could  
4 come up with some -- you know, maybe the bacterial infection  
5 predisposes to severe adenovirus disease or the other way around,  
6 but I'm skeptical, but I don't know.

7 DR. CAMPBELL: Doug Campbell.

8 What do you make of the seasonality of adenovirus?  
9 In some of these studies it looks like it's a year long  
10 phenomenon. In other studies it seems like it's just in the  
11 wintertime. What do you make of that?

12 I mean, it makes sense that it's a wintertime kind  
13 of phenomenon, but some of the data doesn't go along with that.

14 DR. ANDERSON: Well, I don't know why you have  
15 winter seasonality for anything. I can come up with some  
16 hypotheses, but influenza RSV, parainfluenza, I mean, they all  
17 have somewhat unique seasonality patterns. Why? We really don't  
18 have a clue.

19 I think the reason you're having year round disease  
20 is that you're having endemic transmission, mixing somehow of  
21 infected populations with susceptible populations or fomite  
22 transmission is another possibility.

23 So I think I've got a reason that I think is  
24 probably true for year round disease, but why you have wintertime  
25 disease I have no idea.

1 DR. LANDRIGAN: What happens in the Southern  
2 Hemisphere?

3 DR. ANDERSON: I don't know about adenovirus, but I  
4 know for flu and RSV they have it in their wintertime, which  
5 would be our summertime in the temperate climates. When you get  
6 into the tropical climates, it's --

7 DR. LANDRIGAN: Year round?

8 DR. ANDERSON: Well, it varies. There's sometimes  
9 seasonality and sometimes there's not. It's hard to know what's  
10 going on.

11 DR. OSTROFF: Other questions?

12 (No response.)

13 DR. OSTROFF: I think we need a break. Everyone  
14 needs a caffeine jump, I think. Why don't we take a 15 minute  
15 break, and then we will have to come back to the subcommittee?

16 DR. HERBOLD: Steve, will we have a chance in our  
17 general discussion on the adenovirus issue and epidemiology?

18 DR. OSTROFF: Yes.

19 DR. ATKINS: And what is the plan with the  
20 subcommittees? Are we going to meet as subcommittees even though  
21 only one of the subcommittees has a question on the table so far?

22 DR. OSTROFF: What I thought we would do is go over  
23 kind of to divvy up the work for the questions we have coming  
24 tomorrow and discuss how we want to do that and then let you know  
25 what we have as far as the background materials and everything



1 for you.

2 DR. ATKINS: Okay.

3 DR. OSTROFF: And then if the subcommittees do want  
4 to break out, we can either do so here or do the other room or  
5 potentially wait until tomorrow.

6 DR. ATKINS: Very good.

7 (Whereupon, the foregoing matter went off the  
8 record at 3:45 p.m. and went back on the record at  
9 4:12 p.m.)

10 DR. OSTROFF: I usually don't bang the gavel for  
11 the discussions.

12 I think, you know, we have until 4:45, and then we  
13 have to break for a few minutes and then have the tour, which I'm  
14 looking forward to. I thin it will be pretty interesting.

15 There are essentially two issues, I think, that at  
16 least I've identified over the course of the day to discuss. I  
17 think the primary one that we can discuss this afternoon is the  
18 adenovirus issue, and the second one is the presentation that was  
19 given this morning about the DMSS, the disease surveillance  
20 system.

21 I know that there were a lot of issues that arose  
22 about that particular system and how it's being utilized, you  
23 know, if there's time, and I think there are issues that relate  
24 to that particular system that aren't simply the reportable  
25 infectious diseases. There probably are issues for all of the

1 subcommittees to think about discussing.

2 If there's time this afternoon we can address that.

3 I suspect that we'll spend most of our time talking about the  
4 adenovirus though in this particular session.

5 So why don't we just go ahead and open up the  
6 discussion? I know that Dr. Berg in particular has spent some  
7 time looking at some of the issues related to adenovirus.

8 DR. BERG: I was looking at some of the other  
9 articles on the spread of respiratory diseases, not so much on  
10 adenovirus, and in fact, I don't really have much to say. There  
11 were some articles that I had wanted to dig out, and the one, you  
12 know, that I was talking to people about, a study that Jack  
13 Gwaltney did several years ago, and unfortunately I can't  
14 remember how it came out, but he inoculated volunteers with  
15 rhinovirus and then had them play poker at the height of their  
16 runny noses, and they tossed the chips in, and then periodically  
17 they would collect the chips and take it into a separate room  
18 where another group of volunteers were who got these sticky chips  
19 to play with.

20 (Laughter.)

21 DR. BERG: My recollection is that, you know, the  
22 second group did not get infected, and this was an argument that  
23 hand transmission did not play much of a role, but I can't --

24 DR. OSTROFF: I would defer to Larry Anderson on  
25 that one.

1 DR. BERG: I can't remember. I may be 180 degrees  
2 out on that.

3 DR. ANDERSON: Gwaltney and Dick in Virginia and  
4 in Wisconsin have done studies, and they've looked at hand  
5 transmission versus droplet transmission, and I don't remember  
6 which group found it which way, but they basically have  
7 demonstrated that droplet transmission can occur. In fomite  
8 transmission, direct contact occurs such that you can do it when  
9 you put facials and you're not getting droplet, and you can do  
10 hand to hand transmission, fomite transmission.

11 And rhinovirus is like adenoids, a crystalline-like  
12 virus, non-enveloped. It's very stable in the environment. So  
13 it's not surprising that fomite transmission would occur with  
14 rhinovirus. I think the question really was can you get droplet  
15 transmission in addition, and I think some of the studies suggest  
16 you can, and in some it's not quite so clear.

17 So fomite hand, direct contact, clearly for rhino  
18 and clearly for adeno, and for rhino the question is can you get  
19 aerosol droplet as well.

20 DR. SHOPE: Can you get fecal or oral with adeno?

21 DR. ANDERSON: Oh, yes. Now, whether or not you  
22 can get fecal or oral with Ad-4 and 7 I don't know, but certainly  
23 for some of the adenoviruses you can, and you can find both Ad-4  
24 and 7 in fecal material. So I suspect it can occur.

25 My guess is it's not as efficient as respiratory

1 transmission.

2 DR. HERBOLD: One of my questions was would it be  
3 possible to get some or some more simple two-by-two tables that  
4 looked at adenovirus epidemic rates by time on station, training  
5 day, part of the country, population density. You know, was it  
6 2,000 or was it 15,000 on post?

7 And also look at some stratification of those risk  
8 factors because we can go with what's been classically talked  
9 about, which is, you know, the head to toe and wash your hands  
10 and those types of things, but we haven't -- I don't feel  
11 comfortable that we've explored the epidemiology.

12 And it looks like with the surveillance program  
13 that I know that you all have had going for so long and the  
14 systematic collection of data by you all, but at the Navy Health  
15 Research Center, that we could slice and dice this and look at  
16 some two-by-two tables and see if there are some factors there  
17 that explain the seasonality and/or if there's a threshold of  
18 population density or if you look at recycles, you know, is there  
19 any association with how many are recycling and/or with activity  
20 in permanent party staff?

21 You know, you could go and look and see how many of  
22 these are in trainees. Like I know with the Air Force Project  
23 Gargle, you could look and see are they basic trainees or are  
24 they permanent staff at Lackland, and is there some predictor?  
25 Is the adenovirus activity brought in from outside or does it

1 start mounting in the permanent party staff?

2 And then you know then, well, maybe it's a  
3 permanent party staff that you have to restrict.

4 DR. OSTROFF: Well, let me try to frame the  
5 discussion a little bit differently. Obviously this is an issue  
6 that I myself consider to be very important, as Jeff knows very  
7 well. I mean, I pushed pretty hard to get the fatalities  
8 reported in the MMWR, and I think as most of you are aware, that  
9 resulted in the article that showed up in the Wall Street  
10 Journal, which I think at least in part, although I don't know --  
11 Ben, you may want to comment -- may have prompted or at least  
12 pushed forward the process of getting a new manufacturer for the  
13 vaccine.

14 I'm sure you all were working flat out on doing  
15 that anyway, but I guess the first question that I would pose to  
16 the preventive medicine representatives from each of the services  
17 is: how critical do you consider this to be an issue for you  
18 right now?

19 I mean, how does Health Affairs view the adenovirus  
20 issue right now? How is it viewed in the Army? How is it viewed  
21 in the Navy? How is it viewed in the Marines? How is it viewed  
22 in the Air Force?

23 And is the question that's posed to us important  
24 enough from the perspective of Health Affairs and the other  
25 agencies that they really want answers to some of these questions

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 and will implement the recommendations because it strikes me when  
2 I listen to the presentations that were given, that, I mean,  
3 there's a phenomenal amount of incredibly interesting information  
4 that's just sitting there. It's a treasure trove of information,  
5 and it's already there, and there are a phenomenal number of  
6 opportunities to do investigations to try to see and determine  
7 what works and what doesn't work and study it in some sort of a  
8 systematic fashion.

9 But that takes resources, and the question is: is  
10 this viewed as being important enough to Health Affairs and the  
11 services that they will either agree, number one, and, number  
12 two, resource those studies being done in the way that they need  
13 to be done to really develop answers that will allow us in more  
14 confident fashion to say you should do this versus this or  
15 something else?

16 DR. DINIEGA: I think the question was framed. The  
17 initiative to obtain another manufacturer has been going on for  
18 quite a while, and we're actually getting close to getting one,  
19 and the, I think, optimistic time frame of five to six years,  
20 maybe longer as you well know, depending on how things go with  
21 the FDA and if we fulfill all of the requirements.

22 If you think back to the HIV early days where all  
23 we had for preventive measures was education, I think we're sort  
24 of looking at what can we do in the meantime as an interim  
25 measure to try to minimize the attack rates because we really

1 don't have anything. We don't have any therapy. We don't have  
2 any vaccine anymore.

3 But I think Jeff's slide -- I think it was Jeff --  
4 that said don't detract from the efforts to get the vaccine is  
5 something we have to keep.

6 DR. OSTROFF: Oh, I couldn't agree with that more.

7 DR. DINIEGA: So I think the idea here is not to  
8 add more resources and the burden of resources, but to try to  
9 first look at what could possibly work on a non-vaccine method  
10 and then what things really sounds good, but it may need a little  
11 bit more work for us, you know.

12 DR. OSTROFF: Well, there's no question that  
13 getting the vaccine back is recommendation number one, two,  
14 three, four, and five, and everything else comes after that.

15 The question is: in that interval time period is  
16 this basically viewed as a distraction or is this viewed as a  
17 significant issue that needs to be dealt with?

18 DR. DINIEGA: I think the view is that if there are  
19 measures that would help to reduce the rates of illness, and we  
20 need to do those now.

21 I do know for the Army, you know, the space issue  
22 that Jeff's talking about, the 72 square feet, the 72 square feet  
23 per soldier or per recruit, has come under attack on several  
24 occasions already. They've been asked to ease up on that because  
25 of space and money restraints.

1 DR. BERG: As I read the charge, it's a little  
2 broader than just adenovirus. It says, "Transmission of  
3 adenoviral and other acute respiratory disease causing agents in  
4 the Recruit Training Center," and it's almost as if, one, what  
5 can we do until we finally get the vaccine and, two, adenovirus  
6 isn't the only agent that ties up recruits. Are there more  
7 general things that have a more general effect?

8 And they ask us for, you know, recommendations,  
9 including recommendations for them to go out and test things.

10 DR. DINIEGA: Well, we wanted to have the  
11 categories of things that probably have some scientific backing,  
12 those that didn't and probably needed to be tested more, and  
13 those that really needed a lot of work.

14 So we sort of have different categories of measures  
15 that could be implemented.

16 DR. OSTROFF: Well, I guess what I'm saying is that  
17 I think that there are some issues that are at least to some  
18 degree relatively no brainers, like hand washing. I mean, it's  
19 hard to be against hand washing.

20 There are other issues that I think will require  
21 additional epidemiologic and laboratory studies to be able to  
22 evaluate whether or not they really work or they don't work, and  
23 that takes time and resources.

24 And so if the Board makes a recommendation that  
25 certain issues that we don't feel confident enough or we don't



1 feel that the data are necessarily clear enough to make a clear-  
2 cut recommendation that you ought to do this or this or this,  
3 that deserve further studies, do you think that there would be  
4 support for something like that?

5 DR. DINIEGA: I think that I would encourage the  
6 Board to make those recommendations, and then it would have to be  
7 looked at and the request go in for resources, and then it's  
8 going to have to fall out with whatever parties that the  
9 department and services feel need to be done.

10 DR. OSTROFF: One other thing is that I don't feel  
11 that I have a sufficient knowledge base of exactly what type of  
12 studies are currently going on. I know, for instance, where  
13 Megan is doing something related to Great Lakes and this  
14 operation hand washing or whatever it's called. There must be  
15 some epidemiologic study that's buried somewhere in there unless  
16 it's simply an intervention.

17 Are there currently studies that are going on  
18 amongst the services other than the basic data collection?

19 COL. GUNZENHAUSER: Not in the Army.

20 DR. HERBOLD: Just an observation. What I see,  
21 again, I see a wealth of data, and you have to correct me if I'm  
22 wrong. There's variability between services. We have some  
23 historic data on the Air Force and the Coast Guard not having a  
24 recognized problem without vaccinating.

25 We see variability between Army training posts, and

1 we can link the cases with the demographics of them, and I don't  
2 know if we know what point in training they were there, but I  
3 guess my question, my informal question is have we done the  
4 descriptive epidemiology with the data set that we have, and  
5 could you share it with us?

6 DR. DINIEGA: I think what you saw was the ARD  
7 rates from ARD surveillance, which I think several of the  
8 speakers have said they don't routinely gather demographics, but  
9 they do the rates.

10 What the Board has not heard is the numerous formal  
11 outbreak investigations that have gone on and a summary of those  
12 findings. The Board in the past has heard those, but this Board  
13 has not heard those.

14 DR. HERBOLD: For example, on a different  
15 respiratory disease I remember at Lackland, again, I think it was  
16 in the face of an influenza outbreak. The issue, again, was  
17 could the trainees carry Kleenex in formation. So it's another  
18 anecdotal example of the wet sink issue.

19 You know, TIs didn't want them to have Kleenex in  
20 formation, and at that time the Epi Division was just looking at  
21 trying to reduce respiratory spread with sneezes and all that  
22 stuff, but you weren't allowed to cover your face and/or to use  
23 disposables because, you know, you weren't allowed to have  
24 Kleenex.

25 So I'm just wondering if maybe just a review of the

1 anecdotal information. You know, the hand washing thing, I know  
2 -- and, again, trying to get into the training schedule, changing  
3 the routine, the medics intervening, and you know, what the  
4 trainers do, and for certainly trying to get studies done is  
5 very, very difficult.

6 So I guess I'm asking have we mined the existing  
7 data enough to give us some clues as to what could be done, or  
8 are we going to be challenged on the 72 square foot?

9 If we reinforce that, do we know that that's of  
10 value? Do we know is triple bunking? You know, I'm trying to  
11 envision in my mind if you have head to toe bunking, but what  
12 does that mean at the double deck and the triple deck? And if  
13 someone is sneezing on the third bunk are they only sneezing into  
14 feet or are they sneezing into head? You know, what's the three  
15 dimensional picture of this?

16 DR. OSTROFF: Yeah.

17 COL. GARDNER: This is Colonel Gardner from Fort  
18 Bragg.

19 Let me just give you a little bit of perspective.  
20 You said hand washing is a no brainer, but it's a big issue. I  
21 mean it's a culture. This is a cultural issue. It's not really  
22 a preventive medicine issue. Preventive medicine has the  
23 answers. The problem is breaking the culture.

24 The culture is health is never a first priority.  
25 There's always other things going on. There's never resources

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 that anybody is willing to spend on health because they're  
2 spending it on everything else.

3 And basic training is to establish discipline, and  
4 part of discipline is you've got to have your bed made just  
5 right, and you've got to have your sinks clean and dry, and that  
6 means they'll only use one sink because then they'll only have to  
7 clean one sink, and it means you only get ten minutes to eat, and  
8 you don't have time to wash your hands before you go eat.

9 And this is a culture. It's not a preventive  
10 medicine problem. It's a training problem and a cultural  
11 problem.

12 The people that would be analyzing the data that  
13 you are seeing are the ones who are running from one thing to the  
14 next because the culture demands it, and in the operational  
15 environment they really actually do try to get database  
16 decisions, but what that means is you run out and you grab what  
17 you can find, and you put together preliminary results.

18 The decision is made, and then you're on to the  
19 next problem, and nobody ever has time to convert preliminary  
20 results into final results. And that's a culture that's  
21 difficult to deal with and difficult to change.

22 You know, my own work has been in heat stroke and  
23 exercise related deaths, and trying to change that culture where  
24 the focus is on retaining maximum fitness and athleticism in  
25 every soldier causes injury to at least 25 percent and sometimes

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 50 or 60 percent of every recruit, of all the recruits, and  
2 sometimes serious injury and death because of that focus.

3 And so you're really asking the wrong people to  
4 address the problem. The people that need to address the problem  
5 are those in charge of the culture, and they're too busy focused  
6 on other issues.

7 At Fort Bragg we had water that didn't meet EPA  
8 guidelines for eight years before anybody would put the resources  
9 into fixing it, and the only reason they did that was because EPA  
10 fined them several million dollars. You know, the only way  
11 you're going to get response is if OSHA comes in or someone comes  
12 in and institutes a multi-million dollar fine.

13 And then they'll say, "Okay. We'll spend a couple  
14 million to fix it, and then we'll negotiate the fine down."  
15 That's how it works.

16 So somehow you have to break that culture. We do a  
17 lot of -- from a health perspective we do a lot of stupid things  
18 like dry sinks and so on, and somehow we have to break that  
19 culture.

20 People here all know what the problem is and how to  
21 fix it, but you know, we should have a vaccine manufacturer 15  
22 years ago, and we all know it, but nobody has been able to. We  
23 still haven't go tone.

24 DR. OSTROFF: Yeah, let me just say in response,  
25 thank you for your comments. I'm appreciative of the fact that

1 basic training in and of its nature is a relatively unhygienic  
2 activity. There's little question about that.

3 And so, you know, instilling a culture of hand  
4 washing only can potentially go so far, although if the Marines  
5 can do it, I think probably anybody can do it.

6 CAPT. SCHOR: Because the Inspector General said to  
7 do it.

8 (Laughter.)

9 DR. HERBOLD: You can't do that with the medical  
10 population.

11 DR. OSTROFF: Well, but you know, hey, in  
12 recruits.

13 Ken?

14 CAPT. SCHOR: Well, you know, this raises -- this  
15 is Captain Schor -- this raises the interesting issue of the  
16 Training and Education Command that owns the Marine Corps Recruit  
17 Depots and the basic school and Officer Candidate School for the  
18 Marine Corps is not exactly beating down my door with concerns  
19 about this issue.

20 However, there are concerns, probably more general  
21 concerns about acute respiratory disease because Marines out in  
22 Camp Pendleton, they had some fairly sick Marines with pneumonia  
23 and some other mixed causes last year.

24 So I think it's a more general issue amongst the  
25 leadership. It would be considered more broadly, and I just

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 wonder if this might -- you know, I'm not sure if this is  
2 appropriate, but I just kind of throw it out on the table, is  
3 perhaps one action of the Board might be to frame some fairly  
4 simple and straightforward questions to the folks that own the  
5 accession pathway of the services to say, "How do you think about  
6 this? Is this an issue for you? Do you perceive the respiratory  
7 disease is costing you money, is costing you training days, is  
8 causing you to have recidivism in your training?"

9 That's what really speaks to them, and then how  
10 would you consider ranking interventions? Is it the no cost/no  
11 time interventions versus the high cost/high time interventions,  
12 something like that? It might be an interesting approach.

13 DR. OSTROFF: Let me say one other things is that I  
14 posed the question to Colonel Staunton this morning and asked him  
15 whether or not adenovirus was an issue in British military  
16 recruits, and his response was not to his knowledge.

17 Now, I don't know how intensively anybody looks for  
18 it in British military recruits, and I would wonder if this is  
19 considered an issue in Canadian recruits.

20 LT. COL. FENSOM: We have never vaccinated for  
21 adenovirus in our recruits, and to my knowledge it hasn't been  
22 much of an issue, and I'm hypothesizing it may have something to  
23 do with the fact that we train in very small groups and we have a  
24 small recruiting pool.

25 But I would certainly go back to Ottawa and ask

1 some questions about that.

2 DR. OSTROFF: Well, again, as I mentioned to him  
3 this morning, sometimes it's almost as important to look at why  
4 certain circumstances don't have problems as it is to look at why  
5 certain circumstances do. And obviously if this is something  
6 that seems to be uniquely American in comparison to other  
7 militaries, there must be something that we're doing that others  
8 aren't.

9 DR. GAYDOS: May I make a comment?

10 DR. OSTROFF: Yeah. Maybe they're just not  
11 looking. I don't know.

12 DR. GAYDOS: Joel Gaydos.

13 I've been following respiratory disease in the  
14 military for about 30 years, and adenovirus has been a problem in  
15 other countries. It's been reported in the Dutch military. It's  
16 been reported in the Indian military.

17 One of the reasons that we think we haven't seen  
18 more of a problem in other militaries is because of size of the  
19 other militaries and because size and conditions would allow them  
20 to cycle their training such that they would be able to train  
21 more in the summer months and not train in the colder winter  
22 months.

23 I think that it would be a good idea to look at the  
24 whole gamut of febrile respiratory diseases for a number of  
25 reasons. We are having trouble now with influenza vaccine, and



1 in 1976, we had a lot of very good vaccines, but we had to stop  
2 the flow of recruits into Fort Dix, New Jersey, and we had to do  
3 that because we just had so many cases of respiratory disease  
4 that we couldn't handle it.

5 And the reason was that we missed on the influenza  
6 vaccine that year, and something else happened that occasionally  
7 happens, and that's a non-force adenovirus outbreak, and we had a  
8 Type 11 outbreak up there that winter.

9 And so we do see Type 11. We do see Type 3  
10 occasionally coming in.

11 Some of us are very concerned not only about the  
12 influenza vaccine, but we're also concerned about where we're  
13 going with meningococcal vaccine. Now, we are relying on a sole  
14 producer for meningococcal vaccine. We're moving to a new  
15 meningococcal vaccine. I'm not sure how things are going to  
16 stack up when we go to a new conjugate vaccine and whether we're  
17 going to see a quadravalent conjugate vaccine coming out there,  
18 where there is going to be some lapse.

19 I don't know how this is all going to be handled.  
20 I don't know if anybody has ever thought about this, but I think  
21 we've had enough problems with vaccines that we have to expect  
22 that we're going to have trouble.

23 If you look at what data were presented today and  
24 if you read the literature, you will note that under -- we have  
25 this gap of about maybe 40 to 60 percent of acute respiratory

1 disease being unaccounted for. We can account for somewhere  
2 around 40 to 60 percent as adenovirus.

3 It seems that when we get into a very hot outbreak,  
4 the percentage of isolates that are adenovirus approach 100  
5 percent as we get more and more into a very hot outbreak.

6 But we run this maybe somewhere around 50 percent  
7 being adenovirus. We have data out there, a lot of things that  
8 have been done at the Naval Health Research Center, to indicate  
9 that we're probably seeing a lot of Chlamydia pneumoniae. We're  
10 probably seeing a lot of mycoplasma. We're probably seeing  
11 pertussis, and of course, we're seeing the other things, too, the  
12 peri-influenzas and other viruses.

13 But we have just been sailing along because of the  
14 vaccines that we got in the early '70s, the meningococcal  
15 vaccines, the adenovirus vaccines. We've done reasonably well in  
16 predicting the influenzas, and so the military has really become  
17 very, very complacent.

18 But I think when we look at the situation, at the  
19 number of potential agents out there, when we look at the fact  
20 that our labs are not that well equipped probably even to quickly  
21 diagnose the Strep. pneumoniae outbreak as they used to be years  
22 ago that we are running a lot of risk with regard to basic  
23 training.

24 And if we have to mobilize our basic training  
25 centers, then I think we're in a position where we're going to

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

www.nealrgross.com

1 see a lot of problems. And if you shut down basic training,  
2 particularly if hostilities are going on, that gets a lot of  
3 people very upset because that throws a monkey wrench into the  
4 whole personnel system that ends up supplying the people out  
5 there who are pulling the triggers and cocking the cannons.

6 So this is a potentially dangerous situation. I  
7 think we're dealing with a couple of generations of people now  
8 who aren't really sensitive to the problem, but I think it is a  
9 problem, and I think those who are at Great Lakes when they had  
10 the problems, those who were there when they had the deaths, both  
11 the medical and the line people will tell you it's a problem.

12 I think those people at Lackland who are in the  
13 medical arena when they were overwhelmed will tell you it's a  
14 problem.

15 I can tell you the people at Jackson said it was a  
16 problem. They were very, very concerned about being overwhelmed  
17 in the medical arena.

18 So it is a problem, and I think that it has got to  
19 be approached with the whole idea of febrile respiratory disease.

20  
21 When you look at all of the variables, Dr. Herbold,  
22 it's overwhelming. The facilities are different. Great Lakes is  
23 terrible. I mean it's a very old facility, and there's probably  
24 very little that they can do with that.

25 Lackland looks nice from the outside, but as Dana

1 mentioned, you go in there and the classrooms, I mean, those  
2 folks are just shoulder to shoulder, and I can't understand it  
3 because we're not at war, and I think, you know, we're probably  
4 just cutting down on space to conserve heating and air  
5 conditioning costs.

6 If you look at some of the newer things, the things  
7 that Dr. Gunzenhauser mentioned with regard to what are called  
8 the starships, these things were built according to state of the  
9 art heating, ventilating and air conditioning standards, which  
10 are not medical standards. They're comfort standards, but they  
11 were built to standards.

12 But there was a team from the Army Environmental  
13 Hygiene Agency, which is now the Center for Health Promotion and  
14 Preventive Medicine, that went down there and looked at those  
15 starships when that outbreak occurred, and what they found was  
16 that the original design standards meant absolutely nothing  
17 because they did not allow make-up air because to conserve  
18 heating costs. They were not maintaining those systems. They  
19 were not changing the filters, and of course, you had all of  
20 these variables.

21 And some of them actually brought in fans and  
22 created dead air spaces that wouldn't have existed. So you have  
23 all of those variables, the training situation, the sleep  
24 situation and all of the other things.

25 So it has been kind of overwhelming to try to sort

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 all of those out. I think my estimation would be that we're  
2 going to be very lucky if we see a vaccine in eight years, and we  
3 will know more about that, I think, in the next few years as we  
4 get into looking at the cell lines and seed viruses and see what  
5 the FDA is going to require with those.

6 But what we face right now is a situation where I  
7 think that the antivirals, which were mentioned today, are  
8 something that needs to be looked at because that may not be as  
9 costly or as far out a possibility.

10 But I think when we look at the barracks, if you  
11 put yourself in the position of someone who is in the medical  
12 department at an organization that's experiencing an outbreak and  
13 you go up and you tell them to do this A, B, or C or D, and  
14 they're going to come back at you and they're going to say, "Show  
15 me the data for the 72 square feet," and you can't do that, then  
16 you can't get something done.

17 And then if they do it and the rates continue to  
18 climb, then you use credibility, and it all gets back to what has  
19 been said here several times. The data don't exist there. There  
20 are not the data there that allow you with confidence to go  
21 forward.

22 And if the United States military all of a sudden  
23 got very, very rich and said, "We're going to build new barracks  
24 at all of these basic training centers," and called the Medical  
25 Department in and said, "Okay. You give us the health standards

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1       that you want in there to control respiratory disease," I don't  
2       know where you folks would go to get that information.

3               And I think that a big part of that problem is that  
4       a lot of the basic studies have not been done. I think that Dr.  
5       Micheljohn and Dr. Couch and Dr. Channock and Frank Top and that  
6       group; I think when the vaccines came out 30 years ago, everybody  
7       thought it was a waste of money, and they stopped all of the  
8       studies.

9               So we don't have the data. We don't have anything  
10       on line for about the next eight years. Even if somebody came  
11       forward and said, "Okay. We'll do anything you tell us to do,"  
12       what are you going to tell them because you're at risk of really  
13       losing credibility if you come out with some recommendation  
14       that's going to cost money or in somehow some way cause a major  
15       problem in the way they're training right now?

16               So it's a very, very difficult situation, but I  
17       think as a minimum the people I talk with are getting hit every  
18       day with the list of things that you've been presented on the  
19       slides up there such as UV lights and hand washing and wipes and  
20       all the other things.

21               And I think that as a very minimum if the people at  
22       the training centers were able to get a clear reading on those as  
23       far as what's in the literature and how well they're supported,  
24       that they would be better off than they are right now.

25               DR. BERG: I first started coming to the AFEB many

1 years ago when guys like Ted Woodward and Bill Jordan and Bud  
2 Benenson, who was my MPH thesis advisor, were here, and one of  
3 the things I learned from them is that the AFEB works best when  
4 it gets specific questions.

5 And I'm a little confused now. What I'm hearing,  
6 on the one hand, is that there's a lot of data out there. If it  
7 were examined, this might lead to some answers.

8 I'm also hearing that the things that are probably  
9 the most likely to contribute, such as hand washing and tissues,  
10 are common sensical enough to be implemented, but it's the  
11 recruit training culture that is preventing them.

12 I'm beginning to feel that the only thing that's  
13 really going to work are one shot fixes like benzathine  
14 penicillin and vaccines.

15 So I think the question is: you know, what is the  
16 emphasis for this? And, you know, do you want a recommendation  
17 from the Board that you should mount a definitive study to answer  
18 these things? Do you want a recommendation from the Board that  
19 the old barracks should be torn down?

20 You know, and I think this gets back to Steve's  
21 question about just how prepared is the military to answer these  
22 tough questions. You know, we've got a simple level of things  
23 that probably would help if they were implemented, but the Board  
24 can't do much about that.

25 And then it's a quantum leap up.

1 DR. OSTROFF: Yeah. I mean I've jokingly said to  
2 several people, you know, maybe we should suggest buying Holiday  
3 Inns and using them in place of barracks or something like that.  
4 It might be a cheaper solution.

5 COL. GUNZENHAUSER: I think that the one question  
6 that I would like to have an answer to is at least for the two  
7 things that we've identified as possibly beneficial an evaluation  
8 of what really is the level of scientific evidence that those are  
9 good, that is, hand washing and this space requirements issue.

10 I presume there's really quite -- I know that  
11 there's quite a bit of medical literature that I know existed. I  
12 just haven't had the time to go look at, and I presume there's  
13 stuff in the AFEB archives from old work that was done that maybe  
14 could be looked at again, and the conclusion may be as Dr. Gaydos  
15 said there isn't enough.

16 So these are maybe a good idea, but we really can't  
17 recommend for or against. That would be useful to have that  
18 answered now, and that might be something that's easy to do.

19 But there's a couple other things that I think that  
20 are important. This could get driven pretty quickly. There's a  
21 couple of contingencies that are of concern.

22 I know that, for example, this outbreak at Fort  
23 Leonard Wood, the providers that were providing first line care  
24 were pretty indifferent. This is a common thing. You have an  
25 outbreak, and people just say, "Well, that's the way it is," and

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701



1 they just handle it.

2 But the command from the hospital was very  
3 concerned because they were shifting resources that took away  
4 from other important missions that were very expensive, and if I  
5 recall the peak epidemics that adenos had in the past, it's been  
6 a lot higher than 3.5 percent like we saw in this one outbreak.

7 So we potentially could see an outbreak at Fort  
8 Jackson this winter. If we get a surge of trainees, let's say,  
9 right now, let's say we have a bunch of recruits that sign up  
10 because of what's going on and we suddenly get a bolus, and it's  
11 November, and we have an outbreak at Fort Jackson where the rate  
12 goes up to four percent, and suddenly we've got 500 trainees that  
13 need care. It could drive interest tremendously.

14 So that's sort of a contingency in the background  
15 that has to be considered.

16 The other is the possibility that an outbreak could  
17 precipitate other associated illnesses, the interaction of  
18 various conditions we don't really understand very well, but  
19 perhaps the presence of adeno can bring in other diseases that  
20 are significant.

21 I think that's something that needs to be thought  
22 about. What's the potential for something bad happening? And  
23 should that drive some other questions?

24 Just two other points that I wanted to make.  
25 Something that we think is as simple as hand washing is really

1 not easy to implement. I know in the Army where we have five  
2 basic training installations, and they're in three different  
3 regions, I never really know whether I should be micro managing  
4 the local installation because like two of the installations  
5 don't have preventive medicine officers. So I have to figure out  
6 who's there, who's doing what, who's left.

7 Every July people leave. That's right when the  
8 summer surge comes. there's actually a lot of administrative  
9 oversight, at least from the Army's perspective, to assure that  
10 happens.

11 So even if we publish a policy and recommend it,  
12 without a lot of interaction I know that it wouldn't happen. So  
13 I wouldn't want to just say, oh, we know it makes sense  
14 intuitively and expect it to occur because I know it won't just  
15 because of the way things work.

16 DR. OSTROFF: You know, maybe I'm more optimistic.  
17 I mean, this isn't a policy that would be a service-wide policy.  
18 I mean you're talking about a unique setting, which is recruit  
19 training. There aren't that many recruit training facilities.

20 There are a total of what, nine for all of the  
21 services combined, approximately nine?

22 COL. GUNZENHAUSER: Nine, including the Coast  
23 Guard.

24 DR. OSTROFF: Yeah. You know, maybe one  
25 recommendation is that if there are specific recommendations

1 regarding things like hand washing that in each of those  
2 facilities there is a designated official and probably somebody  
3 other than a preventive medicine type that's responsible for  
4 implementing that particular policy.

5 LT. COL. RIDDLE: But you've already got that out.

6 I mean if you look at this Army policy from January of '00 --

7 DR. OSTROFF: It didn't work.

8 LT. COL. RIDDLE: -- it includes everything that  
9 we've discussed today.

10 DR. BERG: Well, why do you think the AFEB says  
11 every recruit has to wash their hands six times a day? The  
12 recruit commanders, the company commanders and DIs are going to  
13 say, "Yes, sir."

14 COL. GUNZENHAUSER: Well, I guess my position is I  
15 have a hard time advocating it when I don't really know what the  
16 level of evidence is for or against it.

17 LT. COL. RIDDLE: But the same thing is have you  
18 gone to the ASBREM (phonetic) and DDR&E through Health Affairs?  
19 I mean it doesn't take a rocket scientist to do the literature  
20 search, and there's not a lot out there.

21 Have you taken to the ASBREM the issue is we need  
22 to fund research in this arena to build a body of evidence, or is  
23 that what you want the Board to recommend to Health Affairs to  
24 do?

25 COL. GARDNER: If the Board doesn't recommend it,

1 it will never happen.

2 DR. OSTROFF: No, I know, and the Board is going to  
3 recommend. Don't worry about that.

4 COL. GARDNER: Even if they recommend it, it will  
5 happen, but it will be slow.

6 LT. COL. RIDDLE: But Dr. Clinton can go to the  
7 ASBREM and ask for the allocation of resources without the  
8 Board's recommendation.

9 DR. OSTROFF: Let's take two more, and then we're  
10 going to have to break. So Dr. Landrigan and then Dana.

11 DR. LANDRIGAN: The first thing --

12 DR. OSTROFF: We'll talk more about this tomorrow.

13 DR. LANDRIGAN: I was thinking about what Dr.  
14 Herbold said about the surveillance data, and I think the  
15 surveillance data are very useful, but useful up until a point.  
16 They're useful because they certainly show that outbreaks are  
17 occurring. They show that there's differences between bases.

18 As Joel said, there may be some very common  
19 sensical explanations for the differences between the bases, but  
20 as so often is the case, surveillance data are just not fine  
21 grained enough to give us etiologic information. They don't  
22 capture the kind of highly detailed individual information that  
23 you might get through a case control study.

24 So, frankly, I would recommend against putting a  
25 lot of effort into mining the data. I know it's always fun to

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS

1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.

WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

(202) 234-4433

[www.nealrgross.com](http://www.nealrgross.com)

1 think about how you would mine them, but usually it comes out  
2 dry. That's just my opinion, but take it for what it's worth.

3 With regard to what we as the AFEB ought to be  
4 doing, I think probably our responsibility is to come out with a  
5 very short list, two or three recommendations, and if good data -  
6 - if Joel, with all of his historical knowledge, is correct that  
7 even reaching back 30 years that good data on whether or not to  
8 wash your hands, whether or not to use a Kleenex, if those data  
9 are lacking, we know that those data will not be generated in  
10 less than two or three years. I mean, those kinds of studies  
11 just take time to do, but not as long as it takes to get a new  
12 vaccine through the Food and Drug Administration, but still  
13 they're time consuming.

14 So is there any way we can shortcut the approach?  
15 And it seems to me that there probably is, and it's what you guys  
16 in the health care policy arena do, and that is either use  
17 ourselves, a subset of us, or a group of consultants whom we  
18 bring in and go through a little Delphi process and basically say  
19 that this distinguished group of gray haired people have come up  
20 with the following series of three recommendations.

21 And we pay very careful heed to what we've heard  
22 from the two colonels about the difficulty of putting this stuff  
23 into practice and give careful thought to how do we work the  
24 politics.

25 Do we go to Admiral Clinton? We've got the Marine

1 Corps as a model. At least one service seems to be able to make  
2 these approaches work. How do we duplicate that model?

3 But I think that's the essence of it.

4 COL. BRADSHAW: This is Dana Bradshaw again.

5 Following up maybe on what Colonel Riddle was  
6 mentioning, maybe there's a few key questions, and hand washing  
7 could certainly be one of them, that we could just do the  
8 systematic evidence reviews on and then get an evidence based  
9 approach to a few things that look promising or that we think  
10 that's there, if that, indeed, needs to be clarified.

11 I think we've had some things presented that  
12 suggested it, but I know at least Dr. Gunzenhauser may not be  
13 convinced yet, but I mean, if we need to, we should do that, and  
14 we can do that, I think if we put the resources behind it, but  
15 it's relatively low hanging fruit I would think.

16 The other thing is that I know Dr. Herbold and some  
17 others mentioned that they would like to see some of the outbreak  
18 investigations and two-by-two tables and odds ratios et cetera,  
19 and I guess the most recent one, given what we've had, is the one  
20 that Jim Neville has done down at Lackland.

21 And I can make that available. I actually have it  
22 here on my laptop, but I don't see that there should be any  
23 problem for anybody that's interested in looking through that.

24 For instance, he looked at a questionnaire for risk  
25 factors, and I know gender was one of the things that was

1 questioned, but they show that male gender, the odds ratio is  
2 1.33 of having increased likelihood of having respiratory  
3 symptoms during training. That may relate to the fact that males  
4 in other studies have been shown not to wash their hands as  
5 frequently in other settings.

6 (Laughter.)

7 COL. BRADSHAW: But there actually was two on  
8 washing hands rarely or never after sneezing, and that had an  
9 odds ratio of 1.4, and that was significant also with a  
10 confidence interval; washing hands rarely/never after coughing;  
11 and then a high perceived level of stress.

12 There were some other things about, you know,  
13 certain blocks in the training group or dormitories that were  
14 more likely than others, which you might expect.

15 Interesting enough, even though males were more  
16 likely to have respiratory symptoms, female were more likely to  
17 be hospitalized, but that may fit with other health utilization  
18 things that we know of with women.

19 There were also some issues about some other  
20 factors, but it's fairly lengthy, as you might expect, and they  
21 looked at a lot of different things. So if you'd like to kind of  
22 go foraging for data, you're probably welcome to do that.

23 LT. COL. RIDDLE: You have a full report outside of  
24 the appendices. They're in your background material, and I've  
25 got the full one from Jim and Roger's thesis, too.

**NEAL R. GROSS**

COURT REPORTERS AND TRANSCRIBERS  
1323 RHODE ISLAND AVE., N.W.  
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20005-3701

1 DR. OSTROFF: We'd better go on a tour, five  
2 o'clock.

3 Adjourned.

4 (Whereupon, at 5:01 p.m., the meeting was  
5 adjourned.)

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22